

SUNSHINE ORDINANCE TASK FORCE  
AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Complaint Committee

Date: March 21, 2023

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Petition/Complaint	Page: <u>338</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Respondent's Response	Page: <u>519</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Petitioner/Complainant Rebuttal	Page: <u>    </u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Memorandum - Deputy City Attorney	Page: <u>    </u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Petitioner/Complainant	
	Supporting Documents	Page: <u>    </u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Respondent Supporting Documents	Page: <u>    </u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Public Correspondence	Page: <u>    </u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Order of Determination	Page: <u>    </u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minutes	Page: <u>    </u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	Administrator's Report	Page: <u>    </u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	No Attachments	

OTHER

<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	Page
<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	
<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	
<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	
<input type="checkbox"/>	_____	

Completed by: C. Leger Date 3/16/23

\* An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 25 pages.  
The complete document is in the file on a disk



## Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Complaint Summary

File No. 23013 A-E

Chris Ward Kline v Department of Public Health (A)  
Chris Ward Kline v Office of the Sheriff (B)  
Chris Ward Kline v Mayors Office (C)  
Chris Ward Kline v Department of Emergency Management (D)  
Chris Ward Kline v SF Police Department (E)

Date filed with SOTF: 1/28/2023

Contact information:

Chris Kline ([ckblueaqua@gmail.com](mailto:ckblueaqua@gmail.com)) Complainant  
Deirdre Hussey ([deirdre.hussey@sfdph.org](mailto:deirdre.hussey@sfdph.org)) Public Health; Paul Miyamoto  
([paul.miyamoto@sfgov.org](mailto:paul.miyamoto@sfgov.org)) Sheriff's Office; Victor Lim ([victor.lim@sfgov.org](mailto:victor.lim@sfgov.org)) Dept. of  
Emergency Management; Lt. William Toomey ([william.toomey@sfgov.org](mailto:william.toomey@sfgov.org)) Police Dept.  
(Respondents)

---

**File No. 23013 A** Complaint filed by Chris Ward Kline against Department of Public Health (A) for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, 67.24(b)(o), and 67.25 by failing to respond to a request for public records in a timely and/or complete manner.

**File No. 23013 B** Complaint filed by Chris Ward Kline against Office of the Sheriff (B) for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, 67.24(b)(o), and 67.25 by failing to respond to a request for public records in a timely and/or complete manner.

**File No. 23013 C** Complaint filed by Chris Ward Kline against Mayors Office (C) for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, 67.24(b)(o), and 67.25 by failing to respond to a request for public records in a timely and/or complete manner.

**File No. 23013 D** Complaint filed by Chris Ward Kline against Department of Emergency Management (D) for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, 67.24(b)(o), and 67.25 by failing to respond to a request for public records in a timely and/or complete manner.

**File No. 23013 E** Complaint filed by Chris Ward Kline against A-E SF Police Department (E) for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, 67.24(b)(o), and 67.25 by failing to respond to a request for public records in a timely and/or complete manner.

---

**File No. 23013 A** Complaint filed by Chris Ward Kline against Department of Public Health (A) for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, 67.24(b)(o), and 67.25 by failing to respond to a request for public records in a timely and/or complete manner.

Request for all communications between Public and Chris Ward Kline that deal with the app Once Systems and Bitfocus, as well as any and all APPS.

DPH response stated that they conducted a search and the only result were emails send by Mr. Ward Kline himself.

**File No. 23013 B** Complaint filed by Chris Ward Kline against Office of the Sheriff (B) for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, 67.24(b)(o), and 67.25 by failing to respond to a request for public records in a timely and/or complete manner.

Request for all public records to include epidemlology, hehavioral health surveillance to include communications from county to and from Chris Ward Kline. See file for additional details.

**File No. 23013 C** Complaint filed by Chris Ward Kline against Mayors Office (C) for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, 67.24(b)(o), and 67.25 by failing to respond to a request for public records in a timely and/or complete manner.

It is unclear as to the exact nature of the request for records. There is a email sent to various depatments requesting records but it is not clear what was requested from the submitted documents. The request is most likely for the following:

Electronic surveillance, Microphone Surveillance, Physical Surveillance and Technical Surveillance for both main files records and cross references.

**File No. 23013 D** Complaint filed by Chris Ward Kline against Department of Emergency Management (D for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, 67.24(b)(o), and 67.25 by failing to respond to a request for public records in a timely and/or complete manner.

Electronic surveillance, Microphone Surveillance, Physical Surveillance and Technical Surveillance for both main files records and cross references.

**File No. 23013 E** Complaint filed by Chris Ward Kline against A-E SF Police Department (E) e for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, 67.24(b)(o), and 67.25 by failing to respond to a request for public records in a timely and/or complete manner.



It is unclear as to the exact nature of the request for records. There is a email sent to various departments requesting records but it is not clear what was requested from the submitted documents. The request is most likely for the following:

Electronic surveillance, Microphone Surveillance, Physical Surveillance and Technical Surveillance for both main files records and cross references.

# Petition/Complaint



RECEIVED  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO

2023 FEB 13 AM 8:42

BY JSR

SUNSHINE ORDINANCE TASK FORCE

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco CA 94102

Tel. (415) 554-7724; Fax (415) 554-7854

<http://www.sfgov.org/sunshine>

SUNSHINE ORDINANCE COMPLAINT FORM

Complainant Name (Optional)

Chris WARD Kline

Date of Request:

1/28/2023

Please identify the City Official(s) and/or Employee(s) against whom the complaint is being made:

SFOPH, Sheriff, SFO,  
DEM + Mayor

Please identify the Officials' and/or Employees' Board, Commission, Task Force, Department or other type of agency:

Same as ABOVE

Name of the Custodian of Records tasked with providing the requested information:

London Breed + Mary Ellen Carroll

- ☒ Alleged violation of public records access  
☒ Alleged failure to provide information in a timely manner in accordance with the provisions of the Sunshine Ordinance  
☐ Alleged violation of a public meeting  
Please indicate date of meeting if known

Sunshine Ordinance Section(s)

67.21; 67.24(B)(C); 67.25

(If known, please cite specific provision(s) being violated)

Please describe the alleged violation. Use additional paper if needed. Please attach any relevant documentation which supports your complaint.

See ATTACHED word Documents for specific Details.

Are you requesting a public hearing before the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force?<sup>1</sup>

☒ yes ☐ no

If yes, please provide 1 or more preferred method(s) of contact:

☒ Phone: 415-513-9334

☒ Mailing Address: 250 Kearny ST Apt 618

☐ Fax:

San Francisco, CA 94108

☒ Email: CKBlueagva@gmail.com ☐ Other:

Signature:

CK Blue

Date:

1/28/2023

<sup>1</sup> NOTICE: PERSONAL INFORMATION THAT IS PROVIDED WHEN ADDRESSING A PUBLIC POLICY BODY IS SUBJECT TO DISCLOSURE UNDER THE CALIFORNIA PUBLIC RECORDS ACT AND THE SUNSHINE ORDINANCE. MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC ARE NOT REQUIRED TO PROVIDE PERSONAL IDENTIFYING INFORMATION, AND COMPLAINANTS MAY REMAIN ANONYMOUS. HOWEVER, FOR PROPER NOTICING AND PROCESSING OF A HEARING REQUEST, A RELIABLE MEANS OF CONTACT IS RECOMMENDED. PLEASE NOTE THAT THE SOTF ADMINISTRATOR WILL NOT REDACT ANY INFORMATION PROVIDED IN THESE SUBMISSIONS.

11/5/2015



Sunshine Ordinance Task Force  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco CA 94102  
Tel. (415) 554-7724; Fax (415) 554-7854  
<http://www.sfgov.org/sunshine>

Thank you for your interest in the implementation of the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance.

The Sunshine Ordinance adopted by the citizens of the City & County of San Francisco declares that:

- (a) Government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decisions in full view of the public.
- (b) Commissions, boards, councils and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. This Ordinance will assure that their deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people's review.

The role of the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force is to advise the Board of Supervisors and provide information to other City departments on appropriate ways in which to implement the Ordinance. The Task Force is responsible for developing appropriate goals to ensure the practical and timely implementation of the Ordinance and to report to the Board on practical or policy problems encountered in the administration of the Ordinance.

If you have encountered problems regarding compliance with the Ordinance, the Public Records Act or the Ralph M. Brown (Public Meetings) Act, we ask that you fill out the attached complaint form. Please deliver the form to: Administrator of the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102-4689.

We attempt to resolve complaints informally where possible, through the affected departments and the City Attorney's office. Matters, which cannot be adequately resolved, or matters that involve substantial policy considerations, may be set for a hearing at a Task Force meeting. The Task Force meets the first Wednesday of each month at 4:00 p.m. at City Hall, Room 408.

**NOTICE: PERSONAL INFORMATION THAT IS PROVIDED WHEN ADDRESSING A PUBLIC POLICY BODY IS SUBJECT TO DISCLOSURE UNDER THE CALIFORNIA PUBLIC RECORDS ACT AND THE SUNSHINE ORDINANCE. MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC ARE NOT REQUIRED TO PROVIDE PERSONAL IDENTIFYING INFORMATION, AND COMPLAINANTS MAY REMAIN ANONYMOUS. HOWEVER, FOR PROPER NOTICING AND PROCESSING OF A HEARING REQUEST, A RELIABLE MEANS OF CONTACT IS RECOMMENDED. PLEASE NOTE THAT THE SOTF ADMINISTRATOR WILL NOT REDACT ANY INFORMATION PROVIDED IN THESE SUBMISSIONS.**

11/5/2015

SUNSHINE ORDINANCE COMPLAINT FORM ALLEGED VIOLATION

- 1) Numerous request with SFDPH, SFPD, DEM and Sheriff's Department for public records for my person with most recent request dated December 13, 2022 – see that specific enclosed request for the entirety of request, specifics to include all surveillance, health surveillance, HIV surveillance and all audio/video surveillance. Pg 3-5
- 2) Please note on pg 5 "You will receive the Sheriff's office response no later than January 6, 2023" signed by Alison Lambert, Legal Assistant, San Francisco Sheriff's Office, Central Records and Warrants Unit.
- 3) Please note on pg 2, as on January 27, 2023 that request aforementioned in 1) and 2) is still listed as 'in progress' and I have not been notified via any other communications. These records have been delayed for over 8 plus months now.
- 4) All other pages in this request are a sampling and not all request sent to SFDPH, DEM, SFPD and Sheriff's Department. I have notified the Mayor, SFPD, Sheriff and Director Colfax several times of these attempts and it is obvious that there is stalling, and hesitancy to turn over the documents in the city/county possession.
- 5) The city and county utilizes an app called One System, which offers a variety of surveillance type tools to include GPS/GPRS, tracking documents and tracking individuals. Due to the GPS abilities, all data would eventually be stored within the Sheriff's Department and/or Department of Emergency Management. Just with One System, there would be records concerning myself. I'm asking for all records not just with One System, but all notes, files, surveillance, request, etc.
- 6) HIPPA Law does state that it is not required to turn over 'audio files' in protective health records; however, that a typed or otherwise printed format of the transcript is required for review by the person's health record it pertains too. The aforementioned agencies are refusing to turn over any records stating federal protection which is not valid nor true. Surveillance 'supremacy laws' lie within the city and county and are reviewable records and can be challenged in the court of law. For example, if a person was on HIV or Health Surveillance for Public Health to guide a patient to healthcare by audio means, a complete transcript of the interaction between 'operator and patient' shall be made available for review.
- 7) I'm in the process of amending my medical records with the Veterans Affairs and Kaiser. Records already received clearly would show that San Francisco has records that I'm requesting to review, challenge and potentially challenge in the court of law.
- 8) It is my desire to work with the city and county outside the court of law in resolving disputes, access to the records and their assistance with amending my records.

Respectfully,



Chris Ward Kline

1/28/2023

ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTATION CONCERNING ONE SYSTEM


The last several pages are a list of SF Agencies and non-profits that utilize the app One System that information is stored within the Department of Emergency Management, within the Public Health/Safety Infrastructure Communications.

ALL SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENTS UTILIZE THIS APP, HOWEVER, SENIOR OFFICIALS ATTEMPT TO NOT LIST PUBLIC HEALTH, SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT, ETC IN AN ATTEMPT TO RESTRICT INFORMATION ON SURVEILLANCE EQUIPMENT ON CITIZENS OF SAN FRANCISCO.

IT SHOULD BE NOTED THAT THIS IS NOT LISTED ON ANY SURVEILLANCE TECHNOLOGY REQUIRED RELEASE TO THE PUBLIC. IT IS ONLY BECAUSE IT IS OVERUSED AND USED ILLEGALLY AND UNCONSTITUTIONALLY BY A FEW WITHIN SAN FRANCISCO.

With this information, which is reviewable and able to be challenged in the courts, it will show that a few in San Francisco have acted in violation of the Sunshine Act and obstructed in investigations regarding, Chris Ward Kline.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'CWK / 10'.

Chris Ward Kline

2/10/2023



San Francisco

Sheriff's Office



## Records Menu

### FAQs

[See All FAQs](#)

What types of records are available?

Are there fees for public records requests?

What records are exempt from public requests?

When will I receive records for my public request?

If a records does not exist, can the agency create a record in response to my request?

#### Public Records Request

about a month ago

In Progress

P001596-121322

Public Records Request

Status : Received

Chris Kline

[View File\(s\)](#)
[Details](#)

#### Public Records Request

3 months ago

Completed

P001470-101922

Public Records Request

Status : Partial Release

Chris Kline

[View File\(s\)](#)
[Details](#)

#### Subpoena Duces Tecum

about a year ago

Completed

S000127-070521

All public health records to include epidemiology, behavioral health surveillance to include communication from county to and from Chris Ward Kline. Information should include all communication transmitted through Public Broadcast, Emergency Broadcast and Public Health Communication and any additional surveillance networks within San Francisco County via voice synthesizers and utilization of text to voice/speech and voice/speech to text during all periods from 9/2001 through July 4, 2021. It should be noted that plaintiff was not in prison nor affiliated with a gang; however, Plaintiff is requesting electronic surveillance listed above but also any

Powered by  
**GovQA**

2





Chris K. &lt;ckblueaqua@gmail.com&gt;

**[Records Center] Public Records Request :: P001596-121322**

1 message

San Francisco County Sheriff <sfsheriff@govqa.us>  
To: "ckblueaqua@gmail.com" <ckblueaqua@gmail.com>

Fri, Dec 23, 2022 at 11:26 AM

--- Please respond above this line ---



RE: Public Records Request of December 13, 2022, Reference # P001596-121322

Dear Chris Kline,

On December 13, 2022, the San Francisco Sheriff's office received your request for records pursuant to the California Public Records Act (CPRA) wherein you requested the following:

*See original request and additional items. I'm also looking for encrypted files of Interoperable Communication, text-to-voice/voice to text and for these files to be decrypted and turned over within a reasonable amount of time. It is how public health and safety uses technology. For example, it picks up code words such as 'what is the speed limit?' and the technology is supposed to inform me with computers and devices talking to me the individual. It is not classified nor confidential. It is the same devices and equipment that churches use, Federal Prisons, jails and protective housing folks as well as folks with HIV. It is also used to protect politicians inside city hall and outside during events in which SFPD and Sheriff's places on large swaths of people during these events and with the equipment atop the County Library and Asian Art Museum.*

*I know these records exist as they exist in all approximately 3,030 counties across the United States. This is not an abnormal request, just all files related to me and interaction with former and current employees.*

*I understand the legal issues and the facts that some former and possible current deputies have went to fall with their surveillance duties. The office of the Sheriff is to uphold the law with the upmost integrity.*

*I can if needed request this information from the District of Columbia Public Safety as that is where the Interface for the entire system that wraps up to federal agencies such of Commerce, Labor, DOJ, HHS, AND multiple others. It is also available at the FCC.*

*I'm also requesting all information from the Sheriff's Department on any investigation conducted by current and former employees using this equipment. I have also submitted an*

*Internal Affairs request and have submitted over 60 pages of documentation. There would have been notes added to my file and those are public records.*

*Besides One System which I have 100% proof that Department of Emergency Management and the Sheriff's Department uses, amongst others, but to also include the following:*

*1) body worn cameras, 2) inmate phone call recording, 3) CCTV Cameras, 4) Fiber Optic Cameras and Under door cameras, 5) GPS Tracking devices, 6) HNT Throw Phone/Camera, 7) any stingray or related technology, 8) Recon Scout, 9) Constant Contact, 10) Google Web Analytic, 11) drones, 12) RFID Scanner, 13) Vehicle and Mobile Command Cameras, 14) SCRAM Ankle Bracelet, 15) Dataworks Plus Digital Photo, 16) Cellbrite, 17) Lil Ears Microphone, 18) Irobot, 19) Vetmax Camera, 20) Wolstenholme Hazprobe, 20) Androx Robotics with Camera, 21) under vehicle Camera, and all other apps, devices and technology.*

*As a portion of this has been answered already, please disregard the portions already answered by your office such as P.R.E.P.*

*All records within the Sheriff's Department, the Department of Emergency Management and other agencies to include the Sheriff Department Community Programs and Engagement Programs to include but not limited too: Current and valid P.R.E.P. certification from the California Department of Health and for all other records that are known to exist on a variety of incidents, issues, surveillance to include: interviewing gang members, interviewing homeless people, interacting with people on the app*

*One System, public health surveillance and safety equipment to include and not limited too: All Central Records Systems to include but not limited to Electronic/Microphone/Physical and Technical Surveillances for both main file records and cross reference files. These records are known to exist as all apps that utilize GPS and GPRS data, with One System and all other apps such as public health, public safety and political surveillance to include any and all surveys and messages sent to any and devices specific to Chris Ward Kline. a previous request for records was denied by Retired Deputy James Wilson which would be misconduct of a public official since the records do exist. — Clarification response: — I was asked for follow up on two specific parts of my records request: 1) P.R.E.P. is the Post Release Education Program, certification #380083BN expired 5/31/2017. It is required by state law as the Sheriff conducts outpatient Alcohol and/or other Drug Services. California Department of Health Care Services issues the certification. 2) the App One System was purchased by the city and county of San Francisco from Bitfocus, Inc. and is used in all agencies within the city and county of San Francisco to multiple day to day functions, monitors SIGINT, is assigned a FCC channel, and used for Protection for elected officials, gang surveillance, public health day to day operations, public safety day to day operations. With it, you can program signals to go to peoples homes, cell phones, police vehicles, fire trucks, etc. and it is being used illegally by a few in San Francisco to influence people for various personal and political reasons. Simply put, I want all information that applies to me, impacted me, etc that was used on that FCC channel. You can contact this person's email shome.vasudevan@sfgov.org who has the certification which expires in the year 2030. SFIA COMMUNICATIONS DEPT SAN FRANCISCO, SAN MATEO, SAN FRANCISCO, CA P: (650)821-3644 SY - Trunked Public Safety 700 MHz WQMR423 - Call Sign 90.523(A) - GOVERNMENT AGENCIES SAN FRANCISCO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT PUBLIC SAFETY RADIO SYSTEM City Agency HSH is the largest agency in the city and county. It uses the app and issues credentials to agencies and individuals to, when done legally, to guide people to healthy decisions. When it is being done illegally, it can force people to move that they don't like, that don't vote for a specific person, or donate to a specific person or cause. Please let me know if there is additional information needed.*

*I'm also concerned that at least one record was removed from this service request system in*

*which the Legal Office stated that they needed two more weeks to review my claim asking for my knowledge of P.R.E.P. and One System.*

*I'm also looking for all credentials that were given out to any citizen, former and current employee and purpose of allowing them credentials. Within San Francisco, over 50 agencies utilize One System, from churches, non profit, Department of Emergency Management, Public Health, and politicians use to send out MailChimp, SurveyMonkey, SurveyGizmo, etc.*

*If the Sheriff was not able to monitor this system, a person could at any time, send an email, text, call with the aforementioned apps, to inmates or visitors entering into City Hall. It sends bots and malware that turn on a persons phone, and then can be a live operator, program, questionnaire, etc to cause violence within areas that are protected by the Sheriff's Department.*

*IT WOULD BE IMPOSSIBLE FOR THE SHERIFF NOT TO HAVE ANY DOCUMENTATION CONCERNING THIS, LET ALONG SOMEONE THAT HAS VISITED SAN FRANCISCO, FREQUENTED SAN FRANCISCO, SPOKE AT CITY HALL, ETC.*

*I'm also including multiple dates and times in which current and former Sheriff's employees were using surveillance on me at various times and the obstruction that occurred.*

The Sheriff's Office responds to your public records request as follows: The Sheriff's office is conducting an extensive review to locate and review responsive records in order to respond to your public records request. Please be advised that because of the need to consult with another unit in our department, the Sheriff's office is hereby invoking an extension of time of 14 days under Gov. Code section 6253(c). On or before January 06, 2023, the Sheriff's office will notify you if we locate any records that are responsive and not exempt from disclosure to your request, in addition to providing a date that non exempt records will be provided to you.

