



**City and County of San Francisco
YOUTH COMMISSION**

MINUTES

**Monday, May 6, 2024
5:00 p.m.**

**IN-PERSON MEETING
City Hall, Room 416
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place,
San Francisco, CA 94102**

IN-PERSON MEETING with REMOTE ACCESS via Webex

Members: Ewan Barker Plummer (Chair, Mayoral), Gabbie Listana (Vice Chair, D6), Téa Lonné Amir (Comms & Outreach Officer, Mayoral), Kelly Wu (Comms & Outreach Officer, D3), Allister Adair (Legislative Affairs Officer, D2), Jason Fong (Legislative Affairs Officer, D7), Chloe Wong (D1), Linda Ye (D4), Helen Cisneros (D5), Galicia Stack Lozano (D8), Skylar Dang (D9), Adrianna Faagau-Noa (D10), Imaan Ansari (D11), Valentina Alioto-Pier (Mayoral), Isabella T. Perez (Mayoral), Aryelle Lampkins (Mayoral), Joselyn Marroquin (Mayoral).

Present: Ewan Barker Plummer, Gabbie Listana, Téa Lonné Amir, Kelly Wu, Allister Adair, Jason Fong, Chloe Wong, Linda Ye, Galicia Stack Lozano, Skylar Dang, Adrianna Faagau-Noa, Imaan Ansari, Valentina Alioto-Pier, Isabella T. Perez, Aryelle Lampkins, Joselyn Marroquin.

Absent: Helen Cisneros (excused), Chloe Wong (excused).

Tardy: None.

The San Francisco Youth Commission met in-person with remote access on May 6, 2024, with Chair Barker Plummer presiding.

1. Call to Order and Roll Call for Attendance

Chair Barker Plummer called the meeting to order at 5:00pm.

On the call of the roll:



Roll Call Attendance: 15 present, 2 absent.

Chloe Wong absent
Allister Adair present
Kelly Wu present
Linda Ye present
Helen Cisneros absent
Gabbie Listana present
Jason Fong present
G Galicia Stack Lozano present
Skylar Dang present
Adrianna Faagau-Noa present
Imaan Ansari present
Valentina Alioto-Pier present
Isabella T. Perez present
Aryelle Lampkins present
Joselyn Marroquin present
Téa Lonné Amir present
Ewan Barker Plummer present

A quorum of the Commission was present.

Officer Wu, seconded by Commissioner Faagau-Noa, motioned to excuse Commissioner Cisneros and Commissioner Wong for today's meeting. No discussion. No public comment. The motion carried with the following voice vote:

Voice Vote: 15 ayes, 2 absent.

Chloe Wong absent
Allister Adair aye
Kelly Wu aye
Linda Ye aye
Helen Cisneros absent
Gabbie Listana aye
Jason Fong aye
G Galicia Stack Lozano aye
Skylar Dang aye
Adrianna Faagau-Noa aye
Imaan Ansari aye
Valentina Alioto-Pier aye
Isabella T. Perez aye



Aryelle Lampkins aye
Joselyn Marroquin aye
Téa Lonné Amir aye
Ewan Barker Plummer aye

Action: Commissioner Cisneros and Wong excused for today's absence.

2. Communications

Alondra Esquivel Garcia, Director of the SFYC, shared communications and meeting announcements with Commissioners.

3. Approval of Agenda (Action Item)

Commissioner Stack Lozano, seconded by Officer Lonné Amir, motioned to approve the May 6, 2024 full Youth Commission meeting agenda. No discussion. No public comment. The motion carried by the following voice vote:

Voice Vote: 15 ayes, 2 absent.

Chloe Wong absent
Allister Adair aye
Kelly Wu aye
Linda Ye aye
Helen Cisneros absent
Gabbie Listana aye
Jason Fong aye
Galia Stack Lozano aye
Skylar Dang aye
Adrianna Faagau-Noa aye
Imaan Ansari aye
Valentina Alioto-Pier aye
Isabella T. Perez aye
Aryelle Lampkins aye
Joselyn Marroquin aye
Téa Lonné Amir aye
Ewan Barker Plummer aye

Action: Agenda Approved.

4. Approval of Minutes (Action Item)



a. April 15, 2024 (Packet Materials)

Officer Fong, seconded by Commissioner Ye, motioned to approve the April 15, 2024 full Youth Commission meeting minutes. No discussion. No public comment. The motion carried by the following voice vote:

Voice Vote: 15 ayes, 2 absent.

Chloe Wong absent
Allister Adair aye
Kelly Wu aye
Linda Ye aye
Helen Cisneros absent
Gabbie Listana aye
Jason Fong aye
Galia Stack Lozano aye
Skylar Dang aye
Adrianna Faagau-Noa aye
Imaan Ansari aye
Valentina Alioto-Pier aye
Isabella T. Perez aye
Aryelle Lampkins aye
Joselyn Marroquin aye
Téa Lonné Amir aye
Ewan Barker Plummer aye

Action: Minutes Approved.

5. Public Comment on matters not on Today's Agenda (2 minutes per comment)

No public comment.