You will receive the Sheriff's office response no later than January 06, 2023.

Sincerely,

Alison Lambert  
Legal Assistant  
San Francisco Sheriff's Office  
Central Records & Warrants Unit

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the Records Request System

GOVQA

## Records Menu

### FAQs

[See All FAQs](#)

[What types of records are available?](#)

[Are there fees for public records requests?](#)

[What records are excluded from public requests?](#)

[When will I receive records for my public request?](#)

[How do I know if I am a citizen, resident, or business? How do I know if I am a citizen, resident, or business?](#)

[Request / Incident Summary](#)

[Request Type:](#)

[Contact E-Mail:](#)

[Reference No:](#)

[Status:](#)

[Get more information](#)

[Public Records](#)

[Incident Details](#)

[Public Records](#)

Powered by  
**GovQA**

X

## View Message

The San Francisco Sheriff's Office received a public records request from you on December 13, 2022.

Your request mentioned the following: *See original request and additional items. I'm also looking for encrypted files of Interoperable Communication, text-to-voice/voice to text and for these files to be decrypted and turned over within a reasonable amount of time. It is how public health and safety uses technology. For example, it picks up code words such as 'what is the speed limit?' and the technology is supposed to inform me with computers and devices talking to me the individual. It is not classified nor confidential. It is the same devices and equipment that churches use, Federal Prisons, jails and protective housing folks as well as folks with HIV. It is also used to protect politicians inside city hall and outside during events in which SFPD and Sheriff's places on large swarms of people during these events and with the equipment atop the County Library and Asian Art Museum. I know these records exist as they exist in all approximately 3,030 counties across the United States. This is not an abnormal request, just all files related to me and interaction with former and current employees. I understand the legal issues and the facts that some former and possible current deputies have went to fall with their surveillance duties. The office of the Sheriff is to uphold the law with the upmost integrity. I can if needed request this information from the District of Columbia Public Safety as that is where the Interface for the entire system that wraps up to federal agencies such of Commerce, Labor, DOJ, HHS, AND multiple others. It is also available at the FCC. I'm also requesting all information from the Sheriff's Department on any investigation conducted by current and former employees using this equipment. I have also submitted an Internal Affairs request and have submitted over 60 pages of documentation There would have been notes added to my file and those are*

Powered by  
**GovQA**





SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT  
Custodian of Records

**Request for Dispatch Records**  
(San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance, Administrative Code §67.1 seq.)

2022 SEP-5 8:07

Date of Request: September 4, 2022 **NOTE: No Same Day Service Available**

Requestor Name: Chris Ward Kline

Address: 237 Kearny St, PMB 114

City/State/Zip: San Francisco, CA 94108 Telephone: \_\_\_\_\_

Records Requested: ☐ CAD Printout ☒ Telephone Audio (\$35) ☒ Radio Audio (\$35)  
☒ Other (specify): public health and public safety surveillance

CAD Number: \_\_\_\_\_ Case or Incident Number: \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Incident: various Time of Incident: various

Incident Location: various

Other identifying information or details (be specific): Please conduct a search of the Central Records System, including

but not limited to the Electronic Surveillance (ELSUR) Indices, the Microphone Surveillance (MISUR),  
the Physical Surveillance (FISUR) indices, and the Technical Surveillance (TESUR) indices, for  
both main file records and cross references.

**Fee Schedule: (City / County employees - DO NOT USE THIS FORM )**

**Audio:** \$35.00 for phone audio for each CAD requested; \$35.00 for radio audio for each CAD requested.

**Print:** Printouts over 100 pages billed at \$0.10 per page.

*Payment is by cash, check or money order*

**When Requesting by Email:** Send the **completed form** to [dem.records@sfgov.org](mailto:dem.records@sfgov.org).

(If you are requesting audio files, please mail the request form with exact payment to avoid a delay in receipt of the records requested. We cannot process electronic payments.)

**Method of Delivery:** Please check an option below. **We cannot currently send records by email**

- |                                     |  |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | I would like to inspect records by <b>mail</b> . Please send the records to the address above. I understand I must pay any applicable fees before the Department will send the records.  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | I would like to inspect & <b>pick up a copy</b> of the records from the Department of Emergency Management. Please advise when the records are ready. I understand I must pay any applicable fees before the Department will release copies to me. |

**For Office Use Only**

Date Completed: \_\_\_\_\_ Completed By: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_



# Public Records Portal

City

## Public Records Menu

### FAQs

See All FAQs >

Obtain an Existing  
Police Report

Requesting Public  
Records from the  
Police Department

Public Records Policy  
& Procedures

Request Public  
Records

Department Records  
that are Subject to  
Discovery

## Public Records Request

[View File\(s\)](#)
[View Message\(s\)](#)

Select Language | ▼

**Request Type:**

Public Records Request

**Description:**

Public records are defined as any writing containing information relating to the conduct of the public's business prepared, owned, used, or retained by any state or local agency regardless of physical form or characteristics.

**Contact E-Mail:**

CKBlueaqua@gmail.com

**Reference No:**

P084503-091622

**Status:**

Completed

UPLOAD DATE



DOWNLOAD ALL

09/26/2022	P84503_-_9.26.22_sfpd_response.pdf
------------	------------------------------------

**Describe the Record(s) Requested:**

From: Chris K.  
<ckblueaqua@gmail.com> Sent:  
Tuesday, September 13, 2022 4:15  
PM To: Breed, Mayor London  
(MYR)  
<mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>  
Colfax, Grant (DPH)  
<grant.colfax@sfdph.org>;  
Walton, Shamann (BOS)  
<shamann.walton@sfgov.org>;  
Peskin, Aaron (BOS)



<aaron.peskin@sfgov.org> Cc:  
 SFPD, Chief (POL)  
 <sfpdchief@sfgov.org>; Pojman,  
 Natalie (DPH)  
 <natalie.pojman@sfdph.org>;  
 Varisto, Michaela (DPH)  
 <michaela.varisto@sfdph.org>  
 Subject: Department of  
 Emergency Management  
 Response This message is from  
 outside the City email system. Do  
 not open links or attachments  
 from untrusted sources. Good  
 evening, Department of  
 Emergency Management  
 Response denies and record  
 within 72 hours or request. It  
 should be noted that on a SF gov  
 website, the partner agencies list  
 was changed by IT and an  
 appropriate list of previous and  
 new are recorded which points to  
 obstruction. I will be asking for a  
 complete list and once again, I  
 respectfully request the  
 documents from Public Health,  
 and any/all agencies that have  
 record that include but not limited  
 too: 'Electronic Surveillance  
 (ELSUR) indices, the Microphone  
 Surveillance (MISUR) indices, the  
 Physical Surveillance (FISUR)  
 Indices, and the Technical  
 Surveillance (TESUR) Indices, for  
 both main file records and cross  
 reference files'. Respectfully, Chris  
 Ward Kline

**Incident Number:** *if known*

**Police Officer(s) Involved:** *if known*

**Time of Incident:** *specify AM or PM, if known*


**Date Range From:** *if applicable*

**Date Range To:** *if applicable*

**Preferred Method to Receive Records:** Electronic via Records Center

[Cancel](#)

## Messages 3

 Print Messages (PDF)

✓ On 9/26/2022 2:26:10 PM, San Francisco Police Records Portal wrote:

**Subject:** Public Records Request :: P084503-091622

**Body:**

September 26, 2022

*Via email CKBlueaqua@gmail.com*

Chris Ward Kline  
237 Kearny Street Apt 104  
San Francisco, CA 94108

RE: Public Records Request, dated September 16, 2022, Reference # P084503-091622

Dear Chris Ward Kline:

In response to your request, please see attached document(s).

Sincerely,

Lieutenant Lynn Reilly #1141  
Officer in Charge  
Risk Management - Legal Division

✓ On 9/16/2022 12:51:13 PM, San Francisco Police Records Portal wrote:



Dear Chris Ward Kline:

Thank you for your interest in public records of the San Francisco Police Department ("SFPD").

The San Francisco Police Department ("SFPD") received your request, dated September 16, 2022 and given the reference number **P084503-091622** for tracking purposes.

Record(s) Requested; From: Chris K.  
Sent: Tuesday, September 13, 2022 4:19 PM  
To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) ; Colfax, Grant (DPH) ; Walton, Shamann (BOS) ; Peskin, Aaron (BOS)  
Cc: SFPD, Chief (POL) ; Pojman, Natalie (DPH) ; Varisto, Michaela (DPH)  
Subject: Department of Emergency Management Response

*This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.*

*Good evening,*

*Department of Emergency Management Response denies and record within 72 hours or request.*

*It should be noted that on a SF govt website, the partner agencies list was changed by IT and an appropriate list of previous and new are recorded which points to obstruction.*

*I will be asking for a complete list and once again, I respectfully request the documents from Public Health, and any/all agencies that have record that include but not limited too*

*'Electronic Surveillance (ELSUR) indices, the Microphone Surveillance (MISUR) indices, the Physical Surveillance (FISUR) Indices, and the Technical Surveillance (TESUR) Indices. for both main file records and cross reference files'.*

*Respectfully,*

*Chris Ward Kline*

Your request is being forwarded to the appropriate department(s) for processing and you will be notified once the request is complete.

You can monitor request progress at the link below. Thank you for using the San Francisco Public Records Center.

San Francisco Police Department

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the SFPD Public Records Center.

✓ ☒ On 9/16/2022 12:51:12 PM, San Francisco Police Records Portal wrote:

Request was created by staff

GovQA is an SFPD authorized third party vendor which facilitates incident report request services for CISU. SFPD accepts no liability for the accuracy of content on the linked GovQA webpages. Members of the public may still make requests using U.S. mail or email by using the Incident Report Request Form(s). Those requests will be manually entered and tracked in the Incident Report Request Portal by a member of CISU. An account on the Incident Report Request Portal can be created to track the request online.





London Breed  
Mayor

## Department of Emergency Management



Mary Ellen Carroll  
Executive Director

To: Chris Ward Klein  
237 Kearny St., PMB 114  
San Francisco, CA 94108

From: Custodian of Records

Date: September 7, 2022

Re: Records Request

---

We are in receipt of your request regarding:

***Electronic Surveillance (ELSUR) Indices, the  
Microphone Surveillance (MISUR), the Physical  
Surveillance (FISUR) Indices, and the Technical  
Surveillance (TESUR) Indices, for both main file records  
and cross references.***

The San Francisco Department of Emergency Management does not maintain such records. You may wish to contact the San Francisco Police Department for further.

**San Francisco Police Department  
Risk Management Office  
1245 3rd Street, 4th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94158**

If you have any further questions, please feel free to contact our offices at 415-558-3826.



Chris K. &lt;ckblueaqua@gmail.com&gt;

**[Records Center] Public Records Request :: P001470-101922**

1 message

San Francisco County Sheriff <sfssheriff@govqa.us>  
To: "ckblueaqua@gmail.com" <ckblueaqua@gmail.com>

Tue, Oct 25, 2022 at 12:07 PM

--- Please respond above this line ---



RE: Public Records Request of October 19, 2022, Reference # P001470-101922

Dear Chris Kline,

On *October 19, 2022*, the San Francisco Sheriff's office received your request for records pursuant to the California Public Records Act (CPRA) wherein you requested the following:

*All records within the Sheriff's Department, the Department of Emergency Management and other agencies to include the Sheriff Department Community Programs and Engagement Programs to include but not limited too:*

*Current and valid P.R.E.P. certification from the California Department of Health and for all other records that are known to exist on a variety of incidents, issues, surveillance to include: interviewing gang members, interviewing homeless people, interacting with people on the app One System, public health surveillance and to include and not limited too:*

*All Central Records Systems to include but not limited to Electronic/Microphone/Physical and Technical Surveillances for both main file records and cross reference files.*

*These records are known to exist as all apps that utilize GPS and GPRS data, with One System and all other apps such as public health, public safety and political surveillance to include any and all surveys and messages sent to any and devices specific to Chris Ward Kline.*

*a previous request for records was denied by Retired Deputy James Wilson which would be misconduct of a public official since the records do exist.*

Additional clarification is needed in order to fulfill your request:

- Define P.R.E.P certification
- Define App One System

A reply must be received within ten (10) calendar days of the date this email was sent or your request will be closed and a new request will be required. Please respond in writing via the Records Request System so that there is a record of your response.

Upon receipt of your response, the department will further respond to your request as required by law.

Sincerely,

Juliana Petani  
Legal Assistant  
*San Francisco Sheriff's Office*  
*Central Records & Warrants Unit*

---

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the Records Request System

Powered by  
**GovQA**





SFPD  
Sheriff's Office

## Records Menu

View Message(s)

### FAQs

See All FAQs

What types of records are available?

Are there fees for public records requests?

What records are exempt from public requests?

When will I receive records for my public request?

If a records does not exist, can the agency create a record in response to my request?

Request / Incident Summary

**Request Type:**

Public Records Request

**Contact E-Mail:**

ckblueaqua@gmail.com

**Reference No:**

P000320-041221

**Status:**

No Records Exist

Additional Information

**Type of Record(s):**

Incident Related Records  
Calls for Service  
Policy and Procedures  
Other (describe below)

**The Sheriff's Office is not the custodian of records of mugshots and arrest records. To obtain copies of your criminal history and mug shots, you must contact the San Francisco Police Department, ID Bureau, located at 850 Bryant St., Room 475, San Francisco, CA 94103. The SFPD ID Bureau is open Monday - Friday, 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., and their telephone number is (415) 553-1415. You should also bring a valid government issued ID.**

#### Incident Details

**Incident Number:**

**Date of Incident:**

04/12/2021

**Time of Incident:**

1335

**Location/Address:**

Lake Merritt BART

*Please be as specific as possible including the exact address or landmark.*

**Date Range:**

Since 09/2000

*If Applicable*

Powered by  
**GovQA**

**Involved Party:**

Various members of SF County Public Safety

**Describe the Record(s) Requested:**

Date of Incident: 04/12/2021 Today at 1335 hrs, I received a call from number 628-227-3227 which was used to place a survey and surveillance on my phone 415-203-1662. The surveillance was and is illegal and there are no reasons for surveillance to be placed on me, friends or family. I'm requesting that these records are turned over to me immediately in order to file criminal charges and to start civil proceedings on all parties involved. The surveillance has been occurring regularly off and on since approximately 09/2000 and lately 24/7 from San Francisco Public Safety. I will be requesting similar documentation from Alameda County, the state of California, FBI and Health and Human Services. I will request a subpoena for these records as well. Respectfully, Chris Ward Kline

**As a default, all records are provided to the requester electronically through the online Records Request System. If you would like to receive your records in an alternative format please select the format below. Please note copy fees may apply for non-electronic delivery.**


**Alternate Method to Receive Records:**

Electronic Records

**If you have any documents that may assist in responding to your request, please attach here:**

[New Message](#)[Return to List](#)

Messages 3

 Print Messages (PDF)

✓  On 4/13/2021 7:54:53 AM, San Francisco County Sheriff wrote:

**Subject:** [Records Center] Public Records Request :: P000320-041221

**Body:**

RE: Public Records Request of April 12, 2021, Reference # P000320-041221

Dear Chris Kline,

On April 12, 2021, the San Francisco Sheriff's Office received your request for records pursuant to the California Public Records Act (CPRA) wherein you requested the following:

*Today at 1335 hrs, I received a call from number 628-227-3227 which was used to place a survey and surveillance on my phone 415-203-1662. The surveillance was and is illegal and there are no reasons for surveillance to be placed on me, friends or family.*

Powered by  
**GovQA**



San Francisco  
Sheriff's Office

## Records Menu

### FAQs

See All FAQs

What types of records are available?

Are there fees for public records requests?

What records are exempt from public requests?

When will I receive records for my public request?

If a records does not exist, can the agency create a record in response to my request?

#### Subpoena Duces Tecum

about a year ago

Completed

S000127-070521

All public health records to include epidemiology, behavioral health surveillance to include communication from county to and from Chris Ward Kline. Information should include all communication transmitted through Public Broadcast, Emergency Broadcast and Public Health Communication and any additional surveillance networks within San Francisco County via voice synthesizers and utilization of text to voice/speech and voice/speech to text during all periods from 9/2001 through July 4, 2021. It should be noted that plaintiff was not in prison nor affiliated with a gang; however, Plaintiff is requesting electronic surveillance listed above but also any involving communication with gang members and current and/or former prisoners. Respectfully, Chris Ward Kline 415-203-1662 p.s. please do not utilize my cell phone number or my email to place electronic surveillance based on this request.

**Status :** No Records Exist

Chris Kline

[View File\(s\)](#)

[Details](#)

#### Public Records Request

about a year ago

Completed

P000320-041221

Public Records Request

**Status :** No Records Exist

Chris Kline

[Details](#)

Powered by  
**GovQA**



*The surveillance has been occurring regularly off and on since approximately 09/2000 and lately 24/7 from San Francisco Public Safety.*

*I will be requesting similar documentation from Alameda County, the state of California, FBI and Health and Human Services.*

*I will request a subpoena for these records as well.*

*Respectfully,*

*Chris Ward Kline*

The Sheriff's Office has performed a diligent search and determined that no such record exists. The Sheriff's Office has no duty to create a record that does not exist at the time of the request. *Sander v. State Bar of California* (2013) 58 Cal.4th 300.


This completes the Sheriff's office response to your request under the California Public Records Act. If you would like to make a new public records request of the Sheriff's Office, please make your request at the Records Request System.

Sincerely,

James Wilson  
Retired Deputy #1093  
San Francisco Sheriff's Office  
Central Records & Warrants Unit

> ☒ On 4/12/2021 4:31:09 PM, San Francisco County Sheriff wrote:

> ☒ On 4/12/2021 4:31:08 PM, Chris Kline wrote:





London N Breed  
Mayor

**Department of Emergency Management**  
1011 Turk Street, San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: (415) 558-3826  
Fax: (415) 558-3869



Mary Ellen Carroll  
Executive Director

**CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS**  
**REQUEST FOR DISPATCH RECORDS INSTRUCTIONS**  
(San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance, Administrative Code §67.2.seq.)

Dear Requestor:

Fill out each line as applicable. Specifically identify the information you are attempting to obtain.

The following information must be supplied to ensure your request is accepted:

- ✓ **Date of your request**
- ✓ **Name, address and contact telephone number**
- ✓ **CAD or police case number (if available)**
- ✓ **Date of the incident**
- ✓ **Location of the incident – if requesting address history, please list the date range**
- ✓ **Any other identifying information (i.e. requests for all calls to a particular location)**

If you do not have all of the above required information, please fill out the form to the greatest extent possible. *Incomplete requests may delay our ability to process the request in a timely manner or at all.*

We can use a police case number to locate your CAD record; however, **we do not have access to San Francisco Police reports.** For copies of a police report, contact SFPD – Record Management via their web portal at [www.sanfranciscopolice.org](http://www.sanfranciscopolice.org). You may also call 415.575.7232 or email them at [sfpd.cisu.reports@sfgov.org](mailto:sfpd.cisu.reports@sfgov.org).

If your request requires a payment, we currently accept cash, check, or money order. To avoid delays in receipt of your request, please include payment in the exact amount due with the request form.

**WE CANNOT PROVIDE RUSH SERVICE.** Requests are processed in the order received. While we process requests as quickly as possible, we have up to 10 calendar days to accept or deny the request. It is not necessary to call for the status of your request.

**You may submit a completed form via US mail, in person, fax, or email.**

In person: There is a secure drop box in our lobby along with additional blank forms for your convenience.

Fax: You may fax us at 415.558.3869.

Email: [dem.records@sfgov.org](mailto:dem.records@sfgov.org) – Printouts only

**We cannot return records by email at this time. You must choose whether to pick up the responsive records in person, or have them mailed to you via the return address you include on the form.**

Mail: Department of Emergency Management

Attn: Custodian of Records

1011 Turk St

San Francisco CA 94102





SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT

Custodian of Records

**Request for Dispatch Records**

(San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance, Administrative Code §67.1.seq.)