6. Commission Business (discussion and action item)

a. Resolution of Commendation - Recognition of United Playaz

i. Presenter(s): Commissioners Ye, Dang

Commissioner Ye, seconded by Commissioner Dang, motioned to approve a Resolution of Commendation to recognize United Playaz for their work. Chair Barker Plummer, Commissioner Ye, and Commissioner Dang made remarks in support of the Resolution of Commendation. Commissioners read the resolution



into the record. No public comment. The motion carried by the following roll call vote:

Roll Call Vote: 15 ayes, 2 absent.

Chloe Wong absent
Allister Adair aye
Kelly Wu aye
Linda Ye aye
Helen Cisneros absent
Gabbie Listana aye
Jason Fong aye
Galia Stack Lozano aye
Skylar Dang aye
Adrianna Faagau-Noa aye
Imaan Ansari aye
Valentina Alioto-Pier aye
Isabella T. Perez aye
Aryelle Lampkins aye
Joselyn Marroquin aye
Téa Lonné Amir aye
Ewan Barker Plummer aye

Action: Resolution of Commendation for United Playaz approved.

b. Presentation - Bay Area Community Resources - Youth Funding Youth Ideas (YFYI)

- i. Presenter(s): Jayda Love, YFYI Youth Participant, and Zitlalli Ordonez, YFYI Program Coordinator

Jayda Love and Zitlalli Ordonez presented their presentation on BACR and the Youth Funding Youth Initiatives program. Their ask was to support the BACR's appeal in the DCYF RFP process to continue funding this program.

Chair Barker Plummer asked what their funding sources are and how the DCYF's decisions have affected them, to which Ordonez said that DCYF gives them most of their funding.

Officer Wu asked if they're looking at other sources of funding, to which Ordonez said that they are looking for other sources of funding but still trying to appeal for DCYF funding.



Officer Fong asked that because DCYF has limited funding, what their next steps would be in terms of programming if they don't find more funding, to which they said that this program would stop due to lack of funding.

Commissioner Ye said that the YC's Transformative Justice Committee was looking through the RFPs that applied to DCYF, and that despite them being rated by DCYF as "not highly rated in the deliberation process", but that they clearly do make a huge impact.

Vice Chair Listana thanked them for coming and asked if they have heard any updates regarding the appeal process from DCYF, to which they said they have not heard anything yet.

Chair Barker Plummer asked for some clarity on why DCYF's deliberation process didn't rate them highly, to which the CHALK Director Paraz joined in and said that she met with DCYF Director Maria Su and said that Su was surprised by the funding results.

Commissioner Dang brought up the discrepancies in regard to how funding was allocated to youth-serving programs.

Public Comment:

- (1) Omah: they said that the YFYI program has taught them a lot about various opportunities and jobs that they wouldn't have had access to without this program; they added that youth can find their power and become leaders who make change.
- (2) Marcia: they have a lot of experience working with youth from the YFYI program have helped them support their own youth advisory board; they mentioned that they've helped with theater and various arts programs and events; it's been amazing seeing youth's ideas.
- (3) San Rosio: the YFYI youth have helped them deal with a lot of various programs and issues, and that it's important to support these.
- (4) Beatrice: they started with CHALK when they were 15 years old and are still with the organization after 10 years and with a child of their own; they are a product of this program and wouldn't know where they'd be without YFYI's programming.



c. Presentation - Kindergarten to College Program

- i. Presenter(s): Celia Yu

Celia Yu presented their presentation on the K2C program.

Chair Barker Plummer asked what the results of the first K2C year last year were, to which Yu said they processed over 1200 submissions with 60% of them being directly by students. Yu added that they helped support graduating seniors to be able to access their account funds.

Officer Fong asked how much of the graduating class used the program, to which Yu said they've been doing a lot of outreach to connect with students to get their funding. Fong asked for clarity regarding the youth's rate of access, to which Yu said that they don't have the exact numbers but that youth are using it more each year as it's become available.

Commissioner Ye asked if every student has an account and if parents have to apply, to which Yu said that every public school student has one and it does not need any application to open since they collaborate with SFUSD and share data.

Chair Barker Plummer asked if the account always remains open for public school students, to which Yu said they move to close the account about a year after the student takes no action after graduating from high school.

Officer Fong asked how that money is utilized, to which Yu said they do not do investments in the K2C accounts but they incentivize youth to access those funds through first-time visits to the account (which gives youth an extra \$20).

No public comment.

Officer Fong left the meeting at 6:10pm, and returned at 6:12pm.

Officer Adair left the meeting at 6:13pm, and returned at 6:14pm.

d. RESOLUTION No. 2324-AL-17 - Resolution supporting plans and highlighting concerns for a "Welcoming West Portal" which includes safety and community space improvements (First Reading)

- i. Presenter(s): Commissioners Ansari, Fong, Wong, Adair, and Lampkins

Commissioners Ansari, Adair, Fong, and Lampkins read the resolution into the record. Commissioner Ansari mentioned that there were changes that were



included into the resolution, which appear on their supporting documents. Chair Barker Plummer and Officer Fong informed the Commission that since working on this resolution, Supervisor Melgar has announced the start of the West Portal Welcoming Committee.

Officer Fong mentioned the changes specifically related to the crash, that there are bollards and traffic changes to deter high speeds and through traffic.

Chair Barker Plummer said it would be beneficial to reframe the resolution to be more general to include more youth voices and perspectives, since the West Portal corridor has a school, library, and major transit station. Commissioner Ansari said she is willing to look at potential changes.