Date of Request: October 19, 2022 **NOTE: No Same Day Service Available**

Requestor Name: Chris WARD Kline

Address: 237 Kearny ST PMB 114

City/State/Zip: San Francisco, CA Telephone: 415-513-9334

Records Requested: ☒ CAD Printout ☐ Telephone Audio (\$35) ☐ Radio Audio (\$35)

☒ Other (specify): All surveillance - see

CAD Number: All Case or Incident Number: All attached

Date of Incident: All Time of Incident: All

Incident Location: All

Other identifying information or details (be specific): See ATTACHED email

sent to Sheriff's Dept. I want their records  
and All stored with DENR.

**Fee Schedule: (City / County employees - DO NOT USE THIS FORM )**

**Audio:** \$35.00 for phone audio for each CAD requested; \$35.00 for radio audio for each CAD requested.

**Print:** Printouts over 100 pages billed at \$0.10 per page.

Payment is by cash, check or money order

**When Requesting by Email:** Send the **completed form** to [dem.records@sfgov.org](mailto:dem.records@sfgov.org).

(If you are requesting audio files, please mail the request form with exact payment to avoid a delay in receipt of the records requested. We cannot process electronic payments.)

**Method of Delivery:** Please check an option below. **We cannot currently send records by email**

☐ I would like to inspect records by mail. Please send the records to the address above. I understand I must pay any applicable fees before the Department will send the records.

☒ I would like to inspect & **pick up a copy** of the records from the Department of Emergency Management. Please advise when the records are ready. I understand I must pay any applicable fees before the Department will release copies to me.

**For Office Use Only**

Date Completed: \_\_\_\_\_ Completed By: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_





Chris K. &lt;ckblueaqua@gmail.com&gt;

**RE: Request for One System, Bitfocus App and Network Communication**

2 messages

DPH Sunshine <dph.sunshine@sfdph.org>  
To: "Chris K." <ckblueaqua@gmail.com>  
Cc: DPH Sunshine <dph.sunshine@sfdph.org>

Tue, Sep 20, 2022 at 12:39 PM

Requester,

DPH IT conducted an email search of the records you request below. The only results were emails you have sent to DPH yourself; however, copies of those communications are attached here as PDFs.

This concludes your public records request.

From: Chris K. <ckblueaqua@gmail.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 15, 2022 2:52 PM  
To: Varisto, Michaela (DPH) <michaela.varisto@sfdph.org>  
Cc: Colfax, Grant (DPH) <grant.colfax@sfdph.org>; Pojman, Natalie (DPH) <natalie.pojman@sfdph.org>; SFPD, Chief (POL) <sfpdchief@sfgov.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>  
Subject: Request for One System, Bitfocus App and Network Communication

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Michaela Varisto,

I was reviewing my request and noticed your name on all the comments.

I'm now requesting all communications between Public Health and me, Chris Ward Kline that deal with the app One System and Bitfocus, as well as any and all APPS.

I have documentation that shows Public Health utilizes this app as well as other partner agencies within San Francisco.

I have reviewed all state and federal law as well as SF Public Health Manuals and have not seen any protections federally or within the state of California. It does show that these communications are reviewable and amendable with cause, which I have or can show. It also shows that these records can be challenged in state and federal court. It is my desire to resolve this within the county, collectively, amicably and timely.

I've been very patient with San Francisco in requesting these records. In fact, due to not receiving these timely requests, it cost me a civil judgment federally against H.H.S. I do believe SF has brought suit or is still involved with a suit with H.H.S. and it is my belief that H.H.S. is also accessing your One System with credentials it should not have.

If I need to request these records via another agency, please advise where to send the request.

Respectfully,

Chris Ward Kline

## Request Closed

Requester, it seems as though you are requesting your own protected health information. Please note medical record/health information requests are NOT public record requests. You may request medical records, in accordance with privacy protected law, by sending a fax to 628-206-8623 or visit the Medical Records Office at 1001 Potrero Ave, Building 5, 2nd Floor, Room 2B.

June 27, 2022, 4:00pm by Michaela Varisto


Public


## Department Assignment


Public Health: Other

June 27, 2022, 3:56pm by Michaela Varisto

### 3 attachments

 **Batch 1.pdf**  
853K

 **Batch 2.pdf**  
1511K

 **Request for One System, Bitfocus App and Network Communication.pdf**  
138K

Chris K. <ckblueaqua@gmail.com>

Tue, Sep 20, 2022 at 4:07 PM

To: grant.colfax@sfdph.org

Cc: "Varisto, Michaela (DPH)" <michaela.varisto@sfdph.org>, natalie.pojman@sfdph.org

Bcc: "Breed, Mayor London (MYR)" <MayorLondonBreed@sfgov.org>, SFPDChief@sfgov.org, Shamann.Walton@sfgov.org

Good afternoon Dr. Colfax,

I have sent you, as well as others, documented evidence that should be on my public health record with the city logo, Public Address on it.

I'm trying to resolve this without submitting to a city/county grand jury.

You certainly are not suggesting that these documents (that I submitted) are fraudulent, are you?

I know where the files are kept. Having send me only my emails will not suffice.

This would be best resolved without a grand jury convening or other legal means.

These documents have been produced in other counties, or should I say the county settled, because they did not want the files released.

I assure you, I have always wanted this resolved amicably; however, stalling, obstructing and not being straight forward, will potentially only backfire on city and county employees with potential civil and criminal penalties.

Having said that, I want to resolve this as soon as possible or I will discuss 'voices' as a byproduct of public health to guide people to healthy or unhealthy decisions. Voices are nothing more then public health and others to include politicians using for a host of issues.

It strongly appears that public health is protecting one or more politician, who acted outside of his scope.

This was filed with the FBI and DHS, as well as others.

Regards,

Chris Ward Kline

[Quoted text hidden]

---

### 3 attachments



**Batch 1.pdf**  
853K



**Batch 2.pdf**  
1511K



**Request for One System, Bitfocus App and Network Communication.pdf**  
138K

Sunshine Ordinance Complaint Process, Section A & B, "File a Complaint with the SOTF" for how to present your complaint. Use of our complaint form, while optional, helps to ensure that you include the information we deem relevant for evaluating complaints.

2. You can email your complaint to [sotf@sfgov.org](mailto:sotf@sfgov.org) , fax it to 415-554-5163, or deliver it in person to the Administrator of the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102-4683.
3. After you file a complaint, we will review it to determine whether we have jurisdiction over the matter as defined in the Sunshine Ordinance.
4. If we determine that we have jurisdiction, we may schedule a hearing before the full task force. If we determine that we do not have jurisdiction, you can request a reconsideration of this decision before the full task force.
5. Parties to a complaint can submit additional information to the Task Force up to 5 working days before a scheduled hearing. If either party submits material after this deadline, the Task Force may proceed without consideration of these materials. Alternatively, if new, relevant materials become available after the 5-day deadline, the complainant may incorporate this information into a new complaint or may ask to have the hearing postponed until the next Task Force meeting.
6. After a hearing, the Task Force will issue an Order of Determination stating the outcome of the proceeding and

Visit San Francisco's new website, [SF.gov](https://sf.gov)

## Sunshine Ordinance Task Force

### Filing a Complaint with the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force

If you believe that the City or County of San Francisco has not complied with the Sunshine Ordinance, the Public Records Act or the Ralph M. Brown (Public Meetings) Act, you may file a complaint with the Task Force. We attempt to resolve complaints informally among the parties involved whenever possible. Matters that cannot be resolved informally, or which implicate substantial policy considerations, may be set for hearing at a Task Force meeting. The Task Force meets the first Wednesday of each month at 4:00 p.m. at City Hall, Room 408. The meeting is accessible virtually at call-in phone number: 1-415-906-4659, Meeting ID: 127 497 534#.

- [How the Complaint Process Works](#)
- [Fax / Mail Complaint Form \(Pdf\)](#)
- [Submit a Complaint On-Line](#)

### [Summary of the Complaint Process](#)

1. You can access a complaint form at [sfgov.org/site/sunshine](https://sfgov.org/site/sunshine) or send us a letter detailing your complaint. Please see the

any decisions made regarding violations of public records or public meetings laws.

7. For further information, contact the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Administrator, at (415) 554-7724.



MENU

## Participating Agencies

- ✓ 3rd Street Youth Center and Clinic
- ✓ Abode Services
- ✓ AIDS Housing Alliance
- ✓ Asian Women's Shelter
- ✓ At the Crossroads
- ✓ Bay View Hunters Point Multipurpose Senior Services
- ✓ Bayview Hunters Point Foundation for Community Improvement
- ✓ Bernal Heights Neighborhood Center
- ✓ Bridge Housing
- ✓ Brilliant Corners
- ✓ Caritas Management Corporation
- ✓ Catholic Charities CYO
- ✓ Center on Juvenile and Criminal Justice
- ✓ Chinatown Community Development Center
- ✓ Community Forward SF

✕  
Hello! — Anything I can do to help? Just let me know.



- ✓ Community Housing Partnership
- ✓ Compass Family Services
- ✓ Conard House
- ✓ Curry Senior Center
- ✓ Delivering Innovation in Supportive Housing (DISH)
- ✓ Department of Emergency Management (DEM) – Office of Housing Opportunities
- ✓ San Francisco Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing
- ✓ Dolores Street Community Services
- ✓ Episcopal Community Services (ECS)
- ✓ Eviction Defense Collaborative
- ✓ First Place for Youth
- ✓ Five Keys Charter Schools & Programs
- ✓ Glide Community Housing
- ✓ GP/TODCO Inc.
- ✓ Hamilton Families
- ✓ Heluna Health
- ✓ Homeless Children's Network
- ✓ Homeless Prenatal Program
- ✓ Homeless Youth Alliance
- ✓ Hospitality House
- ✓ Huckleberry Youth Programs
- ✓ San Francisco Human Services Agency
- ✓ Institute on Aging
- ✓ John Stewart Company
- ✓ Larkin Street Youth Services
- ✓ LGBT Center
- ✓ Lutheran Social Services of Northern California
- ✓ Lyric
- ✓ Mary Elizabeth Inn
- ✓ Mercy Housing
- ✓ Metropolitan Fresh Start House
- ✓ Mission Hiring Hall
- ✓ Mission Housing Development Corporation
- ✓ Mission Neighborhood Health Center
- ✓ Positive Resource Center
- ✓ Providence Foundation
- ✓ Raphael House
- ✓ Reality House West
- ✓ SafeHouse

- ✓ Salvation Army
- ✓ San Francisco Housing Authority
- ✓ San Francisco Network Ministries
- ✓ Sequoia Living
- ✓ St. Anthony's
- ✓ St. Vincent de Paul Society
- ✓ Swords to Plowshares
- ✓ Tenderloin Housing Clinic
- ✓ Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corporation (TNDC)
- ✓ United Council of Human Services
- ✓ UCSF Citywide
- ✓ Veterans Administration



The ONE System is an online database that enables organizations to collect data on the services they provide to people experiencing homelessness and people who are at risk of homelessness.

© 2003-2023 Bitfocus, Inc. All rights reserved.

System Administration provided by Bitfocus, Inc.



**Leger, Cheryl (BOS)**

---

**From:** SOTF, (BOS)  
**To:** Michael Petrelis; Heckel, Hank (MYR); Hussey, Deirdre (DPH); ckblueaqua@gmail.com; Lim, Victor (DEM); Pojman, Natalie (DPH); Miyamoto, Paul (SHF); Toomey, William (POL)  
**Cc:** Young, Victor (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Calvillo, Angela (BOS)  
**Subject:** SOTF - Notice of Complaint Committee hearing, March 21, 2023 - 5:30 PM; Room 408, City Hall  
**Attachments:** SOTF - Complaint Procedure 2019-10-02 FINAL.pdf

Good Afternoon:

Notice is hereby given that the Complaint Committee of the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force (Task Force) shall hold hearings on complaints listed below to: 1) determine if the Task Force has jurisdiction; 2) review the merits of the complaints; and/or 3) issue a report and/or recommendation to the Task Force. ***Please confirm your attendance for this hearing.***

Date: March 21, 2023

Location: Room 408, City Hall

Time: 5:30 p.m.

Complainants: Your attendance is required for this meeting/hearing.

Respondents/Departments: Pursuant to Section 67.21 (e) of the Ordinance, the custodian of records or a representative of your department, who can speak to the matter, is required at the meeting/hearing.

**File No. 22134** Complaint filed by Michael Petrelis against Mayor London Breed for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, by failing to respond to a public records request in a timely and/or complete manner.

**File No. 22135** Complaint filed by Michael Petrelis against Mayor London Breed for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, by failing to respond to a public records request in a timely and/or complete manner.

**File No. 23013A** Complaint filed by Chris Ward Kline against Department of Public Health for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, 67.24(b)(o), and 67.25 by failing to respond to a request for public records in a timely and/or complete manner.

**File No. 23013B** Complaint filed by Chris Ward Kline against Office of the Sheriff for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, 67.24(b)(o), and 67.25 by failing to respond to a request for public records in a timely and/or complete manner.

**File No. 23013C** Complaint filed by Chris Ward Kline against Mayors Office for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, 67.24(b)(o), and 67.25 by failing to respond to a request for public records in a timely and/or complete manner.

**File No. 23013D** Complaint filed by Chris Ward Kline against Department of Emergency Management for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, 67.24(b)(o), and 67.25 by failing to respond to a request for public records in a timely and/or complete manner.


**File No. 23013E** Complaint filed by Chris Ward Kline against San Francisco Police Department (E) e for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, 67.24(b)(o), and 67.25 by failing to respond to a request for public records in a timely and/or complete manner.

### **Documentation (evidence supporting/disputing complaint)**

For a document to be considered, it must be received at least five (5) working days before the hearing (see attached Public Complaint Procedure).

For inclusion in the agenda packet, supplemental/supporting documents must be received by **5:00 pm, March 15, 2023**.

Cheryl Leger  
Assistant Clerk, Board of Supervisors  
Tel: 415-554-7724

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The **Legislative Research Center** provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

*Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

**From:** Chris K. <ckblueaqua@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 23, 2023 5:21 PM  
**To:** Lambert, Alison (SHF)  
**Cc:** SOTF, (BOS)  
**Subject:** Re: SOTF - Complaint Filed with the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force - File No. 23013(B)  
**Attachments:** image001.png

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Communication received.

Specifically my last request included much more information and very specific information than the first or subsequent request.

The last response (nor any response) by the Sheriff also does not mention any information about an internal affairs investigation, nor was their documentation that was submitted or a closure letter, nor subsequent documentation submitted to the Sheriff which included dozens of pages. Did the Sheriff's Office discard evidence? Evidence and statements were given to the Sheriff's department but now no records exist? How can that be possible?

The Sheriff Department also did not appropriately inform me, that these and other issues are investigated by the Department of Police Accountability.

Again, the last request stated a response by Jan 6, and because they stalled to determine next steps, they are asking for a dismissal. I still have not received a valid, honest reply to any and all request, now 45 days late on a promise to deliver date.

The Sheriff, as DPH and Department of Emergency Management is withholding public records from primary record keeper, thus not delivering all public records to the public, in a timely manner.

The Senior Officials at these agencies are using electronic surveillance to only share favorable records to the record keeper.

Not one agency has stated anything about Interoperable Communications in which ALL DATA IM REQUESTING SITS AND WAITS. EASILY ACCESSIBLE TO SENIOR OFFICIALS AT DPH, SHERIFF, DEM as well as others. These communications are not classified, not even private but often hidden at all costs.

Due to the Sheriff, DPH and Dept of Emergency Management, I must ask for a full board/committee hearing on obstruction and withholding of the documents. Per our conversations, emails during the last several days also he entered as official records to be brought up at hearings.

Respectfully,

Chris Ward Kline

On Thu, Feb 23, 2023, 4:46 PM Lambert, Alison (SHF) <[alison.lambert@sfgov.org](mailto:alison.lambert@sfgov.org)> wrote:

Please see the attached documents from the Sheriff's Office submitted in response to the Complaint Filed with the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force - File No. 23013(B).

Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions or concerns.

Allison Lambert, Legal Assistant

Central Records and Warrants Unit

Office of the Sheriff

City and County of San Francisco

850 Bryant Street RM 460

[Alison.Lambert@sfgov.org](mailto:Alison.Lambert@sfgov.org)

*\*Portions of this communication may be confidential and privileged.*

**From:** SOTF, (BOS) <[sotf@sfgov.org](mailto:sotf@sfgov.org)>

**Sent:** Wednesday, February 15, 2023 3:46 PM

**To:** Miyamoto, Paul (SHF) <[paul.miyamoto@sfgov.org](mailto:paul.miyamoto@sfgov.org)>

**Cc:** [ckblueaqua@gmail.com](mailto:ckblueaqua@gmail.com)

**Subject:** SOTF - Complaint Filed with the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force - File No. 23013(B)

Good Afternoon:

The Sheriff's Department has been named as a Respondent in the attached complaint filed with the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force (SOTF). In an effort to provide the SOTF information in an easy to understand format the SOTF has prepared a revised request format for responding to complaints (attached). **The SOTF requests that you submit your response to our office the allegations including any and all supporting documents, recordings, electronic media, etc., to the Task Force within five (5) business days of receipt of this notice.** In developing and submitting your response, please use the attached instructions, "Preparing San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Respondents Materials," to address your defense of this complaint. This is your opportunity to provide a detailed explanation to allow the Task Force to be fully informed in considering your response prior to the meeting.

Please refer to the File Number 23013(B) when submitting any new information and/or supporting documents pertaining to this complaint.



**Leger, Cheryl (BOS)**

---

**From:** Chris K. <ckblueaqua@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 23, 2023 6:17 PM  
**To:** SOTF, (BOS)  
**Subject:** Respectively Request a Stay

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good evening,

After most of the responses, I needed to ask your office to potentially ask for a stay of info collection and ask each agency, to follow up with their Senior Officials. If not, these agencies are outright lying and their bosses are setting them up to take the fall.

They are being led down a horrible path.

Let me explain:

Each agency uses tchnology to conduct surveys, questionnaires, programs, etc. It is done with computer technology that uses text-to-voice/voice-to-technology to gather information and data.

For example, a political party sends out these surveys to gather info, and agenda, etc. Another example would be emergency management training - once you attend, it is there to access at any time within your personal surveillance.

Some of the programs the city uses are MailChimp, SurveyMonkey, SurveyGizmo, etc. There are plenty others.

These programs are supposed to be automatic and computer only; however, a programmer or staff member could interject and alter the automatic program causing and altering outcomes.

These agencies are not even giveng me the automatic, but simply stating nothing exist when that is impossible.

I will prove beyond a reasonable doubt, that these agencies have info on me, and not just a little info.

I do not want to embarass this city and county.

Please ask these agencies and senior officials for the info and provide an additional five days (business days). If these responses stand as is, I will utilize in potential next steps.

Respectfully,

Chris Ward Kline

**Leger, Cheryl (BOS)**

---

**From:** Chris K. <ckblueaqua@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 9, 2023 2:40 PM  
**To:** SOTF, (BOS)  
**Cc:** Heckel, Hank (MYR); Hussey, Deirdre (DPH); Lim, Victor (DEM); Pojman, Natalie (DPH); Miyamoto, Paul (SHF); Toomey, William (POL); Young, Victor (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Calvillo, Angela (BOS)  
**Subject:** Re: SOTF - Notice of Complaint Committee hearing, March 21, 2023 - 5:30 PM; Room 408, City Hall  
**Attachments:** image001.png

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon SOTF,

In reading the bylaws and to expedite File Number 23013 (A-E) and to prevent further delays and respondents denials of files, evidence, I make the following request for March 21, 2023 at 1730 hrs which is not covered by the bylaws.

I request at least 8 minutes (total and combined) to present evidence against each department. I can link the presentation to include each department acting in concert (either formally or informally) to not release files.

The only time stipulation listed on the bylaws is for five minutes in presenting 'new' evidence to reopen the claim.

I will submit all documentation five days prior to hearing, with no foreseen delays, weather permitting.

Respectfully,

Chris Ward Kline  
415-513-9334

On Fri, Mar 3, 2023, 3:32 PM SOTF, (BOS) <[sotf@sfgov.org](mailto:sotf@sfgov.org)> wrote:

Good Afternoon:

Notice is hereby given that the Complaint Committee of the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force (Task Force) shall hold hearings on complaints listed below to: 1) determine if the Task Force has jurisdiction; 2) review the merits of the complaints; and/or 3) issue a report and/or recommendation to the Task Force. ***Please confirm your attendance for this hearing.***

Date: March 21, 2023

Location: Room 408, City Hall

Time: 5:30 p.m.

Complainants: Your attendance is required for this meeting/hearing.

Respondents/Departments: Pursuant to Section 67.21 (e) of the Ordinance, the custodian of records or a representative of your department, who can speak to the matter, is required at the meeting/hearing.

**File No. 22134** Complaint filed by Michael Petrelis against Mayor London Breed for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, by failing to respond to a public records request in a timely and/or complete manner.

**File No. 22135** Complaint filed by Michael Petrelis against Mayor London Breed for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, by failing to respond to a public records request in a timely and/or complete manner.

**File No. 23013A** Complaint filed by Chris Ward Kline against Department of Public Health for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, 67.24(b)(o), and 67.25 by failing to respond to a request for public records in a timely and/or complete manner.

**File No. 23013B** Complaint filed by Chris Ward Kline against Office of the Sheriff for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, 67.24(b)(o), and 67.25 by failing to respond to a request for public records in a timely and/or complete manner.

**File No. 23013C** Complaint filed by Chris Ward Kline against Mayors Office for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, 67.24(b)(o), and 67.25 by failing to respond to a request for public records in a timely and/or complete manner.

**File No. 23013D** Complaint filed by Chris Ward Kline against Department of Emergency Management for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, 67.24(b)(o), and 67.25 by failing to respond to a request for public records in a timely and/or complete manner.

**File No. 23013E** Complaint filed by Chris Ward Kline against San Francisco Police Department (E) e for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, 67.24(b)(o), and 67.25 by failing to respond to a request for public records in a timely and/or complete manner.

### **Documentation (evidence supporting/disputing complaint)**

For a document to be considered, it must be received at least five (5) working days before the hearing (see attached Public Complaint Procedure).

For inclusion in the agenda packet, supplemental/supporting documents must be received by **5:00 pm, March 15, 2023**.

Cheryl Leger

Assistant Clerk, Board of Supervisors

Tel: 415-554-7724



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

*Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

**Leger, Cheryl (BOS)**

---

**From:** Chris K. <ckblueaqua@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 14, 2023 4:43 PM  
**To:** SOTF, (BOS)  
**Cc:** Michael Petrelis; Heckel, Hank (MYR); Hussey, Deirdre (DPH); Lim, Victor (DEM); Pojman, Natalie (DPH); Miyamoto, Paul (SHF); Toomey, William (POL); Young, Victor (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Calvillo, Angela (BOS)  
**Subject:** Re: SOTF - Notice of Complaint Committee hearing, March 21, 2023 - 5:30 PM; Room 408, City Hall  
**Attachments:** 23013E.pdf; 23013D.pdf; 23013B.pdf; 23013A.pdf; MAINALL.pdf; 23013C.pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good evening all,

I broke the files and complaints down for each department. MAINALL is for everyone, then just add your specific document for your agency behind the main packet.

I have also included SEC. 19B.1. DEFINITIONS in which all agencies have not complied, not even close.

I may send some additional evidence by tomorrow's deadline.

Also, in response to the Mayor's Office, the entire packet refers to her office as she has a budget committee and multiple documents list the Mayor's Office and London Breed's name basically signing off on the budget, technology, etc.

I also included a few emails of a total 442 emails either sent, received or CC'd to the Mayor's office. She, without a doubt, knows the issues, complaints and has a very detailed understanding of the issues and complaints, sometimes supplied to me. In fact, she and her office actively monitors my emails, sometimes for ideas, complaints, and status, which I will share on 3/21/2023.

I would be more than happy to turn over these few, today and again on 3/21/2023, to have her office investigate. Her complaint is 23013C - I'm also requesting that the previous packet sent, be forwarded to the Mayor, as is as these directives and guidelines to prevent release came from the top and she has an understanding of each department and utilizes some of their equipment and technology.

Thank you in advance.

Respectfully,

Chris Ward Kline

On Fri, Mar 3, 2023 at 3:32 PM SOTF, (BOS) <sotf@sfgov.org> wrote:

Good Afternoon:

Notice is hereby given that the Complaint Committee of the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force (Task Force) shall hold hearings on complaints listed below to: 1) determine if the Task Force has jurisdiction; 2) review the merits of the complaints; and/or 3) issue a report and/or recommendation to the Task Force. ***Please confirm your attendance for this hearing.***

Date: March 21, 2023

Location: Room 408, City Hall

Time: 5:30 p.m.

Complainants: Your attendance is required for this meeting/hearing.

Respondents/Departments: Pursuant to Section 67.21 (e) of the Ordinance, the custodian of records or a representative of your department, who can speak to the matter, is required at the meeting/hearing.

**File No. 22134** Complaint filed by Michael Petrelis against Mayor London Breed for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, by failing to respond to a public records request in a timely and/or complete manner.

**File No. 22135** Complaint filed by Michael Petrelis against Mayor London Breed for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, by failing to respond to a public records request in a timely and/or complete manner.

**File No. 23013A** Complaint filed by Chris Ward Kline against Department of Public Health for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, 67.24(b)(o), and 67.25 by failing to respond to a request for public records in a timely and/or complete manner.

**File No. 23013B** Complaint filed by Chris Ward Kline against Office of the Sheriff for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, 67.24(b)(o), and 67.25 by failing to respond to a request for public records in a timely and/or complete manner.

**File No. 23013C** Complaint filed by Chris Ward Kline against Mayors Office for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, 67.24(b)(o), and 67.25 by failing to respond to a request for public records in a timely and/or complete manner.

**File No. 23013D** Complaint filed by Chris Ward Kline against Department of Emergency Management for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, 67.24(b)(o), and 67.25 by failing to respond to a request for public records in a timely and/or complete manner.



**File No. 23013E** Complaint filed by Chris Ward Kline against San Francisco Police Department (E) e for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, 67.24(b)(o), and 67.25 by failing to respond to a request for public records in a timely and/or complete manner.

### **Documentation (evidence supporting/disputing complaint)**


For a document to be considered, it must be received at least five (5) working days before the hearing (see attached Public Complaint Procedure).

For inclusion in the agenda packet, supplemental/supporting documents must be received by **5:00 pm, March 15, 2023**.

Cheryl Leger

Assistant Clerk, Board of Supervisors

Tel: 415-554-7724

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The **Legislative Research Center** provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

[CBS News Bay Area: Free 24/7 News](#) | [CBS Bay Area App](#) | [National News](#) | [CBS+](#) | [Bay Area Bridge Builders](#) | [Meet The Staff/Send Tips](#)

[Live TV](#)

LOCAL NEWS ›

## Lawsuit: SF Sheriff's Dept. Ordered Black Women To Be Silent After They Complained Of Racial Discrimination



AUGUST 3, 2020 / 9:45 PM / CBS SAN FRANCISCO

### Lawsuit Alleges SF Sheriff's Dept. Ordered 2 Black Woman Not To Talk At Work



#### Be the first to know

Get browser notifications for breaking news, live events, and exclusive reporting.

Our [Terms of Use](#) ("Terms") have been updated, including changes to the arbitration clause. By clicking "[Agree](#)", you acknowledge that you have read and agree to the updated [Terms](#).

[Agree](#)

[Home](#) > [News](#) >[ACLU Lawsuit Challenges San Francisco Sheriff's Unconstitutional Search and Surveillance Conditions for Pretrial Electronic Monitoring](#)

## ACLU Lawsuit Challenges San Francisco Sheriff's Unconstitutional Search and Surveillance Conditions for Pretrial Electronic Monitoring

For Immediate Release: SEP 08, 2022



Media Contact: [press@aclunc.org](mailto:press@aclunc.org), (415) 621-2493

SAN FRANCISCO—Today, the ACLU Foundation of Northern California and the law firm Wilson, Sonsini, Goodrich & Rosati filed a class-action lawsuit against the city and county of San Francisco and Sheriff Paul Miyamoto, urging the court to prevent the San Francisco Sheriff's Office from requiring that individuals agree to unconstitutional "four-way" searches and GPS location data-sharing as conditions of pretrial release on electronic monitoring.

As a matter of U.S. and California constitutional law, only a court may determine the conditions of release on electronic monitoring that are reasonable under the circumstances of each case. But in San Francisco, Sheriff Miyamoto requires all electronic monitoring participants to sign a form granting any law enforcement officer permission to conduct a search of their person, residence, vehicle, and property at any time, without a warrant or probable cause. Individuals also must sign an acknowledgment that the sheriff may share GPS location data collected from their ankle monitor with other law enforcement agencies, also without a warrant, probable cause, or even reasonable suspicion.

61388


"In an outrageous power grab, Sheriff Paul Miyamoto is trampling the privacy rights of every person released pretrial on electronic monitoring in San Francisco," said Shilpi Agarwal, legal director of the ACLU of Northern California. "Ankle cuffs are supposed to ensure that an individual remains in the Bay Area and shows up for court proceedings. They are not a license for law enforcement's unlimited search and surveillance of vulnerable people who haven't been convicted of a crime."

The sheriff routinely shares real-time and historical GPS location data with the San Francisco Police Department and other law enforcement agencies upon request. During an investigation, police can use location data to track a specific person wearing an ankle monitor or conduct a virtual sweep to identify anyone on electronic monitoring who happened to be in the area when a crime occurred.

The private company that administers the electronic monitoring program may indefinitely retain the location data for anyone who has ever participated, including people who may never have been convicted of any offense. With the court's ongoing trial backlog, exacerbated by court closures during the pandemic, some individuals have been on electronic monitoring for more than a year, generating a treasure-trove of location data police can sift through to track their movements over time. In 2019, the sheriff turned over GPS location data collected from four individuals; by 2021, that number had surged to 179.

Currently, more than 200 people awaiting trial in San Francisco are subject to Sheriff Miyamoto's unlawful conditions. Given efforts to reduce the jail population and provide alternatives to pretrial detention, that number is likely to increase.

"In a very concrete and brazen way, the sheriff is exploiting his duty to administer an electronic monitoring program by treating a court's order of release as a blank check to conduct fishing expeditions for any potential wrongdoing," said Justina Sessions, a partner at Wilson Sonsini who has expertise in class-action litigation. "This is enormously harmful to people released on electronic monitoring, and critically, it is completely illegal."



The ACLU and Wilson Sonsini filed the complaint in San Francisco County Superior Court on behalf of three Bay Area residents released pretrial on electronic monitoring. The suit also includes taxpayer plaintiffs Community Resource Initiative, a San Francisco-based organization that works on diverse criminal justice and community empowerment issues; and Diana Block, co-founder of the California Coalition for Women Prisoners.

"All San Franciscans are entitled to their constitutional rights, whether they are in jail or subject to other forms of incarceration," said Anthony Ginez, executive director of Community Resource Initiative. "We strongly object to the sheriff's use of electronic monitoring, and our tax dollars, to extend carceral surveillance beyond the jail's walls and into our communities and homes."

"Stripping away privacy rights is illegal and only tolerated because of the disenfranchisement of those directly affected, who are indigent and 70% Black and Brown," said San Francisco Public Defender Mano Raju, whose office raised the flag on this issue and helped the ACLU identify the plaintiffs in this case.

Although they believe the four-way search and data-sharing conditions are unfair and punitive, the three class representative plaintiffs agreed to the sheriff's rules because they otherwise would have remained in jail, unable to care for a child, go to work and attend a high school graduation.

In addition to barring the four-way search and data-sharing conditions, the lawsuit seeks to force the San Francisco Sheriff's Office to automatically expunge an individual's GPS location data when their criminal case ends.

Read the complaint [here](#).

File Under: Criminal Justice

**BECOME A MEMBER**

63



Real change starts with you – and every one of us can help make a difference.

**JOIN US**

## STAY INFORMED

Sign up for ACLU updates

Your Email

Postal Code

**SIGN UP**



Media Contact: (415) 621-2493 ([press@aclunc.org](mailto:press@aclunc.org)) | [User Agreement](#) | [Privacy Statement](#)

1 Shilpi Agarwal (SBN 270749)  
sagarwal@aclunc.org  
2 Avram D. Frey (MJP 804789)  
afrey@aclunc.org  
3 Emi Young (SBN (SBN 311238)  
eyoung@aclunc.org  
4 Hannah Kieschnick (SBN 619011)  
hkieschnick@aclunc.org  
5 AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION  
FOUNDATION OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA, INC.  
6 39 Drumm Street  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
7 Telephone: (415) 621-2493  
Facsimile: (415) 255-1478

8 Justina Sessions (SBN 270914)  
jsessions@wsgr.com  
9 John P. Flynn (SBN 141094)  
jflynn@wsgr.com  
10 Colleen Bal (SBN 167637)  
cbal@wsgr.com  
11 Dylan G. Savage (SBN 310452)  
dsavage@wsgr.com  
12 Malavika F. Lobo (SBN 317635)  
mlobo@wsgr.com  
13 WILSON, SONSINI, GOODRICH & ROSATI  
14 One Market Plaza  
Spear Tower, Suite 3300  
15 San Francisco, CA 94105  
Telephone: (415) 947-2197  
16 Facsimile: (415) 947-2000

17 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

18 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**  
19 **FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

20 JOSHUA SIMON, DAVID BARBER, AND JOSUE BONILLA,  
21 individually and on behalf of all others similarly  
situated, DIANA BLOCK, an individual and  
22 COMMUNITY RESOURCE INITIATIVE, an organization,

23 Plaintiffs,

24 v.



25 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, PAUL  
MIYAMOTO, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS SAN  
26 FRANCISCO SHERIFF,

27 Defendants.  
28

CASE NO.

**COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY  
AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF FOR  
VIOLATION OF ARTICLE I,  
SECTIONS 1, 7, AND 13 OF THE  
CALIFORNIA CONSTITUTION,  
ARTICLE III, SECTION 3 OF THE  
CALIFORNIA CONSTITUTION, AND  
THE FOURTH AND FOURTEENTH  
AMENDMENTS OF THE UNITED  
STATES CONSTITUTION**







# San Francisco Sheriff's Office

## MENU

## Records Menu

### FAQs

See All FAQs

What types of records are available?

Are there fees for public records requests?

What records are exempt from public requests?

When will I receive records for my public request?

If a records does not exist, can the agency create a record in response to my request?

#### Public Records Request

about a month ago

In Progress

P001596-121322

Public Records Request

Status : Received

Chris Kline

View File(s)

Details

#### Public Records Request

3 months ago

Completed

P001470-101922

Public Records Request

Status : Partial Release

Chris Kline

View File(s)

Details

#### Subpoena Duces Tecum

about a year ago

Completed

S000127-070521

All public health records to include epidemiology, behavioral health surveillance to include communication from county to and from Chris Ward Kline. Information should include all communication transmitted through Public Broadcast, Emergency Broadcast and Public Health Communication and any additional surveillance networks within San Francisco County via voice synthesizers and utilization of text to voice/speech and voice/speech to text during all periods from 9/2001 through July 4, 2021. It should be noted that plaintiff was not in prison nor affiliated with a gang; however, Plaintiff is requesting electronic surveillance listed above but also any

Powered by

GovQA

66

394

1662 p.s. please do not utilize my cell phone number or my email to place electronic surveillance based on this request.

**Status :** No Records Exist

**Chris Kline**

[View File\(s\)](#)

[Details](#)

**Public Records Request** about a year ago

P000320-041221

**Completed**

Public Records Request

**Status :** No Records Exist.

**Chris Kline**

[Details](#)

« 1 »



Chris K. <ckblueaqua@gmail.com>

**[Records Center] Public Records Request :: P001596-121322**

1 message

San Francisco County Sheriff <sfsheriff@govqa.us>  
To: "ckblueaqua@gmail.com" <ckblueaqua@gmail.com>

Fri, Dec 23, 2022 at 11:26 AM

--- Please respond above this line ---



RE: Public Records Request of December 13, 2022, Reference # P001596-121322

Dear Chris Kline, *Normal Reply Dec 22, 2022*

On December 13, 2022, the San Francisco Sheriff's office received your request for records pursuant to the California Public Records Act (CPRA) wherein you requested the following:

*See original request and additional items. I'm also looking for encrypted files of Interoperable Communication, text-to-voice/voice to text and for these files to be decrypted and turned over within a reasonable amount of time. It is how public health and safety uses technology. For example, it picks up code words such as 'what is the speed limit?' and the technology is supposed to inform me with computers and devices talking to me the individual. It is not classified nor confidential. It is the same devices and equipment that churches use, Federal Prisons, jails and protective housing folks as well as folks with HIV. It is also used to protect politicians inside city hall and outside during events in which SFPD and Sheriff's places on large swaths of people during these events and with the equipment atop the County Library and Asian Art Museum.*

*I know these records exist as they exist in all approximately 3,030 counties across the United States. This is not an abnormal request, just all files related to me and interaction with former and current employees.*

*I understand the legal issues and the facts that some former and possible current deputies have went to fall with their surveillance duties. The office of the Sheriff is to uphold the law with the upmost integrity.*

*I can if needed request this information from the District of Columbia Public Safety as that is where the Interface for the entire system that wraps up to federal agencies such of Commerce, Labor, DOJ, HHS, AND multiple others. It is also available at the FCC.*

*I'm also requesting all information from the Sheriff's Department on any investigation conducted by current and former employees using this equipment. I have also submitted an*

*Internal Affairs request and have submitted over 60 pages of documentation. There would have been notes added to my file and those are public records.*

*Besides One System which I have 100% proof that Department of Emergency Management and the Sheriff's Department uses, amongst others, but to also include the following:*

*1) body worn cameras, 2) Inmate phone call recording, 3) CCTV Cameras, 4) Fiber Optic Cameras and Under door cameras, 5) GPS Tracking devices, 6) HNT Throw Phone/Camera, 7) any stingray or related technology, 8) Recon Scout, 9) Constant Contact, 10) Google Web Analytic, 11) drones, 12) RFID Scanner, 13) Vehicle and Mobile Command Cameras, 14) SCRAM Ankle Bracelet, 15) Dataworks Plus Digital Photo, 16) Cellbrite, 17) Lil Ears Microphone, 18) Irobot, 19) Vetmax Camera, 20) Wolstenholme Hazprobe, 20) Andros Robotics with Camera, 21) under vehicle Camera, and all other apps, devices and technology.*

*As a portion of this has been answered already, please disregard the portions already answered by your office such as P.R.E.P.*

*All records within the Sheriffs Department, the Department of Emergency Management and other agencies to include the Sheriff Department Community Programs and Engagement Programs to include but not limited too: Current and valid P.R.E.P. certification from the California Department of Health and for all other records that are known to exist on a variety of incidents, issues, surveillance to include: interviewing gang members, interviewing homeless people, interacting with people on the app*

*One System, public health surveillance and safety equipment to include and not limited too: All Central Records Systems to include but not limited to Electronic/Microphone/Physical and Technical Surveillances for both main file records and cross reference files. These records are known to exist as all apps that utilize GPS and GPRS data, with One System and all other apps such as public health, public safety and political surveillance to include any and all surveys and messages sent to any and devices specific to Chris Ward Kline. a previous request for records was denied by Retired Deputy James Wilson which would be misconduct of a public official since the records do exist. — Clarification response: — I was asked for follow up on two specific parts of my records request: 1) P.R.E.P. is the Post Release Education Program, certification #380083BN expired 5/31/2017. It is required by state law as the Sheriff conducts outpatient Alcohol and/or other Drug Services. California Department of Health Care Services issues the certification. 2) the App One System was purchased by the city and county of San Francisco from Bitfocus, Inc. and is used in all agencies within the city and county of San Francisco to multiple day to day functions, monitors SIGINT, is assigned a FCC channel, and used for Protection for elected officials, gang surveillance, public health day to day operations, public safety day to day operations. With it, you can program signals to go to peoples homes, cell phones, police vehicles, fire trucks, etc. and it is being used illegally by a few in San Francisco to influence people for various personal and political reasons. Simply put, I want all information that applies to me, impacted me, etc that was used on that FCC channel. You can contact this person's email shome.vasudevan@sfgov.org who has the certification which expires in the year 2030. SFLA COMMUNICATIONS DEPT SAN FRANCISCO, SAN MATEO, SAN FRANCISCO, CA P: (650)821-3644 SY - Trunked Public Safety 700 MHz WQMR423 - Call Sign 90.523(A) - GOVERNMENT AGENCIES SAN FRANCISCO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT PUBLIC SAFETY RADIO SYSTEM City Agency HSH is the largest agency in the city and county. It uses the app and issues credentials to agencies and individuals to, when done legally, to guide people to healthy decisions. When it is being done illegally, it can force people to move that they don't like, that don't vote for a specific person, or donate to a specific person or cause. Please let me know if there is additional information needed.*

*I'm also concerned that at least one record was removed from this service request system in*



*which the Legal Office stated that they needed two more weeks to review my claim asking for my knowledge of P.R.E.P. and One System.*

*I'm also looking for all credentials that were given out to any citizen, former and current employee and purpose of allowing them credentials. Within San Francisco, over 50 agencies utilize One System, from churches, non profit, Department of Emergency Management, Public Health, and politicians use to send out MailChimp, SurveyMonkey, SurveyGizmo, etc.*

*If the Sheriff was not able to monitor this system, a person could at any time, send an email, text, call with the aforementioned apps, to inmates or visitors entering into City Hall. It sends bots and malware that turn on a persons phone, and then can be a live operator, program, questionnaire, etc to cause violence within areas that are protected by the Sheriff's Department.*

***IT WOULD BE IMPOSSIBLE FOR THE SHERIFF NOT TO HAVE ANY DOCUMENTATION CONCERNING THIS, LET ALONG SOMEONE THAT HAS VISITED SAN FRANCISCO, FREQUENTED SAN FRANCISCO, SPOKE AT CITY HALL, ETC.***

*I'm also including multiple dates and times in which current and former Sheriff's employees were using surveillance on me at various times and the obstruction that occurred.*

The Sheriff's Office responds to your public records request as follows: The Sheriff's office is conducting an extensive review to locate and review responsive records in order to respond to your public records request. Please be advised that because of the need to consult with another unit in our department, the Sheriff's office is hereby invoking an extension of time of 14 days under Gov. Code section 6253(c). On or before January 06, 2023, the Sheriff's office will notify you if we locate any records that are responsive and not exempt from disclosure to your request, in addition to providing a date that non exempt records will be provided to you.