Public Comment:

(1) Hayden Miller: he is glad that the Youth Commission is working on these important issues, but wanted to highlight that community outreach is stalling the process to address the problems with that intersection; he added that they should take a strong citywide stance on quick-builds and not just a one-by-one effort that takes significantly longer.

- e. **MOTION No. 2324-AL-18** - Motion to Support Assembly Bill 2591: Youth Commission in Local Government and urge the San Francisco Board of Supervisors and Mayor London Breed to support AB 2591
 - i. Presenter(s): Commissioners Barker Plummer, Fong, Listana, Lonné Amir, Wu

Chair Barker Plummer introduced the importance of having youth voices included in civic engagement processes, and that San Francisco is lucky to have such an established commission.

Commissioner Ye said she wanted to make sure that the legislation is realistic and that every county might not have the structure to properly staff those youth commissions, to which Barker Plummer said that it's directed towards the Chair of the State Legislature's Appropriations Committee. Director Garcia also said that the State has to reimburse municipal governments with expenses mandated by the State.

Vice Chair Listana, seconded by Officer Wu, motioned to approve Resolution No. 2324-AL-18. No public comment. The motion carried by the following roll call vote:



Roll Call Vote: 15 ayes, 2 absent.

Chloe Wong absent
Allister Adair aye
Kelly Wu aye
Linda Ye aye
Helen Cisneros absent
Gabbie Listana aye
Jason Fong aye
Galia Stack Lozano aye
Skylar Dang aye
Adrianna Faagau-Noa aye
Imaan Ansari aye
Valentina Alioto-Pier aye
Isabella T. Perez aye
Aryelle Lampkins aye
Joselyn Marroquin aye
Téa Lonné Amir aye
Ewan Barker Plummer aye

Action: Resolution No. 2324-AL-18 approved.

- f. **MOTION No. 2324-AL-19** - Motion to Support AB 1858 (Ward): Comprehensive School Safety Plans - Active Shooters and Assailant Drills
- i. Presenter(s): Commissioner Barker Plummer

Chair Barker Plummer mentioned that they discussed this issue when they were discussing their Budget and Policy Priorities earlier this year.

Chair Barker Plummer, seconded by Commissioner Ye, motioned to approve Resolution No. 2324-AL-19. Commissioner Perez asked about the jurisdiction since it seems more focused on the state rather than the local level. No public comment. The motion carried by the following roll call vote:

Roll Call Vote: 15 ayes, 2 absent.

Chloe Wong absent
Allister Adair aye
Kelly Wu aye
Linda Ye aye



Helen Cisneros absent
Gabbie Listana aye
Jason Fong aye
Galia Stack Lozano aye
Skylar Dang aye
Adrianna Faagau-Noa aye
Imaan Ansari aye
Valentina Alioto-Pier aye
Isabella T. Perez aye
Aryelle Lampkins aye
Joselyn Marroquin aye
Téa Lonné Amir aye
Ewan Barker Plummer aye

Action: Resolution No. 2324-AL-17 approved.

Chair Barker Plummer called for a 10-minute recess at 6:35pm, and the meeting was called back into order at 6:47pm.

7. Legislation Referred (discussion and action item)

- a. **BOS File. No. 240389** - Hearing - Status of City Departments Handling Criminal Sexual Assaults and Sexual Harassment Allegations
 - i. Presenter: Legislative Affairs Officers Jason Fong and Allister Adair

Officer Fong mentioned this legislation is important to young people because they've been working on youth-centered policies and advocacy for SFUSD, and that SHARP has been underfunded. Officer Adair added that they believe SHARP has had a strong impact and they'd like to see that work continue and be expanded. Chair Barker Plummer said that SHARP only has two staff members and they've been amazing collaborators with the Youth Commission, and he wants to include comments around understanding the constraints.

Chair Barker Plummer, seconded by Officer Fong, motioned to have no position on BOS File 240389, with comments.

Director Garcia said that this may be the first of many hearings on this issue, and that they should think about what funding could help SHARP adequately sustain these hearings. Specialist Zhan expanded on the fact that SHARP is a service provider and a hub of support. Chair Barker Plummer said it is important to focus on young survivors of abuse and assault.



No public comment. The motion carried by the following roll call vote:

Roll Call Vote: 15 ayes, 2 absent.

Chloe Wong absent
Allister Adair aye
Kelly Wu aye
Linda Ye aye
Helen Cisneros absent
Gabbie Listana aye
Jason Fong aye
Galia Stack Lozano aye
Skylar Dang aye
Adrianna Faagau-Noa aye
Imaan Ansari aye
Valentina Alioto-Pier aye
Isabella T. Perez aye
Aryelle Lampkins aye
Joselyn Marroquin aye
Téa Lonné Amir aye
Ewan Barker Plummer aye

Action: Motion to support BOS File 240389 passes, with comments.

- b. BOS File No. 240427** - Hearing on the City's budgetary decisions regarding funding of essential City services that prioritize community safety and wellbeing, including community programs that prevent crime, provide family, youth, and TAY (Transition Age Youth) services, and support the City's immigrant and limited English Proficient residents; and requesting the Department of Children, Youth, and Their Families, Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development, Office of Economic and Workforce Development, and the City Administrator's Office of Civic Engagement and Immigrant Affairs to report.
- i. Presenter: Legislative Affairs Officers Jason Fong and Allister Adair

Officer Fong said Supervisor Chan called for this hearing to look into how to better prioritize funding for programs that are critical for youth, especially for the organizations that are fully unfunded by the City. Officer Adair recommends a positive recommendation on this item to make sure that our community-based organizations have their voices heard.