You will receive the Sheriff's office response no later than January 06, 2023.

Sincerely,

Alison Lambert  
Legal Assistant  
San Francisco Sheriff's Office  
Central Records & Warrants Unit

To monitor the progress or update this request please log into the Records Request System

Powered by  
**GovQA**

69

06

U.S. Postal Service <sup>TM</sup>	
CERTIFIED MAIL <sup>®</sup> RECEIPT	
Domestic Mail Only	
For delivery information, visit our website at <a href="http://www.usps.com">www.usps.com</a>	
<b>OFFICE VALUUS</b>	
Certified Mail Fee	\$3.75
Extra Services & Fees (check box, add fee)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt (hardcopy)	\$2.80
<input type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt (electronic)	\$0.00
<input type="checkbox"/> Certified Mail Restricted Delivery	\$0.00
<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Required	\$0.00
<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Signature Restricted Delivery	\$0.00
Postage	\$0.58
Total Postage and Fees	\$3.33
Sent To: <u>Internal Affairs Unit</u>	
Street and Apt. No.: <u>25 Van Ness Ave Suite 350</u>	
City, State, ZIP+4 <sup>®</sup> : <u>San Francisco, CA 94102</u>	
PS Form 3800, April 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9047 See Reverse for Instructions	

7968 9892 0000 0760 2202



# USPS Tracking®

[FAQs >](#)

Tracking Number:

[Remove X](#)**70220410000076868461**[Copy](#)[Add to Informed Delivery \(https://informedelivery.usps.com/\)](https://informedelivery.usps.com/)

## Latest Update

Your item was delivered to the front desk, reception area, or mail room at 12:56 pm on July 1, 2022 in SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102.

### Get More Out of USPS Tracking:

**USPS Tracking Plus®**

#### Delivered

**Delivered, Front Desk/Reception/Mail Room**

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

July 1, 2022, 12:56 pm

#### Departed USPS Regional Facility

SAN FRANCISCO CA DISTRIBUTION CENTER

July 1, 2022, 12:20 am

#### Arrived at USPS Regional Facility

SAN FRANCISCO CA DISTRIBUTION CENTER

June 30, 2022, 7:41 pm

#### USPS in possession of item

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104

June 30, 2022, 10:31 am

[Hide Tracking History](#)[Feedback](#)

71

---

**Text & Email Updates**

---

**USPS Tracking Plus®**

---

**Product Information****See Less**

Track Another Package

Enter tracking or barcode numbers

## Need More Help?

Contact USPS Tracking support for further assistance.

FAQs



Chris K. &lt;ckblueaqua@gmail.com&gt;

---

**Request Additional Info for Internal Affairs**

3 messages

---

**Chris K.** <ckblueaqua@gmail.com>

Wed, Dec 7, 2022 at 2:06 PM

To: sfso.complaints@sfgov.org

Per our conversation this morning, here is a small portion of evidence, reports and other documents that should help you with your investigation. The person I spoke with was Sgt. Johnson.

- Here is the robbery report from January 20, 2022. Notice my statement compared to what the Police Officer wrote in their report. They did not mention that I named a potential suspect. This was because a person(s) was using Public Safety Equipment to influence that officer while writing the report.
- You will also find the Retired Deputy who stated that there was no data on me from the Sheriff's Department. I was very clear in my request to include encrypted data, interoperable communication, etc.
- Also note that with the most recent request in which they were asking for additional information to include 'additional info on Sheriff's Certification and One System'. That information was sent via the Sheriff's Office record request and they replied that they needed two additional weeks to review with their Legal Counsel.

Please let me know if you need additional documentation. I have over 20,000 pieces of evidence.

Respectfully,

Chris Ward Kline

---

 image.pdf  
1645K

---

**SFSO Complaints (SHF)** <sfso.complaints@sfgov.org>

Wed, Dec 7, 2022 at 2:20 PM

To: "Chris K." &lt;ckblueaqua@gmail.com&gt;

Good afternoon,

- The San Francisco Sheriff's Office Internal Affairs Unit does not investigate matters involving a San Francisco Police Officer. If you would like to file a complaint with the Department of Police Accountability regarding an officer please use this link: <https://sf.gov/file-complaint-about-police-services>
- If your request with the retired Deputy is in regards to the incident that occurred on 1/20/2022, SFSO would have no record, since it was a SFPD report.
- If the Sheriff's Office requested an additional two weeks, please wait the additional two weeks and if they do not get back to you after the two weeks, please let us know.

Thank you.

72

Office of the Sheriff

City and County of San Francisco

Internal Affairs Unit

CONFIDENTIAL This communication and any accompanying documents are confidential. They are intended for the sole use of the addressee. If you receive this transmission in error, you are advised that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or the taking of any action in reliance upon this communication is strictly prohibited. Moreover, any such disclosure shall not compromise or waive the attorney-client, accountant-client, or other privileges as to this communication or otherwise. If you have received this communication in error, please contact me at the above email address. Thank you.

**From:** Chris K. <ckblueaqua@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 7, 2022 2:07 PM  
**To:** SFSO Complaints (SHF) <sfso.complaints@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Request Additional Info for Internal Affairs

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**Chris K.** <ckblueaqua@gmail.com>  
**To:** "SFSO Complaints (SHF)" <sfso.complaints@sfgov.org>

Wed, Dec 7, 2022 at 2:25 PM

Good afternoon,

This report is on a retired deputy who was using Sheriff's Department equipment to influence the robbery and colluded with others within the community. I suggest reading the entire packet which is a small portion of information already submitted to state and federal law enforcement.

It is a serious crime for a sheriff, either former or current, to obstruct and remove official government documents.

I will be forced to print up this email as only more obstruction and misconduct.

Please take notice that I submitted an Internal Affairs report by certified mail on June 30, 2022.

Respectfully,

Chris Ward Kline

[Quoted text hidden]

73

[illegible]

744


\*



average length of stay of 34 days, though half of those released were incarcerated for 2.53 days. This is about 34 and 6 percent higher, respectively, than the same period in 2019, largely due to increases in length of stay during the beginning of the local COVID stay at home order.

Since at least 2018, between 85 to 95 percent of individuals in the Sheriff's custody in county jails are awaiting adjudication of their court case. Most of the remaining incarcerated individuals have been sentenced to serve jail time in county jail. The final group includes individuals being held on arrest warrants and other holds. While the jail population has fallen 40 percent from September 2019 to September 2020, the share of incarcerated individuals awaiting trial has remained largely unchanged. According to separate data submitted to the California Board of State and Community Corrections by the Sheriff's Department, 93 percent of unsentenced individuals were pending adjudication on felony charges as of June 2020 (similar to previous years).

**Exhibit 1: San Francisco County Jail Population and Percent of Population Awaiting Trial (Last Day of Month), January 2018 – September 2020**



Source: BLA Calculations using Sheriff's Department Data

While most individuals detained pending trial are released relatively quickly (median length of stay was 2.53 days from January to September 2020), recent research indicates that even short periods of detention before trial can have significant negative medium and long term outcomes. A 2019 review found that longer pretrial detention stays had mixed effects on reducing the likelihood of failing to appear for subsequent court dates and that pretrial detentions stays of more than three days increased the likelihood of



---

## 2. Overview of Community-Based Sheriff Contracts and Program Staffing

### Overview of Sheriff Community Based Programs and Contracts

The Sheriff's Department currently funds 18 community-based programs, provided by 10 organizations, with a total budget of \$12.1 million for FY 2020-21 and 2021-22. Budgeted expenditures on community-based programs have increased by \$5.3 million, or 74 percent from FY 2017-18 to FY 2021-22. For FY 2020-21, almost half of this funding (48 percent or \$5.8 million) is for pretrial assessment and supervision services provided to the Court, followed by 9 percent, or \$1.1 million for electronic monitoring services. Much of this increase has been tied to recent legal cases which have found the use of money bail without consideration of ability to pay prior to trial to be unconstitutional.<sup>5</sup> The Sheriff's Department has supported these increases and associated bail reform. Exhibit 2 below provides a breakdown of budgeted expenditures by service or program category for FY 2017-18 to 2021-22.

In order to facilitate the review of these programs, we have separated them into the following five categories:

- 1) **Pretrial and Incarceration Alternatives:** this includes services primarily for individuals who have not yet been sentenced and/or individuals who have been diverted from incarceration through court-ordered alternatives.<sup>6</sup> Programs in this category include pretrial assessment and supervision, electronic monitoring and other incarceration alternatives such as Misdemeanor Behavioral Court Case Management, court-ordered community service, and Pretrial Diversion.
- 2) **Reentry Services:** this includes services to help incarcerated individuals "reenter" society after serving time in County Jail or state prison as part of a Court sentence. These services start in custody, prior to release, but continue after the individual leaves incarceration. These programs include case management, linkage to other community services including transitional housing or housing vouchers. Examples of these programs include No Violence Alliance (NoVA) Case Management, Discharge Planning, Women's Services and other population-specific services for Transitional Age Youth (TAY) and anger management.
- 3) **In Custody Programs:** these programs are primarily designed for individuals in the County jails such as domestic violence and restorative justice programming,

---

<sup>5</sup> Recent rulings in the *Buffin v. San Francisco* and *In Re Humphrey* cases have found that the use of money bail without consideration of ability to pay at the pre-arraignment and arraignment stages is a violation of constitutional due process and equal protection clauses. The 2018 *In Re Humphrey* state appellate court ruling is currently pending review by the California Supreme Court.

<sup>6</sup> For example, pretrial diversion, Deferred Entry of Judgment or participation in collaborative courts

treatment-focused programs, and family visitation. Some of these include reentry planning elements but most do not include services after leaving custody.

- 4) **Community Engagement and Prevention Programs:** programs intended to foster community engagement, such as the Sheriff's Department Horticultural Training Program for youth and young adults, or aimed at preventing specific outcomes, such as Eviction Assistance.
- 5) **Victim Services:** programs intended to help victims of crime through crisis counseling and case management, facilitated group programming or involving victims in restorative justice programs with offenders.

Exhibit 2 below provides a breakdown of budgeted spending for each service category from FY 2017-18 to 2021-22.

**Exhibit 2: Budgeted Funding for Sheriff Community Based programs and Contracts by Service Category, FY 2017-18 to 2021-22**

Service Category	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2021-22	% FY 2020-21 Total	% chg: FY 2017-18 to 2021- 22
Pretrial & Incarceration Alternatives <sup>a</sup>	\$3,289,030	\$5,525,365	\$7,316,151	\$7,718,224	\$7,718,224	64%	135%
Reentry Services	1,662,996	1,890,810	2,369,730	2,358,243	2,358,243	19%	42%
In Custody Programs <sup>b</sup>	1,254,007	1,285,358	1,305,040	1,300,890	1,300,890	11%	4%
Community Engagement & Prevention	483,900	59,825	469,975	470,989	471,598	4%	-3%
Victim Services	278,812	285,782	286,113	283,842	283,842	2%	2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$6,968,745</b>	<b>\$9,047,140</b>	<b>\$11,747,009</b>	<b>\$12,132,188</b>	<b>\$12,132,797</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>74%</b>

Source: BLA categorization and calculation based on Sheriff's Department data

Notes: <sup>a</sup> Includes Electronic Monitoring.

<sup>b</sup> Does not include reentry service programs which begin in-custody but primarily provide support once the individual leaves custody (i.e. Discharge Planning, NoVA Case Management, etc.).

Exhibit 3 below provides a detailed breakdown of budgeted spending for Sheriff's Department community-based programs by service category and specific program. This shows that almost two thirds (64 percent, or \$7.7 million) of FY 2020-21 and 2021-22 funding was budgeted for Pretrial and Incarceration Alternatives, primarily Pretrial Services and Electronic Monitoring. Just under one fifth of funding (19 percent, or \$2.3 million) was budgeted for various reentry services, primarily through the No Violence Alliance (NoVA) Case Management program and project support "FlexFund."

**Exhibit 3: Budgeted Funding for Sheriff Community Based programs and Contracts by Service Category and Program**

Service Category/ Program	FY 2017-18	FY 2018-19	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2021-22	% 2021-22
<b>Pretrial / Incarceration Alternatives</b>						
Pretrial Incarceration Alternatives	\$2,511,523	\$3,331,768	\$4,471,357	\$5,786,471	\$5,786,471	48%
Electronic Monitoring	220,187	903,810	1,100,000	1,128,000	1,128,000	9%
Pretrial Diversion & Buffin	521,445	1,049,597	1,546,228	550,620	550,620	5%
Misdemeanor Behavioral Health Court Case Management	-	203,418	160,875	214,500	214,500	2%
Street Environmental Services (DPW Workorder)	35,875	36,772	37,691	38,633	38,633	0.3%
<b>Subtotal (Pretrial)</b>	<b>\$3,289,030</b>	<b>\$5,525,365</b>	<b>\$7,316,151</b>	<b>\$7,718,224</b>	<b>\$7,718,224</b>	<b>64%</b>
<b>In Custody Programs <sup>a</sup></b>						
RSVP and BIP at Community Correction <sup>b</sup>	\$493,314	\$505,646	\$518,287	\$518,287	\$518,287	4%
Sisters, Roads & Care Coordinator	461,250	472,782	472,150	468,000	468,000	4%
Family Focused Svcs for Incarcerated Parents	299,443	306,930	314,603	314,603	314,603	3%
<b>Subtotal (In Custody)</b>	<b>\$1,254,007</b>	<b>\$1,285,358</b>	<b>\$1,305,040</b>	<b>\$1,300,890</b>	<b>\$1,300,890</b>	<b>11%</b>
<b>Reentry Services</b>						
Project Support (FlexFund) <sup>c</sup>	\$590,510	\$594,625	\$1,010,000	\$1,010,000	\$1,010,000	8%
NoVA Case Management	707,011	735,769	805,615	782,395	782,395	6%
Women's Services (STAND)	155,324	159,207	163,187	163,187	163,187	1%
Discharge Planning	45,700	112,422	131,876	137,422	137,422	1%
NoVA Case Management - One Family	105,851	108,497	119,380	122,103	122,103	1%
Transitional Age Youth Program	15,000	135,600	93,865	97,329	97,329	1%
Intensive Case Management & Mentoring (ICMM)	43,600	44,690	45,807	45,807	45,807	0.4%
<b>Subtotal (Reentry Svcs)</b>	<b>\$1,662,996</b>	<b>\$1,890,810</b>	<b>\$2,369,730</b>	<b>\$2,358,243</b>	<b>\$2,358,243</b>	<b>19%</b>
<b>Victim Services</b>						
Survivor Empowerment Program (SEP) <sup>b</sup>	\$186,687	\$191,354	\$196,138	\$196,138	\$196,138	2%
Survivor Restoration Services	\$92,125	\$94,428	\$89,975	\$87,704	\$87,704	1%
<b>Subtotal (Victim Svcs)</b>	<b>\$278,812</b>	<b>\$285,782</b>	<b>\$286,113</b>	<b>\$283,842</b>	<b>\$283,842</b>	<b>2%</b>
<b>Community Engagement &amp; Prevention</b>						
Horticultural Training Program	\$425,534	\$-	\$400,000	\$400,000	\$400,000	3%
Eviction Assistance	58,366	59,825	69,975	70,989	71,598	1%
<b>Subtotal (Prevention)</b>	<b>\$483,900</b>	<b>\$59,825</b>	<b>\$469,975</b>	<b>\$470,989</b>	<b>\$471,598</b>	<b>4%</b>
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>\$6,968,745</b>	<b>\$9,047,140</b>	<b>\$11,747,009</b>	<b>\$12,132,188</b>	<b>\$12,132,797</b>	<b>100%</b>

Source: BLA calculations based on Sheriff's Department data

Notes: <sup>a</sup> Does not include reentry service programs which begin in-custody but primarily provide support once the individual leaves custody (i.e. Discharge Planning, NoVA Case Management, etc.).

<sup>b</sup> RSVP, BIP and SEP are included in one contract. According to the Sheriff's Department, 27.5 percent of total funding was for the SEP (victim services program), we assumed this percentage applied to all FYs in the contract.

<sup>c</sup> The increase in funding from FY2018-19 to 2019-20 was due to the addition of five residential treatment beds as part of the Misdemeanor Behavior Health Court program following the expiration of a state grant that previously funded these beds.

**Exhibit 5: Community-Based Programs and Contracts by Contract Expiration Year**

Program Name	Current Contractor Name	FY2020-21	FY 2021-22	Procurement Status
<b>Expiring in FY 2020-21</b>				
PreTrial Incarceration Alternatives	<i>SF Pretrial Diversion Project</i>	\$5,786,471	\$5,786,471	RFP pending City Attorney review
Survivor Empowerment Program, RSVP, and BIP	<i>Community Works West, Inc.</i>	714,425	714,425	Plan to exercise 1-year extension
Pretrial Diversion (PDP) & Buffin Implementation	<i>SF Pretrial Diversion Project</i>	550,620	550,620	RFP pending City Attorney review
Family Focused Svs for Incarcerated Parents	<i>Community Works West, Inc.</i>	314,603	314,603	RFP issued
Women's Services (STAND)	<i>HealthRIGHT360</i>	163,187	163,187	RFP pending City Attorney review
Intensive Case Management & Mentoring (ICMM)	<i>Recovery Survival Network</i>	45,807	45,807	Plan to exercise 1-year extension
<b>FY 2020-21 Sub-Total</b>		<b>\$7,575,113</b>	<b>\$7,575,113</b>	
<b>Expiring in FY 2021-22</b>				
Project Support (FlexFund)	<i>Westside Community Service</i>	\$1,010,000	\$1,010,000	TBD
	<i>Bayview Hunter's Pnt</i>	209,054	209,054	TBD
NoVA Case Management	<i>Center on Juvenile &amp; Criminal Justice</i>	117,605	117,605	TBD
	<i>Westside Community Service</i>	455,736	455,736	TBD
Sisters, Roads & Care Coordinator	<i>SF Pretrial Diversion Project</i>	468,000	468,000	TBD
Horticultural Training Program	<i>SF Conservation Corps</i>	400,000	400,000	TBD
MBHC Case Management	<i>Westside Community Service</i>	214,500	214,500	TBD
Discharge Planning	<i>SF Pretrial Diversion Project</i>	137,422	137,422	TBD
NoVA Case Management - One Family	<i>Community Works West, Inc.</i>	122,103	122,103	TBD
Transitional Age Youth Program	<i>Community Works West, Inc.</i>	97,329	97,329	TBD
Survivor Restoration Services	<i>Westside Community Service</i>	87,704	87,704	TBD
<b>FY 2021-22 Sub-Total</b>		<b>\$3,319,453</b>	<b>\$3,319,453</b>	
<b>Expiring in FY 2022-23</b>				
Electronic Monitoring *	<i>Sentinel Offender Services</i>	\$1,128,000	\$1,128,000	TBD
Eviction Assistance	<i>Felton Institute</i>	70,989	71,598	TBD
Street Environmental Services (DPW workorder)	<i>SF Pretrial Diversion Project</i>	38,633	38,633	TBD
<b>FY 2022-23 Sub-Total</b>		<b>\$1,237,622</b>	<b>\$1,238,231</b>	
<b>Grand Total</b>		<b>\$12,132,188</b>	<b>\$12,132,797</b>	

Source: BLA calculations based on Sheriff's Department data

Notes: \* Contract expires 7/31/22.