Officer Adair, seconded by Officer Fong, motioned to support BOS File 240427. No discussion. No public comment. The motion carried by the following roll call vote:

Roll Call Vote: 15 ayes, 2 absent.

Chloe Wong absent
Allister Adair aye
Kelly Wu aye
Linda Ye aye
Helen Cisneros absent
Gabbie Listana aye
Jason Fong aye
Galicia Stack Lozano aye
Skylar Dang aye
Adrianna Faagau-Noa aye
Imaan Ansari aye
Valentina Alioto-Pier aye
Isabella T. Perez aye
Aryelle Lampkins aye
Joselyn Marroquin aye
Téa Lonné Amir aye
Ewan Barker Plummer aye

Action: Motion to support BOS File 240427 passes.

8. Committee Reports (discussion item)

- a. Executive Committee
 - i. Legislative Affairs Officers

Officer Fong reminded everyone to take pictures with their appointing officers when they have those meetings, to attend the SHARP hearing on Thursday, and to submit any hearing public comment through their own committees.

- ii. Communication and Outreach Officers

Officer Lonné Amir said that the information sessions went well, and to spread the word about the deadline for the YC application this Friday.



Officer Wu said thank you to everyone for tabling at the Cherry Blossom Festival this past month.

iii. General Committee Updates

Vice Chair Listana said to do budget advocacy and to encourage folks to get their applications in before the deadline.

b. Civic Engagement and Education Committee

Commissioner Alioto-Pier said that the Vote16 rally was postponed, and focused on what actions and discussions they want to do for the remainder of this term.

c. Housing, Recreation, and Transit Committee

Officer Fong said they worked on the West Portal resolution and that they would be working on amendments for that from today's conversation.

d. Transformative Justice Committee

Commissioner Dang said they discussed what state legislations to advocate for, and looked at designs for the Juvenile Justice Center they're proposing.

9. Staff Report (discussion item)

Director Esquivel Garcia gave updates on the remaining meeting schedule and gave insight on how commissioners can do leaves of absence, that the SFMTA BOD approved funding for the Free Muni for All Youth program, and that the SF Public Library is expanding the hours of The Mix during the summer. Specialist Zhan gave updates on the SFUSD resource alignment outreach survey, and the upcoming budget advocacy schedules. Specialist Ochoa gave updates on Youth Commission application numbers, the conversations with the community coalition on the DCYF funding crisis, and invited commissioners to be involved in a Tenderloin College Fair that staff and Commissioner Cisneros are planning in July 2024.

Chair Barker Plummer asked if the Comms Officers can post the two BPP victories onto social media, to which Officer Lonné Amir said she will happily work to do so.

10. Announcements (this includes Community Events)



Specialist Zhan announced that there will be a presentation with the Port of San Francisco on July 16th. Director Garcia announced that there are some fun summer activities that staff will be planning, and to look forward to Bike to Wherever Day on Thursday, May 16th at 9am, where Commissioner Ye will be a keynote speaker.

11. Adjournment

There being no further business on the agenda, the full Youth Commission adjourned at 7:20pm.

1 [Addressing West Portal Traffic Safety Changes]

2 **Resolution supporting plans and highlighting concerns for a *Welcoming West***
3 ***Portal* which includes safety and community space improvements**

4 WHEREAS, Recent traffic incidents, specifically the tragic accident on March
5 16th at West Portal Avenue and Ulloa Street that resulted in the deaths of two children,
6 have highlighted an urgent need for enhanced traffic safety measures; and

7 WHEREAS, These incidents are indicative of broader traffic and public safety
8 issues within the West Portal community; and

9 WHEREAS, Motor vehicle collisions are the second-leading cause of death for
10 young people behind firearms, making the crash on March 16th part of a larger pattern
11 of traffic-related violence; and

12 WHEREAS, Young people from schools within the area are some of the most
13 frequent users of the West Portal corridor and utilize MUNI, the West Portal Library, and
14 other local resources on the weekends and after school; and

15 WHEREAS, The initial proposal draft includes the creation of transit only lanes,
16 protected community space, and only allowing right turns on certain streets to reduce
17 the amount of risk for pedestrians; and

18 WHEREAS, 40% of traffic deaths in San Francisco occur after drivers make left
19 turns; the proposal would remove two left turns and reduce the risk of collisions; and

20 WHEREAS, There is substantial community support for continued improvements
21 in traffic safety and public spaces, particularly in areas frequented by pedestrians and
22 cyclists; and

1 WHEREAS, Many businesses have expressed concern over the decrease in
2 private vehicle traffic that would result from the proposed changes; and

3 WHEREAS, Local community members, including staff at West Portal
4 Elementary School, have noted that the proposed changes could impact school drop-
5 offs and pickups, among other potential unforeseen traffic disruptions; and

6 RESOLVED that the San Francisco Youth Commission supports the
7 development and implementation of safety and community space improvements in the
8 West Portal area; and, be it

9 FURTHER RESOLVED that the San Francisco Youth Commission supports the
10 addition of more bicycle parking facilities to promote safer and more sustainable
11 transportation options; and be it

12 FURTHER RESOLVED that the San Francisco Youth Commission urges the
13 inclusion of community and business input in the planning process to ensure that the
14 improvements meet the various needs of the West Portal community; and be it

15 FURTHER RESOLVED that the San Francisco Youth Commission recommends
16 careful consideration of traffic flow changes to avoid negatively impacting school drop-
17 offs and pickups; and, be it

18 FURTHER RESOLVED, that a copy of this resolution be transmitted to the
19 Mayor, the Board of Supervisors, the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency,
20 and the District 7 Youth Council.

1 [DCYF 2024-2029 Cycle RFP Awards - Review and Amend]

2 **Resolution urging the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to review and amend the**
3 **proposed funding allocations to youth-serving nonprofits and community-based**
4 **organizations from the Department for Children, Youth, and Their Families for the**
5 **2024-2029 cycle to maintain current services for youth and mitigate budget**
6 **reductions, and to explore additional revenue sources for the Children and Youth**
7 **Fund.**

8 WHEREAS, The San Francisco Department for Children, Youth, and Their
9 Families (DCYF) is the main Department responsible for funding and overseeing
10 services for children, teens, and transitional-aged youth (TAY) in the City and County of
11 San Francisco, and does so by administering the Children and Youth Fund through
12 grant-making to youth-serving nonprofits and community-based organizations; and

13 WHEREAS, Around \$260,000,000 was set aside for the DCYF Grant Program in
14 the 2022-2023 budget cycle; and

15 WHEREAS, In 1991, San Francisco voters made history with the passage of a
16 Charter Amendment to create a Children’s Fund (Proposition J), with 54.4% of votes in
17 favor, becoming the first city in the nation to guarantee funding specifically allocated for
18 children in the annual budget; and

19 WHEREAS, In 2000, the Children’s Fund was reauthorized by San Francisco
20 voters (Proposition D), with 73.13% of votes in favor; and

21 WHEREAS, In 2014, the Children’s Fund was renamed the Children and Youth
22 Fund and was reauthorized by San Francisco voters (Proposition C), with 74.44% of
23 votes in favor; and

1 WHEREAS, the Children and Youth Fund is intended to ensure the holistic well-
2 being and academic success of San Francisco's children by fostering stable, safe, and
3 supportive family and community environments, complementing community
4 development efforts, prioritizing equity, utilizing best practices and innovative models,
5 equitably distributing funds across age groups, offering gender-responsive and
6 culturally-competent services, and fostering collaboration among service providers for
7 children, youth, and families; and

8 WHEREAS, 0.04% of local property tax revenue is set aside for the Children and
9 Youth Fund, amounting to approximately \$120,000,000 in Fiscal Year 2024-2025; and

10 WHEREAS, DCYF distributes the Children and Youth Fund through a Request
11 for Proposals (RFP) process; and

12 WHEREAS, This process most recently took place in 2023 and 2024 for the
13 2024-2029 RFP awards, in which RFPs were evaluated and awarded in a three phase
14 process; and

15 WHEREAS, In the 2024-2029 RFP proposal, \$414,713,817 was requested and
16 92,017,300 was granted to 231 proposals out of the 698 proposals submitted; and

17 WHEREAS, Nonprofits that support essential services for LGBTQ youth,
18 leadership development, and more either received cuts or no funding from the RFP
19 process; and

20 WHEREAS, The end of many programs not currently included in the RFP awards
21 will create disparities in access to imperative resources that youth rely on; and

22 WHEREAS, The first phase of this process was the Scoring Phase, which
23 included 153 subject matter experts and 50 DCYF staff members scoring submitted

1 RFPs, with readers providing recommendations to “fund”, “fund with reservations”, or
2 “do not fund”, which are then averaged, and RFPs which received either “fund” or “fund
3 with reservations” recommendations moved forward; and

4 WHEREAS, The second phase of this process was the Deliberation Phase,
5 where DCYF staff and funding partners considered four questions (“Does the proposal
6 align with the goals and requirements of the strategy or initiative?”, “Does the proposal
7 indicate an ability to recruit, engage and serve the target population?”, “Is the budget
8 adequate and appropriate for the proposed services?”, and “Does the agency/program’s
9 past performance or non grantee site visit indicate an ability to implement the proposed
10 services”) and RFPs which received four affirmative responses moved forward; and

11 WHEREAS, The third phase was the Decision Phase, in which DCYF senior
12 leadership and funding partners reviewed RFPs to make final funding decisions; and

13 WHEREAS, 698 RFPs were originally submitted to DCYF, with 670 moving out
14 of phase one, 338 moving out of phase two, and 231 moving out of phase three and
15 being partially funded; and

16 WHEREAS, Youth-serving nonprofits and community organizations have
17 expressed frustration over allocations as funding decisions did not match the written
18 comments and scores; and

19 WHEREAS, Multiple youth-serving nonprofits, community-based organizations,
20 and programs have expressed concerns due to major funding reductions from the
21 proposed RFP Awards compared to previous awards; and

22 WHEREAS, Many LGBTQ+ youth-serving nonprofits, community-based
23 organizations, and programs, including the Lavender Youth Recreation and Information

1 Center (LYRIC) and San Francisco LGBT Center, have expressed serious concerns
2 with the proposed funding reductions, putting existing services for queer TAY at risk;
3 and

4 WHEREAS, LYRIC will have their entire Career Leadership Program funding
5 eliminated under the current proposed RFP Awards; and