### Sheriff Staffing of Community Based Programs and Contracts

According to the Sheriff's Department, there are currently 67.25 full time equivalent (FTE) positions assigned to work on community based programs and contracts in various roles, of whom 26 are civilian staff and 41.25 are sworn staff in non-custody divisions, as shown in Exhibit 6 below. The Sheriff's Department indicated there were a further 392 staff working in custody divisions which interface with community based programs. However, most of these were deputy sheriffs assigned to regular positions within the County Jails, rather than roles assigned to overseeing or administering programs. Based on information provided during the FY 2020-22 budget review process, we estimated the total FY 2020-21 staff costs for non-custody staff (both civilian and sworn) at \$13.5 million, of which \$4.2 million is estimated for civilian staff and \$9.3 million for sworn staff.<sup>7</sup>

Among non-custody sworn staff, 25.50 positions were assigned to Electronic Monitoring administration and supervision and 5 positions to Pretrial Services administration and oversight. Civilian staff were primarily assigned to overall program administration and oversight (5.00 FTE), followed by the NOVA One Family program (3.33 FTE), NoVA Case Management (2.91 FTE), and Discharge Planning (2.91 FTE).

**Exhibit 6: Sheriff Department Staff Assigned to Community Based Programs and Contracts by Program and Staff Type**

Program Assignment	Civilian	Sworn	Total FTE	% of Program Staff
→ Electronic Monitoring	2.00	25.50	27.50	40.9%
All Programs/ Program Admin.	5.00	2.50	7.50	11.2%
Pretrial Services	1.50	5.00	6.50	9.7%
Discharge Planning	2.91	2.00	4.91	7.3%
Horticulture Training Program	2.25	2.00	4.25	6.3%
NoVA	2.91	1.25	4.16	6.2%
NOVA - One Family	3.33		3.33	4.9%
→ Eviction Assistance		2.00	2.00	3.0%
RSVP & SRP/SEP	1.40	0.50	1.90	2.8%
TAY Case Management	1.58		1.58	2.3%
STAND/ Women's Svcs	1.48		1.48	2.2%
Community Programs Case Mgmt	0.33	0.50	0.83	1.2%
Sisters	0.81		0.81	1.2%
Roads to Recovery	0.51		0.51	0.8%
<b>Staff Total</b>	<b>26.00</b>	<b>41.25</b>	<b>67.25</b>	<b>100%</b>

Source: BLA calculations based on Sheriff's Department data.

Notes: Several staff were assigned to multiple programs; we estimated staff allocation in equal proportions to provide an unduplicated FTE count. These estimates may not reflect the actual level of effort dedicated to each program.

<sup>7</sup> Because salary and benefits were not available for three classifications in FY 2020-22 budget data - Assistant Sheriff, Health Worker II, and IS Business Analyst Principal - we used the top step Classification and Compensation database and assumed a 30 percent benefits cost.

### 3. Pretrial Services and Electronic Monitoring

The Sheriff's Department has pre-trial, in-custody, re-entry, and community-based programs, as shown in Exhibit 3 above and discussed further below. While some programs can only be provided by the Sheriff, other programs can be delivered by agencies other than the Sheriff. We assessed these programs based on whether each program (1) was within the Sheriff's statutory duties; (2) duplicated programs provided by other City departments; and (3) conformed to best practices.

The majority of the Sheriff's community-based programming budget is allocated to pretrial services and incarceration alternatives, making up \$7.7 million or 64 percent of \$12.1 million of the total program budget in FY2020-21.

**Exhibit 8: Budgeted Spending for Pretrial Services and Incarceration Alternatives, FY 2019-20 to 2021-22**

Service Category/ Program	FY 2019-20	FY 2020-21	FY 2021-22
PreTrial Incarceration Alternatives (PIA)	\$4,471,357	\$5,786,471	\$5,786,471
→ Electronic Monitoring	1,100,000	1,128,000	1,128,000
Pretrial Diversion (PDP) & Buffin Implementation	1,546,228	550,620	550,620
→ Misdemeanor Behavioral Health Court Case Management	160,875	214,500	214,500
Street Environmental Services (DPW Workorder)	37,691	38,633	38,633
<b>Pretrial / Incarceration Alternative Total</b>	<b>\$7,316,151</b>	<b>\$7,718,224</b>	<b>\$7,718,224</b>

Source: BLA Calculations using Sheriff's Department Data

#### Pretrial Assessment and Services

Almost half of the community-based programming budget, \$5.8 million, is allocated to the Pretrial Incarceration Alternatives program. Under the Pretrial Incarceration Alternatives grant agreement between the Sheriff's Department and SF Pretrial Diversion Project, a nonprofit organization that has provided pretrial services since 2006, the SF Pretrial Diversion Project provides pretrial release assessments and supervision recommendations to the Court. Pretrial release risk assessments (as opposed to money bail) are recognized nationally as a best practice for reducing the incarceration of individuals pending trial and securing constitutional due process rights.

The Pretrial Incarceration Alternatives program includes implementation of the City's February 2020 legal settlement in *Buffin v. San Francisco* which ended the Sheriff's use of a fixed bail schedule for pre-arraignment releases. The new system requires release recommendations to be presented to the Court for eligible individuals within 8 hours of confirming the defendant's identity or for the Sheriff to decide, using the recommendation, within 18 hours if the Court has not done so. The release recommendation is informed by a Public Safety Assessment (PSA) which is then mapped



a voter referendum, would have required local courts in all counties to establish "qualified local public agencies" to provide pretrial assessment and supervision services and excluded agencies with primary responsibility for arrests and detention from providing these services. Qualified local public agencies were defined as agencies providing similar services as probation departments.

The SF Pretrial Diversion Project is currently undergoing a NAPSA accreditation review, and according to the Sheriff's Department, the NAPSA review team has indicated that they will recommend the SF Pretrial Diversion Project for accreditation. Final review results are expected in January 2021.

### Electronic Monitoring

→ The next largest program is the Sheriff's Electronic Monitoring Program, currently provided by Sentinel Offender Services, Inc., a for-profit company. Electronic monitoring can be used as an alternative to incarceration at several points during the criminal adjudication process. The main points are prior to a court sentence (i.e. after guilt has been admitted or determined via trial), usually called "pretrial", or after a court sentence. In San Francisco, only a judge can order an incarcerated individual to electronic monitoring during the pre-trial phase; however, the Sheriff is authorized to offer electronic monitoring as an alternative to a county jail commitment after a sentence has been decided. In 2014, the Board of Supervisors rejected an ordinance to allow the Sheriff to use electronic monitoring for individuals detained pretrial in lieu of bail.<sup>12</sup>

According to caseload data provided by the Sheriff's Department, shown in Exhibit 9 below, as of November 2, 2020, 99 percent of individuals on electronic monitoring (294) were awaiting trial (i.e. pretrial). Of those on pretrial electronic monitoring, 48 percent were also ordered by the Court on pretrial supervision or case management as a condition of their release.<sup>13</sup> The remaining individuals were released with no supervision requirement but still required to be on electronic monitoring – 19 percent were released on their Own Recognizance (OR) without active supervision, except for reminders of court dates. Another 33 percent were released with Electronic Monitoring only, however, these individuals were likely released later in the court process (i.e. after arraignment). Separate data presented by the Sheriff on those placed on Electronic Monitoring from January to June 2020, shows that between 61 to 70 percent of those on EM were initially not recommended for release during pre-arraignment but subsequently released on EM.<sup>14</sup>

<sup>12</sup> See San Francisco Board of Supervisors [File No. 130650](#): "Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to expand the category of jail inmates eligible for the Home Detention Program; and authorizing the Sheriff to implement an Electronic Monitoring Program to pretrial detainees being held in lieu of bail."

<sup>13</sup> Through the Own Recognizance Minimum Supervision (twice a week check-ins required) or Assertive Case Management programs (four check-ins per week required).

<sup>14</sup> Sheriff [presentation](#) to the December 2, 2020 hearing of the Budget and Finance Committee.

**Exhibit 9: Sheriff Department's Electronic Monitoring Caseload on November 6, 2019, January 15, 2020 and November 2, 2020**

No. on Electronic Monitoring by Release Type and Case Status	EM Caseload as of:		
	11/6/19	1/15/20	11/2/20
Pretrial (OR No Active Supervision) <sup>a</sup>	45	43	55
Pretrial (OR Minimum Supervision) <sup>b</sup>	6	4	6
Pretrial (Assertive Case Management) <sup>c</sup>	77	75	135
Pretrial (Post-Arrestment, No Supervision)	107	105	98
<b>Pretrial/ Unsented Total</b>	<b>235</b>	<b>227</b>	<b>294</b>
Sented	9	12	4
<b>Sented Total</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>244</b>	<b>239</b>	<b>298</b>
% on Electronic Monitoring by Release Type and Case Status			
	11/6/19	1/15/20	11/2/20
Pretrial (OR No Active Supervision) <sup>a</sup>	19%	19%	19%
Pretrial (OR Minimum Supervision) <sup>b</sup>	3%	2%	2%
Pretrial (Assertive Case Management) <sup>c</sup>	33%	33%	46%
Pretrial (Post-Arrestment, No Supervision)	46%	46%	33%
<b>Pretrial/ Unsented Total</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>99%</b>
Sented	-	-	-
<b>Sented Total</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>1%</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

Source: BLA calculations based on Sheriff's Department data.

Notes: <sup>a</sup> Individuals are released on their "Own Recognizance" (i.e. they must sign a declaration stating they will attend future court hearings). They are not supervised and only receive reminders about upcoming court hearing dates from SF Pretrial Diversion Project staff.

<sup>b</sup> Individuals must check in with SF Pretrial Staff by phone twice a week until their trial concludes or is dismissed.

<sup>c</sup> Individuals must check in with SF Pretrial Staff four times a week, with at least two in person check-ins (pre COVID).

This overlap between electronic monitoring and pretrial supervision also appears to be true for the pretrial supervision caseload overall, with 19 percent of individuals placed on pretrial supervision also being placed on electronic monitoring as of November 2, 2020. This is up from 14 percent a year earlier.

A recent review of existing research by the MacArthur Foundation-supported Safety & Justice Challenge found that electronic monitoring was most effective in reducing recidivism when used in lieu of prison sentences but the evidence was mixed on its use during pre-trial and post-release.<sup>15</sup> This review also highlighted potential issues of "net widening" when used pre-trial (i.e. if a defendant would have been released without electronic monitoring) and differential impacts on sub-groups (including African

<sup>15</sup> Justice System Partners (2020), "The State of Electronic Monitoring." Report commissioned by the MacArthur Foundation's Safety and Justice Challenge.



**OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF  
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE  
ROOM 456, CITY HALL  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94102



**PAUL MIYAMOTO  
SHERIFF**

March 3, 2020  
Reference 2020-019

Ben Rosenfield  
City Controller  
City Hall, room 316  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Phone: (415) 554-7500  
Fax: (415) 554-7466  
E-Mail: [Ben.Rosenfield@sfgov.org](mailto:Ben.Rosenfield@sfgov.org)

**Re: Chapter 19B Certification (Surveillance Technology - Admin. Code §19B.1)**

Dear Controller, Rosenfield,

I write to certify that the use of the Surveillance Technology listed below is necessary to perform our investigative function. I further write to certify that the requirements of Administrative Code Chapter 19B ("Chapter 19B") would inappropriately interfere with and effectively obstruct our investigative function. (See Cal. Gov. Code § 25303.)

Our office uses the following technology defined by section 19B as "surveillance technology":

- Body Worn Cameras
- Inmate Phone call recording<sup>1</sup>
- CCTV Cameras
- Fiber Optic Cameras and Under door cameras
- GPS Tracking Device
- HNT Throw Phone/Camera
- Recon Scout
- Constant Contact
- Google Web Analytic
- Drones
- RFID Scanner
- Vehicle and Mobile Command Cameras
- SCRAM Ankle Bracelet
- Datworks Plus Digital Photo
- Cellbrite
- Lil Ears Microphone
- Irobot
- Vertmax Camera
- Wolstenholme Hazprobe
- Andros Robotics with Camera
- Under Vehicle Camera

<sup>1</sup> We have included this tool although the definition excludes internal security audio/video recording systems. (See Admin Code § 19B.1(12) It is unclear if that exclusion is limited to those used by the Police Department.

Compliance with Chapter 19B would require us to disclose publicly, among other things, the following information:


Admin. Code 19B.1

- (2) A description of the purpose(s) for which the Surveillance Technology equipment or services are proposed for acquisition, including the type of data that may be collected by the Surveillance Technology equipment or services;
- (3) The uses that are authorized, the rules and processes required prior to such use, and uses of the Surveillance Technology that will be expressly prohibited.1
- (4) A description of the formats in which information collected by the Surveillance Technology is stored, copied, and/or accessed;
- (6) The general safeguards that protect information from unauthorized access, including encryption and access control mechanisms;
- (9) Which governmental agencies, departments, bureaus, divisions, or units that may receive data collected by the Surveillance Technology operated by the Department, including any required justification or legal standard necessary to share that data and how it will ensure that any entity receiving such data complies with the Surveillance Technology Policy;
- 10) The training required for any individual authorized to use the Surveillance Technology or to access information collected by the Surveillance Technology;
- (11) The mechanisms to ensure that the Surveillance Technology Policy is followed, including internal personnel assigned to ensure compliance with the policy, internal recordkeeping of the use of the technology or access to information collected by the technology, technical measures to monitor for misuse, any independent person or entity with oversight authority, and the sanctions for violations of the policy;

Public disclosure of the information above would interfere with and effectively obstruct our investigative functions. Investigative agencies generally do not identify how, where, and with what tools they investigate the subjects or the locations of their investigations as doing so not only undermines the effectiveness of said investigations by, for example, tipping off the subjects or targets, it can also put those conducting the investigation in danger. What's more, if a public official were the subject of an investigation, this ordinance would effectively require the Sheriff's Office to ask the Board of Supervisors for permission to use an investigative tool, which could undermine important public corruption investigations.

It is for these reasons and more that compliance with Chapter 19B imposes an inappropriate restriction on our investigative function.

Sincerely,

  
Paul Miyamoto  
San Francisco Sheriff

Cc: Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors Angela Calvillo

Phone: 415 554-7225 Fax: 415 554-7050  
Website: sfsheriff.com Email: sheriff@sfgov.org

8415



CHESA BOUDIN  
DISTRICT ATTORNEY

August 19, 2021

Ben Rosenfield  
City Controller  
City Hall, Room 316  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Phone: (415) 554-7500  
Fax: (415) 554-7466  
E-mail: [Ben.Rosenfield@sfgov.org](mailto:Ben.Rosenfield@sfgov.org)

**Re: Surveillance Technology Exemption Certification**

Dear Controller Rosenfield,

I write pursuant to Section 19B.2(e) of the Administrative Code to certify that the acquisition and use of the following Surveillance Technology is necessary to perform our constitutionally protected investigative and prosecutorial functions. Pursuant to our exemption, I further write to certify that the requirements of Section 19B would inappropriately interfere with our investigative or prosecutorial functions.

Our office uses the following technology defined by section 19B as "surveillance technology":


- |                                      |                                       |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| • Automatic License Plate Readers    | • MacQuisition                        |
| • Pole Cameras                       | • Passware                            |
| • X1 Social Discovery                | • GrayKey -                           |
| • Radio-Frequency IF (RFID) Scanners | • CellHawk                            |
| • FTK                                | • PenLink                             |
| • Encase                             | • Cellebrite                          |
| • Blacklight                         | • Vehicle Trackers                    |
| • CovertTrack                        | • CashTrack High Value Asset Tracking |
| • Ensurity                           | • 3SI Trackers                        |
| • MailChimp                          | • Soniya Trackers                     |
| • Regroup                            | • SurveyMonkey                        |
| • RECON ITR (Lab & Carbon)           |                                       |



Compliance with Chapter 19B would require us to disclose, among other things, the purpose for which we used the above surveillance technology, where we used it, and to submit this information to the Board of Supervisors for approval prior to using such technology. Investigative agencies do not identify the subjects or the locations of their investigations as doing so not only undermines the effectiveness of said investigations, it can also put those conducting the investigation in danger. What's more, if a public official were the subject of an investigation, this ordinance would effectively require the District Attorney's Office to ask the Board of Supervisors for permission to surveil them.

It is for these reasons and more that compliance with 19B imposes an inappropriate restriction on our constitutionally protected investigative and prosecutorial function, and our office invokes the relevant exemption articulated in Section 19B.2(e).

Sincerely,



Chesa Boudin  
San Francisco District Attorney

cc: Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors Angela Calvillo



Presentation March 21, 2023 at 1730 hrs

Good evening and thank you Sunshine Ordinance Task Force for allowing me to speak to the Commission.

I'm Chris Ward Kline, a Northeastern University Criminal Justice graduate with Public Health/Safety experience within hospitals, hotels, retail, housing, homelessness areas, risk management, emergency management. I have also been involved with high level protections of foreign presidents, dignitaries, VIP's, etc. I have a wealth of knowledge regarding electronic surveillance, both collecting data, metadata both for legal and illegal purposes.

I also have experience as a security consultant having previously contracted with NCS Pearson and the Department of Transportation at LAX and surrounding airports as the Security Director shortly after 9/11 in revamping airport security.

Unfortunately, the city and county of San Francisco, is not turning over required files and data due to personal and political reasons. Imagine, having the gold card with unlimited withdrawals, the wine bottle that keeps pouring wine, or the ability to influence a person(s) to consistently do what you want, and making that person, genuinely think it was their own decision, when in fact it wasn't. This is the only reason, the mayor and other agencies refuse to turn over all files, because it would shed light to unauthorized utilization of technology and rain on their parade.

Let's review technology:

- 1) Reference page 1 - 1975 during the Church Commission, it was discussed 'U.S. perfected technology to monitor messages that go through the air...reminiscent of the Stassi in East Germany, KGB in Russia and of course the surveillance state of North Korea and China. It further discussed that a 'Dictator could impose a tyranny on its people'. The Church

Commission stated 'we must ensure all agencies operate within the law and with proper supervision'.

One Reason for the creation of the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force was for this very reason.

- 2) Reference pages 2-8 – Forbes article discusses the technology in 2003 which is widely utilized. That was over 19 years ago.
- 3) Reference page 9 – San Francisco Built a System (One System) to track all homeless people, but also every person and agency that works with homeless or receive funds from the city and county.
- 4) Reference pages 10-11 – Big Brother in SF, so much that in 2019 the Episcopal Community stated 'there's lots of privacy concern' reference One System
- 5) Reference pages 12-13 – Similar App to One System section VI (6) that sending fraudulent, threatening, harassing messages, spamming, bombing (tech term) is not allowed, but the city and county of San Francisco widely disregards!
- 6) Reference pages 14-23 – similar tech and app SurveyMonkey (now called Momentive) Acceptable Usage Policy clearly states under 'YOUR RESPONSIBILITIES' "you may not use the Services to commit an unlawful activity; use the Services for activities where use or failure of the Services could lead to PHYSICAL DAMAGE, DEATH, MENTAL HARM, OR PERSONAL INJURY". Let that sink in for a second.  
\*\*\*\*The city and county of San Francisco utilizes SurveyMonkey, Survey Gizmo, MailChimp, One System, plus a host of other apps and technology.
- 7) Reference pages 24-31 – discusses the technology and some researchers, inventors in which technology has been around for over a century.
- 8) Reference pages 32/33 Bitfocus declaring it does not utilize DO NOT TRACK SIGNALS

So, One System, Bitfocus, Momentive, SurveyMonkey, and so on are terms that I never heard of until fairly recently, After speaking up about these concerns that

are public information, the city and county of San Francisco used some of these and others to cause to me, Chris Ward Kline:

- 1) Homelessness
- 2) Restrictions of jobs, income, revenue, grants to my Non-profit PAVEN (Prejudice And Violence Ends Now)
- 3) Monitored and harassed friends and family
- 4) Refused to step in and provide the resources (previously mentioned) to get home to my mother's funeral, my grandmother's funeral and great aunt's funeral between 2020-2021 – to teach me a lesson and to attempt to discredit me with my family.
- 5) Used to influence individuals to cause a robbery of myself, multiple hostile situations, false medical diagnosis, and even to use this technology to alter outcomes of billiard games and softball games. They even prevented me from traveling to Dallas for the Softball World Series in August 2022 and Las Vegas National Pool Tournament in March 2023.