6 WHEREAS, The LYRIC Career Leadership Program works to support and
7 employ queer TAY who lack other support networks by providing them with part-time
8 paid internships which help develop their skills to enter the workforce and become
9 financially independent, as well as access to a Program Coordinator and Youth
10 Advocate to support their individual needs; and

11 WHEREAS, LYRIC will have their entire Sequoia Leadership Institute program
12 funding eliminated under the current proposed RFP Awards; and

13 WHEREAS, The LYRIC Sequoia Leadership Institute consists of three cohorts of
14 youth who receive leadership training and support while engaging in a paid internship,
15 with the first cohort examining power and privilege, LGBTQQ+ identities, community
16 organizing strategies, and developing leadership skills, the second cohort program is
17 co-created by youth participants based on social issues or interests impacting their
18 communities, and the third cohort makes up the LYRIC youth advisory board; and

19 WHEREAS, The San Francisco LGBT Center will see a 56% reduction (-
20 \$321,012) in funding for their Queer Youth Drop-In services under the current proposed
21 RFP Awards, which provides TAY with access to food, clothing, hygiene, gender
22 affirming supplies, and mental health care support, as well as housing navigation
23 services; and

1 WHEREAS, Many youth-serving nonprofits, community-based organizations, and
2 programs focused on serving homeless TAY have expressed serious concerns with the
3 proposed funding reductions; and

4 WHEREAS, Larkin Street Youth Services will have their entire Youth Workforce
5 Development (YWD) program funding eliminated under the current proposed RFP
6 Awards; and

7 WHEREAS, The YWD program provides unhoused TAY paid employment
8 opportunities, career development support, and transition planning services, located at
9 their Tenderloin Service Hub; and

10 WHEREAS, Larkin Street Youth Services will have their entire Youth Leadership
11 Program funding eliminated under the current proposed RFP Awards; and

12 WHEREAS, The Larkin Street Youth Services Youth Leadership Program
13 provides TAY with paid opportunities, including focus groups, workshops, conferences,
14 and policy work, to influence internal Larkin Street Youth Services policies and citywide
15 advocacy on the homelessness crisis; and

16 WHEREAS, Huckleberry Youth Programs will have their entire Huckleberry
17 Advocacy and Response Team (HART) program funding eliminated under the current
18 proposed RFP Awards; and

19 WHEREAS, HART is a program for youth aged 11-24 who are vulnerable to or
20 previously involved in sex trafficking which creates safe spaces for individuals to heal
21 and provides supportive services to connect them with support in their communities;
22 and

1 WHEREAS, Many nonprofits, community-based organizations, and programs
2 which promote youth leadership and provide support for youth-led initiatives have
3 expressed serious concerns with the proposed funding reductions, putting existing
4 programs at-risk of ending; and

5 WHEREAS, The Community Youth Center (CYC) of San Francisco, a nonprofit
6 that supports youth leadership, applied for a total 20 proposals and only one was fully
7 funded; and

8 WHEREAS, CYC supports the District 7 Youth Council, the only district youth
9 council in the entire city, but will receive no funds to allow for the continuation of this
10 program under the current proposed RFP Awards; and

11 WHEREAS, The District 7 Youth Council was initially created to increase youth
12 civic voice in government, and numerous youth in the current and past councils have
13 worked on projects like supporting ballot initiatives, writing resolutions, and hosting large
14 community events for District 7 and the greater San Francisco community; and

15 WHEREAS, Youth Funding Youth Ideas (YFYI), a program of Bay Area
16 Community Resources, will have their entire program funding eliminated under the
17 current proposed RFP Awards; and

18 WHEREAS, YFYI is a grant-making and education program which provides
19 grants to youth-led projects to address community issues, with training and support for
20 youth grantees; and

21 WHEREAS, The aforementioned services have long been present for youth in
22 the City and County of San Francisco, providing critical support for housing stability,

1 community support, employment assistance, fostering new youth leaders, and more;
2 and

3 WHEREAS, RFP applicants who did not receive funding were provided less than
4 a week to appeal the funding decisions; and

5 WHEREAS, The Youth Commission has historically partnered with many of the
6 aforementioned nonprofits and community-based organizations to ensure young San
7 Franciscans are connected with their government; and therefore be it

8 RESOLVED, That the San Francisco Youth Commission urges the Mayor and
9 Board of Supervisors to review and amend the proposed funding allocations in the
10 current Request for Proposals Awards from the Department of Children, Youth, and
11 Their Families to ensure adequate funding is provided to youth-serving nonprofits and
12 community-based organizations; and be it

13 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the San Francisco Youth Commission urges the
14 Mayor, Board of Supervisors, and Department of Children, Youth, and Their Families to
15 explore additional revenue sources for the Children and Youth Fund, including an
16 increase in General Fund allocation, state and federal grants, and philanthropic
17 fundraising; and be it

18 FURTHER RESOLVED, That San Francisco Youth Commission staff are
19 instructed to distribute copies of this resolution to the Mayor, Board of Supervisors, and
20 Department of Children, Youth, and Their Families.

21

Dear Youth Commission,

After our meeting on May 20, 2024, I am submitting my formal request for a leave of absence from my position as District 10's Youth Commissioner of the Youth Commission. My time away from work will be from May 27, 2024 to August 5, 2024.