And after repeated requests, the city and county denied not just any records but that zero records exist.

Reference page 34-35

Any app or technology used in the city and county of San Francisco such as One System, Surveillance by SFPD and Sheriff, Facebook, Twitter, Uber would fall under an appropriate MHz channel issued, to the city and county of San Francisco by the F.C.C. Reference page 34-35!

## SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH

With multiple specific detailed request(s), San Francisco Department of Public Health repeatedly denied records existed:

- 1) Reference SFDPH pages 36-42 show records that should have been kept, maintained and supplied upon request(s), but not provided upon request(s).
- 2) Reference SFDPH pages 43-46 shows a list of medical conditions and appropriate reporting steps to Public Health – at least one of these was reported to San Francisco Public Health, but not provided upon request(s)
- 3) Reference SFDPH pages 47-55 - City and county of San Francisco donates to third parties such as the Felton Institute who engages in surveillance type work, i.e. suicide hotline, HIV hotline, eviction services which are all, a public health responsibility, but SFDPH is simply stating there is no oversight, or files. More on Felton later as it cross references with the Sheriff Department. SFDPH, FELTON AND THE SHERIFF using computer programs that simply voices in your head, that mimic your inner voice. If you notice the printout concerning Felton, someone printed 'survey' on each page, because that is what they typically call the program. Page 55 clearly shows SFDPH uses HIV Surveillance technology.
- 4) Gross denial of utilization of Surveillance Equipment to include Ehars, Epi-x, One System and other surveillance systems which is a MAIN function of Public Health. Section 308(d) of the Public Health Service Act allows for a citizen to legally request and receive a copy of all surveillance activities for normal reasons and other reasons to challenge legally, the scope, actions and results of any research, interventions, trace contacting, HIV surveillance.
- 5) Reference SFDPH pages 56-59 shows Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems in regard to SurveyMonkey. Also enclosed is the federal agency H.H.S. third party and applications Impact Assessment – thus admitting to the utilization of these apps in each level of health care are the county, state and federal government.

SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH SIMPLY DENIED ALL FILES, BUT STATED IN AN EMAIL, THAT HIV SURVEILLANCE IS PROTECTED AND CANNOT BE RELEASED. SIMPLY PUT, SFDPH IS USING ILLEGALLY TO VIOLATE MY RIGHTS, ATTEMPT TO FORCE ME TO MOVE, AND RESTRICT INCOME, GRANTS, REVENUE.

#### SAN FRANCISCO SHERIFFS'S DEPARTMENT

With multiple specific detailed request(s), San Francisco Sheriff's Department repeatedly denied records existed:

- 1) Reference pages 60-64 show probably cause that Sheriff has collected records of members of the public and its own staff, some resulting in civil court hearings
- 2) Reference page 65 – shows camera and surveillance system that sits on top of Public Library and Asian Art Museum which is used by the Sheriff (and others), to collect data, metadata on anyone that walks in the path and directly to the entrance of City Hall. This system collects your phone data, phone number and other data for the sole purposes of simply tracking individuals, gay people during Gay Pride, political dissidents who may protest in front of City Hall, or other large civic gatherings.
- 3) Reference pages 66-69, shows Public Records Request December 13, 2022, with normal response of December 22, 2022 expected but their own Legal Department asked for an extension until and their own deadline of January 6, 2023. Here we are as of March 11, 2023, the request is still not completed – because they are in a legal jambo because records do exist.
- 4) Part of my job as an investigator, I interacted with people on Probation, Parole or individuals who were sent to jail and/or prison. These interviews were recorded by the Sheriff Department but not released upon a valid specific request(s) i.e. San Francisco resident in 2001, was using crack, pulled a fire alarm and I contacted 911, and was later testified at his parole hearing at San Quinton but the Sheriff states no records exist.
- 5) Reference pages 70-73 which shows an Internal Affairs report with supporting evidence sent certified mail, but this was not included with my requests nor was it investigated.



- 6) Reference pages 74-83 is budget that not only states that surveillance exist, but they contract the surveillance out to a third party....\$1,128,000 for a company that is for-profit – it is their goal to place people on surveillance to make more money. The Sheriff has a budget for 2 civilians, 25.5 sworn officers just for surveillance and two sworn officers for the Felton Institute page 79 for eviction services. Simply put, the Sheriff (and others) are using Felton Institute programs to place on people on surveillance that they want to move, discredit, political dissident, etc.
- 7) Reference pages 84-87 copies for the DA and Sheriff of Surveillance Technology in usage; clearly, there would be a few pages of files on me, but none exist.

SAN FRANCISCO SHERIFF DEPARTMENT SIMPLY DENIED ALL FILES, EVEN THOUGH THEIR CORE ROLE IS TO CONDUCT SURVEILLANCE ON INMATES, PAROLE AND PROBATION; HOWEVER, THEY USE ON MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC SUCH AS POLITICAL DISSIDENTS. SIMPLY PUT, SF SHERIFF IS USING ILLEGALLY TO VIOLATE MY RIGHTS, ATTEMPT TO FORCE ME TO MOVE, AND RESTRICT INCOME, GRANTS, REVENUE.

#### SAN FRANCISCO POLICE DEPARTMENT

- 1) Reference pages 88-91 SFPD Must End Irresponsible Relationship with the Northern California Fusion Center – otherwise put, they are not reporting correctly, this is why they claim no files or data exist.
- 2) Reference pages 92-94 Bay Area Police Departments (Include San Francisco) using Stingray technology – again, if you are a political dissident, SFPD would use this on technology, but simply state it doesn't exist. This technology gives you real time GPS, GPRS, and with other technology, they could interfere with social gatherings, alter outcomes with softball, billiards, get someone agitated, cause an accident – REMEMBER, MOMENTIVE ACCEPTABLE USAGES AND USER RESPONSIBILITY THAT INCORRRECT USAGES COULD CAUSE MENTAL HARM, DEATH, PHYSICAL INJURY, ETC.



- 3) Reference pages 95-108 multiple emails, police reports that SFPD simply state that they did not have any records. At least SFDPH admitted that they had emails sent by me.

#### BITFOCUS DESIGNER OF ONE SYSTEM

- 1) Reference pages 109-117 – shows various federal partners that utilize data supplied to them from cities such as San Francisco. These include H.H.S., Veterans Affairs and HUD. Also included would be SAMHSA which falls under H.H.S. which has an office on 90<sup>th</sup> 7<sup>th</sup> Street, San Francisco. Bitfocus recommendations for agencies to be highly involved with their technology include Emergency Services, Law Enforcement, Public Works, Parks and Recreation but not one file was given to Chris Ward Kline from several agencies listed in this complaint.

#### SURVEILLANCE TECHNOLOGY INVENTORY SAN FRANCISCO

- 1) Reference pages 118-142 which shows that the city uses this technology discussed but claim there is no files, meta data or data on Chris Ward Kline. Kaiser in San Francisco for a period of 6 years have over 600 pages of files but SF doesn't have one page.

#### DEPARTMENT OF EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT

- 1) Reference pages 143-144 – page 143 shows Interoperable Communications – this is where all the files exist in the county, but DEM says no files exist. Page 143 shows breakdown of Public Health, and they oversee Jail Services which links Public Health to the Sheriff. The sheriff also does security and operations control at the DEM, Public Health, Library, and several medical facilities. So these three combined say that the files do not exist, but with this presentation and the technology states, clearly shows that files do exist.
- 2) Reference page 145 – a release statement from the Department of Emergency Management concerning ACS Radio Nets – which are used for Emergency Communications during disasters. Any member of the

Northern California Amateur Radio Group or anyone with a little experience can tell you everything we just discussed in this presentation.

- 3) Reference pages 146-164 shows a LinkedIn post concerning this technology followed by emails to local leaders in San Francisco. I also presented this at several meetings to include the San Francisco Tenderloin Station public meeting, which was recorded. Notice HSH 9/2/2022 information concerning agencies using the technology and the one dated 9/13/2022 which DEM and several other agencies asked HSH to remove them from the website, only due to public outcry and complaints, not because they were not using it as suggested.

#### DATA SHARING AND PRIVACY

- 1) Reference page 165

In closing, Oakland Privacy Advocacy Director Tracy Rosenberg commented 'the use of any surveillance technology with impunity is a recipe for disaster'.

Your office, Sunshine Ordinance Task Force in one of these checks and balances and I ask for a favorable ruling asking for a full release and disclosure from all parties and agencies named.

Respectfully,

Handwritten signature of Chris Ward Kline and the date 3/13/2023.

Chris Ward Kline

## Results of the investigation

---

On August 17, 1975 Senator Frank Church appeared on NBC's *Meet the Press*, and discussed the NSA, without mentioning it by name:

In the need to develop a capacity to know what potential enemies are doing, the United States government has perfected a technological capability that enables us to monitor the messages that go through the air. (...) Now, that is necessary and important to the United States as we look abroad at enemies or potential enemies. We must know, at the same time, that capability at any time could be turned around on the American people, and no American would have any privacy left: such is the capability to monitor everything—telephone conversations, telegrams, it doesn't matter. There would be no place to hide.

If this government ever became a tyranny, if a dictator ever took charge in this country, the technological capacity that the intelligence community has given the government could enable it to impose total tyranny, and there would be no way to fight back because the most careful effort to combine together in resistance to the government, no matter how privately it was done, is within the reach of the government to know. Such is the capability of this technology. (...)


I don't want to see this country ever go across the bridge. I know the capacity that is there to make tyranny total in America, and we must see to it that this agency and all agencies that possess this technology operate within the law and under proper supervision so that we never cross over that abyss. That is the abyss from which there is no return.<sup>[25][26]</sup>

# Now Hear This

**Christopher Helman** Forbes Staff

Follow

Sep 15, 2003, 12:00am EDT

 This article is more than 10 years old.


A new ultrasound system can zap a laserlike channel of sound over hundreds of feet to just one pair of ears in a crowd--a perfect pitch for marketers.

Cookie Preferences

2

# How HSS Works

**Hypersonic Sound  
is an aural laser.  
By blending  
ultrasonic waves,  
it yields a  
pinpointed audible  
tone. A woman at  
the front of a line  
hears a message  
no one else can.**



Cookie Preferences



HSS units for testing at Wal-Mart and McDonald's. Sony has signed up to distribute the units in Europe. Gateway is considering including the technology in its line of televisions. And General Dynamics is installing them in the public address systems of U.S. Navy ships.

Woody's biggest win--until now, top secret--is the coming deployment of HSS in front of as many as 100 million supermarket shoppers a week. Disney and other big media companies plan to launch the ABC In-Store Network next year. The venture's budget calls for some 5,600 high-end supermarkets across 13 chains to spend \$250 million installing 40,000 plasma TV screens integrated with HSS emitters. The satellite-linked network will show news, previews of ABC shows and Disney movies, and, of course, advertising. Inaudible to anyone not standing in the checkout line, it wouldn't drive cashiers batty or interfere with other store announcements.

Marketers have always dreamed of whispering into the ears of individual shoppers as they mill around the aisles and inch along



checkout lanes. But speaker systems to date have annoyed everyone, employees especially, with their constant, ubiquitous noise pollution. Home uses abound, too. Imagine watching ESPN in bed at 1 a.m. without waking the wife.

Conventional loudspeakers spew a shotgun spray of sound waves in all directions. HSS, by contrast, shoots tightly focused waves of ultrasound (meaning it exceeds the frequency range of human hearing). When its various waves interact with each other, audible sounds emerge. An HSS emitter can transmit a message to a single person standing in a crowd 200 feet away, without anyone on either side being able to hear it. Motion-sensitive HSS emitters can flog Doritos on one end of the snack aisle and hawk Cracker Jack on the other. "HSS will eclipse anything I've invented so far," says Norris.

Tanned, 64 years old and gregarious, the self-taught engineer has made a fortune in four decades of inventing electronic gadgets outside the corporate tent. In 1967 he delivered a "transcutaneous Doppler," a forerunner of sonography. Since then Norris has devised a 20-hour cassette tape, the first palm-size digital voice recorder and an in-the-ear speaker that uses the bones of the skull to transmit sound. Norris sold the rights to that last device for \$5 million a decade ago. GN Netcom now sells \$40 million of them a year under the Jabra name for hands-free phone use.

His inspiration for HSS came in the late 1970s, when he found himself contemplating the characteristics of mixing. "I invent by analogy," he says. "I thought, 'It's commonplace that you can mix colors, smear them together to get new emerging colors. Likewise you can mix radio waves to get new frequencies.' So, I wondered, 'Why can't you mix sound to get new sounds?'"

Norris started on HSS in earnest in 1996. He puzzled out (and only later learned that 19th-century German physicist Hermann Helmholtz had gotten there before him) that when two tones are played simultaneously and loudly enough, they interact to create two new tones. One carries a frequency that is the sum of the two starting frequencies; the other is their difference. So if you blast inaudible ultrasonic frequencies of 100 kilohertz and 101 kilohertz, you get an inaudible 201 kilohertz overtone plus an undertone of 1 kilohertz that is well inside the range of hearing. And since ultrasound travels in a tight line akin to laser light, the emergent tones are audible only in a narrow, cylindrical path from source to ear.

It took seven years and \$45 million in venture capital and personal funds for Norris to solve the myriad distortion problems and figure out how to turn music or soda pop fizzing into ultrasound and back to music (or fizzing) again. Norris also figured out how to achieve his sound effect using only a dinner-plate-thick transducer instead of bulky speaker cones. HSS' transducer is a 28-micron-thick plastic film that flutters when exposed to an electric field (because it is "piezoelectric"). Alternate the charges fast enough and the minute fluttering of the film causes tiny dimples on its surface to push the air at ultrasound frequencies.

Norris and his engineers have tested and patented every method they could think of to make it work. So far American Technology has 17 HSS patents and 24 more pending. The promise of HSS has inspired investors to bid up ATC stock 100% to \$6 this year, giving the company a market cap of \$90 million. This, despite a first-quarter loss of \$1.4 million on \$314,000 in revenue, mostly from military contracts. Yet the stock could still be cheap. Norris, who owns a quarter of the company (and says he won't sell a share until the stock hits \$20), sees an annual sales rate of \$20 million in the

next 12 months. To do that ATC would need to deliver something like 40,000 units at \$500 each.

Norris and Chief Executive James Irish have drummed up hundreds of business leads by crisscrossing the country, shooting cacophonous jungle sounds and sweet symphonies to suits in the boardrooms or out windows into the startled ears of unsuspecting pedestrians. Sales efforts are aided by marketers such as Harbor Digital Services, which makes high-end signage and has introduced HSS to clients like McDonald's and IBM and is working on the supermarket deal with ABC. On seeing a handheld HSS emitter at work, marketers go into "immediate brainstorming mode," says Harbor's Jeffrey Dahlstrom. "The ABC guys carry it around everywhere they go."

Iport Media, which is incorporating HSS into displays for eyeglass stores, expects designers like Calvin Klein will gladly pay \$500 to \$1,000 to install motion-activated HSS for their racks, says Chief Executive Edward Weiner. The sales pitch would never cross the clerk's ears. "The idea is to get them to buy what you want by showing them the difference," says Weiner, who aims to place thousands of systems in two years.

Paul Drouin of IKS Media, who installs sound systems for McDonald's, has persuaded operators in Florida, Oklahoma and Texas to test HSS this summer. In time emitters built into soda fountains could help drive sales in the Golden Arches with a message like, "You know what goes great with Coke? McDonald's french fries."

HSS also is being integrated into consumer electronics, with Gateway exploring the idea of adding HSS to its plasma TVs to create surround-sound effects without the need for back-of-the-room speakers. DaimlerChrysler is considering putting it in cars so

that riders in the front and back seats can enjoy separate stereo systems. A buyer from Wal-Mart called Norris in July asking whether ATC could develop an HSS speaker to sit on top of a TV and direct sound to one viewer but not another. Norris expects it to hit shelves in a year for around \$200 a pop.

General Dynamics is installing HSS gear on Navy ships, including one over the captain's chair on the bridge of the U.S.S. *Winston S. Churchill*. The speaker cuts through the din to beam messages to the captain's ears only. Even cooler, but scarier, is HSS' amped-up cousin, the Long Range Acoustic Device. A ship seeking to warn off a suspicious dinghy can use the device to loudly hail the vessel from 500 yards away. If it gets closer, sailors can target it with 120 earsplitting decibels of siren sounds that cause spontaneous diarrhea, long-lasting migraines or nausea. Says Norris: "It's cleaner than the 50-caliber solution."

**Christopher Helman**[Follow](#)

Tracking energy innovators from Houston, Texas. Forbes reporter since 1999.

[Editorial Standards](#)[Reprints & Permissions](#)

ADVERTISEMENT

[Cookie Preferences](#)



PRIVACY TRADEOFF | 2. 4. 19 by DAN ROBITZSKI

## San Francisco Built a System to Track Homeless People

The system can coordinate help for the city's homeless, but requires a lot of personal data.

434

/ Future Society / Data / Homeless / Privacy

9

## **BIG BROTHER**

San Francisco, unsurprisingly, has decided that the solution to the city's homelessness problem is to gather and analyze personal data.

Specifically, a program called ONE System is now gathering data about the approximately 7,500 homeless people in San Francisco so that the city can better coordinate its efforts to get people off the street. It's a program with noble aspirations, but some difficult-to-justify means — homeless participants will need to trade their private, personal data for the chance of receiving aid from the government, according to Bloomberg.



Since August, ONE System has helped around 70 homeless people get off the street, *Bloomberg* reports, and its creators hope it will halve the homeless population by 2022. To do so, the system, which Nevada-based tech startup BitFocus, Inc. developed for the city, collects data from 15 government agencies and also asks homeless participants to fill out a survey that asks about their daily routines, medical history, and other personal information that could be used to help them get appropriate help.

But the new system doesn't exactly erase the hurdles that homeless people already face — to get permanent housing in one of San Francisco's low-cost adult-supportive housing programs, homeless people must pass a background check that takes 45 days to complete and also often need to present a government-issued ID. On top of those systematic hurdles already facing homeless people, government employees will need to convince homeless people to participate in the program, even though some may have debilitating mental illnesses or be skeptical that the system's data won't make its way into the hands of the police.

## UNSUBSCRIBE

So far, the data collected through ONE System has stayed out of law enforcement's hands and no one has tried to buy it, Chris Block, the director of coordinated entry for Episcopal Community Services of San Francisco, an organization contributing to the program, told *Bloomberg*. But there aren't any laws on the books that specifically protect the data the system collects or prevent it from being abused.

"There's a lot of privacy concerns," he said. "So far those issues haven't been significant, but that doesn't mean they won't come up in the future."

# San Diego County CoC Homeless Management Information System (HMIS)

## Agency Participation Agreement

**Postings:** Privacy and Mandatory Collection notices (Appendices D and E) must be posted at the Agency.

- A. The Agency must post Privacy and Mandatory Collection notices at each intake desk or comparable location.
- B. Privacy and Mandatory Collection notices must be made available in writing at the client's request.
- C. If the Agency maintains a website, a link to the privacy notice must be accessible from the Agency's website.

### IV. Data Use

Data contained in the HMIS will only be used to support the delivery of homeless services in the San Diego region. Each User will affirm the principles of ethical data use and client confidentiality as noted and contained in the HMIS User Agreement.