If my request is approved, I am more than willing to help prepare a plan of action to take care of my job responsibilities while I am away from the job. If needed, I can be available by phone or email to provide help in answering any questions that may arise.

If you need any additional information, please let me know. Thank you for your time in discussing the leave with me and for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Adrianna Faagau-Noa

Dear Youth Commission,

After our meeting on May 20th, I am submitting my formal request for a leave of absence from my position as Mayoral Appointee and Vice Chair of the Civic Engagement and Education Committee of the Youth Commission. My time away from work will be from May 27th to June 30th.

If my request is approved, I am more than willing to help prepare a plan of action to take care of my job responsibilities while I am away from the job. If needed, I can be available by phone or email to provide help in answering any questions that may arise.

If you need any additional information, please let me know. Thank you for your time in discussing the leave with me and for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Isabella Perez

Dear Youth Commission,

After our meeting on June 10th 2024, I am submitting my formal request for a leave of absence from my position as the District 3 Youth Commissioner of the Youth Commission. My time away from work will be from June 12th to June 17th.

If my request is approved, I am more than willing to help prepare a plan of action to take care of my job responsibilities while I am away from the job. If needed, I can be available by phone or email to provide help in answering any questions that may arise.

If you need any additional information, please let me know. Thank you for your time in discussing the leave with me and for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Kelly Wu.

Dear Youth Commission,

After our meeting on May 20th, I am submitting my formal request for a leave of absence from my position as Communications and Outreach Officer of the Youth Commission. My time away from work will be from June 21st through July 15th.

If my request is approved, I am more than willing to help prepare a plan of action to take care of my job responsibilities while I am away from the job. If needed, I can be available by phone or email to provide help in answering any questions that may arise.

If you need any additional information, please let me know. Thank you for your time in discussing the leave with me and for considering my request.

Sincerely,

Téa Lonné Amir

1 [Administrative Code - Surveillance Technology Policy - Automated Speed Enforcement
2 System - SFMTA]

3 **Ordinance approving the Surveillance Technology Policy for the San Francisco**
4 **Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) use of Automated Speed Enforcement**
5 **System.**

6 NOTE: **Unchanged Code text and uncodified text** are in plain Arial font.
7 **Additions to Codes** are in *single-underline italics Times New Roman font*.
8 **Deletions to Codes** are in *strikethrough italics Times New Roman font*.
9 **Board amendment additions** are in double-underlined Arial font.
10 **Board amendment deletions** are in ~~strikethrough Arial font~~.
11 **Asterisks (* * * *)** indicate the omission of unchanged Code
12 subsections or parts of tables.

13 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

14 Section 1. Background.

15 (a) Administrative Code Chapter 19B establishes requirements that City departments
16 must follow before they may use or acquire new Surveillance Technology. Under
17 Administrative Code Section 19B.2(a), a City department must obtain Board of Supervisors
18 approval by ordinance of a Surveillance Technology Policy before: (1) seeking funds for
19 Surveillance Technology; (2) acquiring or borrowing new Surveillance Technology; (3) using
20 new or existing Surveillance Technology for a purpose, in a manner, or in a location not
21 specified in a Board-approved Surveillance Technology ordinance; (4) entering into
22 agreement with a non-City entity to acquire, share, or otherwise use Surveillance Technology;
23 or (5) entering into an oral or written agreement under which a non-City entity or individual
24 regularly provides the department with data or information acquired through the entity's use of
25 Surveillance Technology.

1 (b) Under Administrative Code Section 19B.2(b), the Board of Supervisors may
2 approve a Surveillance Technology Policy ordinance under Section 19B.2(a) only if: (1) the
3 department seeking Board approval first submits to the Committee on Information Technology
4 (COIT) a Surveillance Impact Report for the Surveillance Technology to be acquired or used;
5 (2) based on the Surveillance Impact Report, COIT develops a Surveillance Technology
6 Policy for the Surveillance Technology to be acquired or used; and (3) at a public meeting at
7 which COIT considers the Surveillance Technology Policy, COIT recommends that the Board
8 adopt, adopt with modification, or decline to adopt the Surveillance Technology Policy for the
9 Surveillance Technology to be acquired or used.

10 (c) Under Administrative Code Section 19B.4, the City policy is that the Board of
11 Supervisors will approve a Surveillance Technology Policy ordinance only if it determines that
12 the benefits that the Surveillance Technology ordinance authorizes outweigh its costs, that the
13 Surveillance Technology Policy ordinance will safeguard civil liberties and civil rights, and that
14 the uses and deployments of the Surveillance Technology under the ordinance will not be
15 based upon discriminatory or viewpoint-based factors or have a disparate impact on any
16 community or Protected Class.

17 Section 2. Surveillance Technology Policy Ordinance for SFMTA Use of Automated
18 Speed Enforcement System.

19 (a) Purpose. The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (“SFMTA” or “the
20 Department”) seeks Board of Supervisors authorization under Section 19B.2(a) to use
21 Automated Speed Enforcement System technology as follows: (1) To enforce speed limits on
22 City streets in accordance with California Vehicle Code Sections 22425-22434 (Speed Safety
23 System Pilot Program); and (2) To perform analysis of and reporting on speed enforcement,
24 as required under the Speed Safety System Pilot Program.

1 The Surveillance Technology Policy for the SFMTA's use of Automated Speed
2 Enforcement Systems safeguards residents' civil rights and liberties. It defines the authorized
3 and restricted uses of the technology, applying to all SFMTA personnel and contractors. The
4 policy restricts the use of the technology to authorized uses, ensuring it's not used for unlawful
5 discrimination or other purposes.

6 Automated Speed Enforcement System technology supports the SFMTA's mission to
7 create a safe, equitable, and sustainable transportation system by efficiently enforcing vehicle
8 speed laws. It aims to reduce traffic-related fatalities and injuries, aligning with the Vision Zero
9 Policy. The technology, which captures images of speeding vehicles' rear license plates,
10 helps improve public health and safety by reducing speed-related collisions and providing
11 valuable data for policy-making.

12 The policy outlines strict requirements for data management, including encryption, data
13 classification, notification to the public, and access control. It prohibits internal and external
14 sharing of surveillance data unless required by law and specifies data retention and disposal
15 procedures. Compliance is overseen by designated personnel, with sanctions for violations,
16 ensuring accountability and transparency in the use of the technology.

17 (b) Surveillance Impact Report. The Department submitted to COIT a Surveillance
18 Impact Report for Automated Speed Enforcement Systems. A copy of the Department's
19 Surveillance Impact Report for Automated Speed Enforcement Systems is in Board File
20 No. 240367, and is incorporated herein by reference.

21 (c) Public Hearings. On February 22, 2024 and March 21, 2024, COIT and its Privacy
22 and Surveillance Advisory Board (PSAB) conducted two public hearings at which they
23 considered the Surveillance Impact Report referenced in subsection (b) and developed a
24 Surveillance Technology Policy for the Department's use of an Automated Speed
25 Enforcement System. A copy of the Surveillance Technology Policy for SFMTA's use of an

LEGISLATIVE DIGEST

[Administrative Code - Surveillance Technology Policy - Automated Speed Enforcement System - SFMTA]

Ordinance approving the Surveillance Technology Policy for the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) use of Automated Speed Enforcement System.

Existing Law

Administrative Code Section 19B.02(a) requires that City departments obtain Board of Supervisors approval by ordinance of a Surveillance Technology Policy under which the Department will acquire and use Surveillance Technology, prior to engaging in any of the following:

- (1) Seeking funds for Surveillance Technology, including but not limited to applying for a grant, or accepting state or federal funds, or public or private in-kind or other donations;
- (2) Acquiring or borrowing new Surveillance Technology, including but not limited to acquiring Surveillance Technology without the exchange of monies or other consideration;
- (3) Using new or existing Surveillance Technology for a purpose, in a manner, or in a location not specified in a Surveillance Technology Policy ordinance approved by the Board in accordance with this Chapter 19B;
- (4) Entering into agreement with a non-City entity to acquire, share, or otherwise use Surveillance Technology; or
- (5) Entering into an oral or written agreement under which a non-City entity or individual regularly provides the Department with data or information acquired through the entity's use of Surveillance Technology.

Amendments to Current Law

The proposed ordinance would not change existing law. In accordance Administrative Code Section 19B.02(a), the proposed ordinance would approve the Surveillance Technology Policy under which the SFMTA would be authorized to implement an Automated Speed Enforcement System in San Francisco for the following purposes:

- (1) To enforce speed limits on City streets in accordance with California Vehicle Code sections 22425-22434 (Speed Safety System Pilot Program); and

- (2) To perform analysis of and reporting on speed enforcement, as required under the Speed Safety System Pilot Program.

Background Information

In October 2023, the State of California enacted Assembly Bill 645 (“AB 645”), authorizing six jurisdictions, including the City and County of San Francisco, to implement an Automated Speed Enforcement System pilot program (“Pilot Program”). The Pilot Program involves the use of Automated Speed Enforcement System technology to improve road safety and is authorized to be operational for five years or until January 1, 2032, whichever comes first. The City actively supported AB 645 throughout the legislative process.

The Surveillance Technology Policy for the SFMTA’s use of Automated Speed Enforcement Systems safeguards residents’ civil rights and liberties. It defines the authorized and restricted uses of the technology, applying to all SFMTA personnel and contractors. The policy restricts the use of the technology to authorized uses, ensuring it’s not used for unlawful discrimination or other purposes.

Automated Speed Enforcement System technology supports the SFMTA’s mission to create a safe, equitable, and sustainable transportation system by efficiently enforcing vehicle speed laws. It aims to reduce traffic-related fatalities and injuries, aligning with the Vision Zero Policy. The technology, which captures images of speeding vehicles’ rear license plates, helps improve public health and safety by reducing speed-related collisions and providing valuable data for policy-making.

The Surveillance Technology Policy outlines strict requirements for data management, including encryption, data classification, notification to the public, and access control. It prohibits internal and external sharing of data unless required by law and specifies data retention and disposal procedures. Compliance is overseen by designated personnel, with sanctions for violations, ensuring accountability and transparency in the use of the technology.

On February 22, 2024, the Committee on Information Technology (“COIT”) and its Privacy and Surveillance Advisory Board conducted a public hearings at which they considered the Surveillance Impact Report for SFMTA’s use of Automated Speed Enforcement System technology and developed a Surveillance Technology Policy.

On March 21, 2024, COIT voted to recommend that the Board of Supervisors adopt SFMTA’s Surveillance Technology Policy for the use of Automated Speed Enforcement System technology.