1. The Agency will not solicit or input information from clients unless it is essential to provide services, or conduct program evaluation.
2. The Agency understands that all client data will be maintained on a central server, which will contain all client information in an encrypted state. All client identifiable data is inaccessible to unauthorized users.
3. The Agency shall use the system to enter and corroborate services but not for location services for past due billing.
4. The Agency shall not be denied access to client data entered by the Agency. Agencies are bound by all restrictions placed upon the data by the client of any Participating Agency. The Agency shall diligently record in the HMIS all restrictions requested.
5. The Agency shall not knowingly enter false or misleading data under any circumstances.
6. The Agency shall maintain appropriate documentation of client consent to participate in the HMIS.
7. If a client withdraws consent for release of information, the Agency remains responsible to ensure that the client's information is unavailable to all other Agencies.
8. The Agency shall keep signed copies of the Multiparty Authorization form for a period of seven (7) years.

### V. Responsibilities

The Agency is responsible for ensuring that its staff does not intentionally or unintentionally misuse the HMIS. Such misuses include and are not limited to: damage of computing resources, obtaining unauthorized resources, taking resources from another user, gaining unauthorized access to resources, or otherwise using or computing resources without proper authorization.

1. The Agency will maintain an environment free of illegal or malicious acts and the Agency's users agree to never use the system to perform an illegal or malicious act. Any attempt to increase the level of access to which the User is authorized or any attempt to deprive other authorized Users of resources or access to HMIS shall be regarded as a violation of this Agreement that will be addressed as set forth in the HMIS Policies and Procedures.
2. Any User who finds a possible security lapse on the system is obligated to report it to the HMIS System Administrator immediately.
3. The HMIS software application was paid for with U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) grant funds. The maintenance, upgrades and license purchases are limited by the sanctions of the HUD grant.

### VI. System Usage

Computer Equipment and Services are intended for HMIS-related activities. Acceptable computer system use includes data intake, reports, research of Client, Client development, and public service purposes. Prohibited Usage includes, but is not limited to, the following activities: the sending of fraudulent, threatening, harassing, or obscene messages and/or materials; inappropriate mass mailing (spamming, flooding, bombing); creation or intentional distribution of computer viruses, worms, or Trojan horses; unauthorized access to or denial of service; attempted attacks on any computer system.. Abusers are subject to sanctions as outlined in the HMIS Policies and Procedures.

### VII. Rights

The HMIS Lead Agency reserves all rights, including access audit, termination of agreements, of the HMIS application and the service resources that it owns and/or operates on behalf of the Continuum of Care. These procedures shall not be construed as a waiver of any rights of the HMIS Lead Agency or the Participating Agency, nor shall they conflict with applicable acts of law.

### VIII. Privileges

The HMIS services and or equipment are a privilege and are assigned and managed by the HMIS Lead or designee(s). The Agency is responsible for proper use of the system as outlined in Section VI.

## San Diego County CoC Homeless Management Information System (HMIS)

### Agency Participation Agreement

#### IX. Confidentiality

Although technological and procedural securities have been reasonably exhausted by the HMIS Lead to ensure client data confidentiality, this HMIS is being used by a multitude of end users and is therefore subject to the diligence to which the Participating Agencies' staff protects client records.

The protections that the HMIS put in place to protect client confidentiality include compliance with HUD Data and Technical Standards Final Notice, institution of a mandated HMIS training program for all HMIS users, consistent application of Policies and Procedures, and signed Agency End-User Agreements.

#### X. Copyright

The HMIS is protected by copyright and is not to be copied, except as permitted by law or by contract with owner of the copyright. The number of copies and distribution of copies are to be managed by the HMIS Lead. Interference with measures used by copyright holders to protect copyrighted works is prohibited.

Agency users storing materials copyrighted by others on the systems or displaying the materials through web pages must comply with copyright laws and guidelines.

#### XI. Violations

An individual violating any of the guidelines outlined in this agreement will be reported immediately upon discovery. Such suspected violations will be confidentially reported to the HMIS Lead and or the designee of that agency in accordance with the HMIS Policies and Procedures.

#### Agreement

I have read this HMIS Agency Participation Agreement and thoroughly understand that this technology is for HMIS purposes only and is to be used in accordance with the HMIS Policies and Procedures.

This Agreement is executed between the Participating Agency listed below and the Regional Task Force on Homelessness (RTFH) acting as the San Diego County HMIS Lead Agency and upon execution the Participating Agency will be given access to the HMIS. The Executive Director or Authorized Designees for each Agency will sign this agreement.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Participating Agency (Print)

\_\_\_\_\_  
HMIS Lead Agency (Print)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature - Authorized Representative

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature - Authorized Representative

\_\_\_\_\_  
Name (Print)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Name (Print)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

## Acceptable Uses Policy

LAST UPDATED: October 10, 2022

### Legal Compliance

You must use the Services in compliance with, and only as permitted by, applicable law. The use of our Services in conjunction with other tools or resources in furtherance of any of the unacceptable uses described herein is also prohibited. In the event of any violation of this Acceptable Uses Policy, Momentive may, in its sole discretion, do one or more of the following:

- suspend (temporarily or permanently) or terminate your use of the Services;
- block your access to the Services;
- remove or delete content from your account(s); or
- communicate such violation to impacted third parties and/or law enforcement authorities (where appropriate and subject to applicable law).

### Your Responsibilities

You are responsible for your Content, Customer Data, conduct, and communications with others while using the Services. You must comply with the following requirements when using the Services. If we become aware of Content or Customer Data that falls outside the bounds of what is acceptable under this policy, we may remove it and report it. We also take steps to prevent uses of our Services that are contrary to the spirit of this policy.

(a) You may not use the Services to commit an unlawful activity; use the Services for activities where use or failure of the Services could lead to physical damage, death, mental harm, or personal injury.



(b) You may not provide any individual under the age of 16 (or under a higher age as provided in certain countries or territories) with access to the Services.

(c) You may not purchase, use, or access the Services for the purpose of building a competitive product or service or for any other competitive purposes.

(d) You may not misuse our Services by interfering with their normal operation or attempting to access them using a method other than through the interfaces and instructions that we provide.

(e) You may not circumvent or attempt to circumvent any limitations that Momentive imposes on your use of our Services or your account(s) (such as by opening up a new account to create or distribute a survey, form, application, or questionnaire that we have closed for a violation of our terms or policies).

(f) Unless authorized by Momentive in writing, you may not probe, scan, or test the vulnerability or security of the Services or any Momentive system or network.

(g) Unless authorized by Momentive in writing, you may not use any automated system or software to extract or scrape data from the websites or other interfaces through which we make our Services available.

(h) You may not deny others access to, or reverse engineer, the Services, or assist anyone else to do so, to the extent such restriction is permitted by law.

~~(i) You may not store or transmit any viruses, malware, or other types of malicious software, or links to such software, through the Services.~~

~~(j) You may not use the Services to infringe the intellectual property rights of others.~~

~~(k) Unless authorized by Momentive in writing, you may not resell or lease the Services.~~

(l) If your use of the Services requires you to comply with industry-specific regulations applicable to such use, you will be solely responsible for such compliance, unless Momentive has agreed with you in writing otherwise. You may not use the Services in a way that would subject Momentive to those industry-specific regulations without obtaining Momentive's prior written agreement. For example, you may not use the Services to collect, protect, or otherwise handle "protected health information" (as defined in 45 C.F.R.

\$160.103 under United States federal regulations) without entering into a separate business associate agreement with Momentive that permits you to do so.

(m) We may offer content like images or video that are provided by third parties. You may use that material solely in your Content or Customer Data. Momentive may modify or revoke that permission at any time in our sole discretion. In using such material, you may not imply that your Content, Customer Data, or use of the Services are affiliated with or run or endorsed by any company, product, brand or service depicted in that material unless you have obtained their permission.

(n) You may not engage in abusive or excessive usage of the Services, which is usage significantly in excess of average usage patterns of reasonable users similar to you, including any usage that adversely affects the speed, responsiveness, stability, availability, or functionality of the Services for other users. Momentive will endeavor to notify you of any abusive or excessive usage to provide you with an opportunity to reduce such usage to a level acceptable to Momentive which no longer causes such adverse effects.

(o) You must use a valid email address to register an account. You may not register accounts using a disposable or temporary email address, "bots," or other unauthorized automated methods.

(p) You may not host or transmit content that is or contains false, inaccurate, or intentionally deceptive information that is likely to cause harm to the public or adversely affect the public interest (including health, safety, election integrity, and civic participation).

(q) If Momentive determines, in its sole discretion, that your usage of the Services causes damage to our brand or reputation, or results in an excessive volume of complaints, Momentive, has the right to suspend or terminate your use of our websites and the Services.

## Phishing and Security

We strive to protect the security of all our users. We take specific measures to ensure respondents are not misled by surveys/forms/applications/questionnaires used for fraudulent or malicious purposes. We will suspend any use of the Services or remove any Content or Customer Data which comes to our attention that:



- attempts to collect, as determined in our sole discretion, social security numbers or similar government-issued identification numbers, credit card numbers (other than solely for collecting payment through an authorized payment processor as permitted by the Services), passwords, or other similar types of sensitive information;
- publishes a person's identifying information without their consent;
- is intended to deceive or mislead respondents, including by linking to websites with malicious software such as malware;
- promotes or recruits for pyramid schemes, multi-level marketing campaigns, or work-from-home and other false earning scams;
- knowingly and artificially boosts or inflates a website or webpage's search engine ranking or reputation, including by offering or selling social media "likes", followers, reviews, comments, or similar services; or
- hosts content that is downloadable, live-streamed, or merely intended to solicit clicks to other sites.

## Privacy and Impersonation

Users provide responses and information with the expectation that their information will be handled respectfully and not abused. Accordingly, you are responsible for complying with all applicable data protection laws and regulations with respect to any data that you submit to or collect through our Services.

- We encourage you to disclose your privacy practices when you use the Services and, if you do, we require you to act in accordance with those practices.
- You may not claim that a survey/form/application/questionnaire or other use of our Services is anonymous when it is not.
- You may not impersonate others when using the Services or collecting information.

## Email and Text Message Requirements

Momentive has a zero-tolerance spam policy. This means the following:

- Emails or text messages you send via the Services must include a valid survey/form/application/questionnaire for the purpose of collecting responses

(excluding certain automated messages such as "thank you" emails, as applicable).

- Emails you send via the Services must have a valid reply-to email address owned or managed by you. You may not use a "no reply" address as the sender email address.
- Text messages you send via the Services should include your company name.
- You can only use the Services to send emails and text messages to people that have opted in to, or otherwise validly consented to, receiving communications from you, the sender through that medium. If you don't have proof that each recipient opted in for your emails or text messages (as applicable), don't import them into any lists in the Services. We prohibit the use of harvested mailing lists.
- We prohibit the use of third-party, purchased, or rented mailing lists unless you are able to provide proof that individuals on the list have opted-in to receiving emails of the type you will be sending them.
- You must not send unsolicited email to newsgroups, message boards, distribution lists, or email addresses.
- You must not utilize the Services to send any email or text messages in a way not permitted by or compliant with applicable law, carrier compliance requirements or industry standards, or to any recipient who has opted out, unsubscribed, or otherwise objected to receiving such messages from you or another party on whose behalf you may be commissioned.
- You must not use the Services to send emails with deceptive subject lines or false or misleading header information.
- Email messages sent in connection with our Services must contain an "unsubscribe" link that allows subscribers to remove themselves from your email messages. You acknowledge and agree that you will not hide, disable, or remove or attempt to hide, disable, or remove the opt-out link from the email invitation. You will actively manage and process unsubscribe requests received by you directly within ten days of submission and update your email lists and address books to reflect the unsubscribe requests.
- You are responsible for ensuring that the email messages or text messages you send in connection with your surveys/forms/applications/questionnaires or any use of our Services do not generate a number of spam complaints or bounce rates in excess of industry standards. If Momentive determines that your level of spam complaints or bounce rate is higher than industry standards,

Momentive, at its sole discretion, has the right to suspend or terminate your use of our Services and websites. If you receive low response rates, high abuse rates or high bounce rates, or we receive complaints associated with your use of our Services, we may request additional information regarding your mailing lists to investigate and attempt to resolve the problem, or in some cases we may suspend or remove your email privileges.

- Text message invitations you send via our Services must have a valid reply "Stop".
- You may not use Momentive's text messaging services to access or allow access to emergency services.

If we believe you are violating any of these prohibitions, we may suspend (temporarily or permanently) or terminate your account(s).

## Violence and Hate Speech

We remove Content or Customer Data and may report information related to that Content or Customer Data to law enforcement authorities if we become aware of, or believe that, a genuine risk of harm or threat to public safety exists.

- Our Services may not be used to directly or indirectly threaten or attack others, or to organize or incite violence, harassment, terrorism, or property damage.
- Our Services may not be used for hate speech, or to promote or fund such acts. Examples of hate speech include attacking or advocating for the abuse or exclusion of people based on their ethnicity, national origin, political or religious affiliations, gender, age, sexual orientation, genetic predisposition, disability, medical or physical condition, veteran status, or any other protected classes under applicable law.
- Our Services may not be used to promote, incite, or glorify self-harm or acts of terrorism.

## Bullying, Harassment, and Criminal Activity

You may not use our Services to bully or harass others, in furtherance of any criminal activity, or in violation of any applicable law.

## Pornography and Offensive Graphic Material

We acknowledge nudity, pornography and gore may have a legitimate place in certain uses of our Services. However, we discourage the gratuitous inclusion of such materials.

- You may not include gratuitous graphic violent material or pornography in connection with the use of our Services.
- We recommend adding a conspicuous warning screen before displaying any material which may be offensive in nature.
- You may not under any circumstances share, transmit, upload, or store materials that constitute any of the following: unlawful pornography; material that shows a child engaging in or depicted as engaging in sexually explicit activity, for example, child sexually exploitative material (including material which may not be illegal child sexual abuse material but which nonetheless sexually exploits or promotes the sexual exploitation of minors); or materials that are otherwise indecent.

## Intellectual Property Infringement

Please respect the intellectual property rights of others. You must have the appropriate rights to use any Content or Customer Data. It is your responsibility to determine what rights you need, to obtain and maintain those rights, and to understand how you are permitted to exercise those rights. You may include Content, including any third-party logos, brands and other indicia of source, (a) to the extent permitted as a fair use or otherwise under applicable law, and (b) so long as the use does not (i) cause confusion as to the origin or sponsorship of a good or service, (ii) imply sponsorship or endorsement by the trademark owner where none exists, or (iii) inaccurately describe a product or service. We recommend that you seek independent legal counsel if you have questions about your use of content or intellectual property owned by a third party.

## How to Report Policy Violations

20



If you suspect that someone's use of our Services is in violation of this policy, please contact us immediately by filing an abuse report (<https://smforms.wufoo.com/forms/r1vlfk520jsvo8o/>) and we will investigate the matter. Please include the URL of the survey/form/application/questionnaire or other content at issue.

#### **Community:** (<https://www.facebook.com/surveymonkey/>)

Developers ([https://developer.surveymonkey.com/?utm\\_source=legal&utm\\_source2=acceptable-uses-policy](https://developer.surveymonkey.com/?utm_source=legal&utm_source2=acceptable-uses-policy)) • Facebook (<https://www.facebook.com/surveymonkey/>) • Twitter (<https://twitter.com/SurveyMonkey>) • LinkedIn (<https://www.linkedin.com/company/surveymonkey/>) • Our Blog (<https://www.surveymonkey.com/curiosity/>) • Instagram (<https://www.instagram.com/surveymonkey/>) • Youtube (<https://www.youtube.com/surveymonkey>)

#### **About Us:** ([https://www.momentive.ai/en/about/?utm\\_source=surveymonkeyfooter](https://www.momentive.ai/en/about/?utm_source=surveymonkeyfooter))

Leadership Team ([https://www.momentive.ai/en/about/leadership/?utm\\_source=surveymonkeyfooter](https://www.momentive.ai/en/about/leadership/?utm_source=surveymonkeyfooter)) • Board of Directors ([https://www.momentive.ai/en/about/directors/?utm\\_source=surveymonkeyfooter](https://www.momentive.ai/en/about/directors/?utm_source=surveymonkeyfooter)) • Investor Relations ([https://investor.momentive.ai/home/default.aspx?utm\\_source=surveymonkeyfooter](https://investor.momentive.ai/home/default.aspx?utm_source=surveymonkeyfooter)) • App Directory ([https://www.surveymonkey.com/apps/?utm\\_source=legal&utm\\_source2=acceptable-uses-policy](https://www.surveymonkey.com/apps/?utm_source=legal&utm_source2=acceptable-uses-policy)) • Newsroom (<https://www.momentive.ai/en/newsroom/>) • Office Locations (<https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/aboutus/office-locations/>) • Imprint (<https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/imprint/>) • Careers (<https://www.momentive.ai/en/careers/>) • Sitemap (<https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/sitemap/>) • Help (<https://help.surveymonkey.com/en/>) • Log In (<https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/sign-in/>) • Sign Up (<https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/sign-up/>)

#### **Policies:** (<https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/legal/>)

Terms of Use (<https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/legal/terms-of-use/>) • Privacy Notice (<https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/legal/privacy/>) • California Privacy Notice (<https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/legal/region-specific-privacy-statement/>) • Acceptable Uses Policy (<https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/legal/acceptable-uses-policy/>) • Security Statement (<https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/legal/security/>) • GDPR Compliance (<https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/privacy/>) • Email Opt-In ([https://www.surveymonkey.com/user/email-opt-in/?utm\\_source=legal&utm\\_source2=acceptable-uses-policy](https://www.surveymonkey.com/user/email-opt-in/?utm_source=legal&utm_source2=acceptable-uses-policy)) • Accessibility (<https://help.surveymonkey.com/en/create/accessibility/>) • Cookies Notice (<https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/legal/cookies/>)

[Margin of Error Calculator \(https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/margin-of-error-calculator/\)](https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/margin-of-error-calculator/) •  
[Questionnaire \(https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/online-questionnaires/\)](https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/online-questionnaires/) •  
[Demographic Questions \(https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/gathering-demographic-information-from-surveys/\)](https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/gathering-demographic-information-from-surveys/) •  
[Training Survey \(https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/training-survey/\)](https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/training-survey/) •  
[Offline Survey \(https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/offline-surveys/\)](https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/offline-surveys/) •  
[360 Review Template \(https://www.surveymonkey.com/templates/360-degree-employee-evaluation-survey-template/\)](https://www.surveymonkey.com/templates/360-degree-employee-evaluation-survey-template/)

---

English

SurveyMonkey is brought to you by momentive.ai ([https://www.momentive.ai/en/?utm\\_source=surveymonkeyfooter](https://www.momentive.ai/en/?utm_source=surveymonkeyfooter)). Shape what's next with AI-driven insights and experience management solutions built for the pace of modern business.

Copyright © 1999-2023 Momentive



ACCREDITED  
BUSINESS

([https://www.bbb.org/us/ca/san-](https://www.bbb.org/us/ca/san-mateo/profile/market-survey/momentive-global-inc-1116-876628)

[mateo/profile/market-survey/momentive-global-inc-1116-876628](https://www.bbb.org/us/ca/san-mateo/profile/market-survey/momentive-global-inc-1116-876628))



CERTIFIED SECURE

([https://www.trustedsite.com/verify?](https://www.trustedsite.com/verify?host=www.surveymonkey.com)  
[host=www.surveymonkey.com](https://www.trustedsite.com/verify?host=www.surveymonkey.com))

23



**Use Cases:** ([https://www.surveymonkey.com/?ut\\_source=legal&ut\\_source2=acceptable-uses-policy](https://www.surveymonkey.com/?ut_source=legal&ut_source2=acceptable-uses-policy))

Online Polls (<https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/online-polls/>) •

Facebook Surveys (<https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/facebook/>) •

Survey Template (<https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/survey-templates/>) •

Scheduling Polls (<https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/online-schedule-poll/>) •

Google Forms vs. SurveyMonkey (<https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/surveymonkey-better-than-google-forms/>) •

Employee Satisfaction Surveys (<https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/employee-satisfaction-surveys/>) •

Free Survey Templates (<https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/free-survey-templates/>) •

Mobile Surveys (<https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/mobile-surveys/>) •

How to Improve Customer Service (<https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/6-keys-improving-teams-customer-service-skills/>) •

AB Test Significance Calculator (<https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/ab-testing-significance-calculator/>) •

NPS Calculator (<https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/nps-calculator/>) •

Questionnaire Templates (<https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/sample-survey-questionnaire-templates/>) •

Event Survey (<https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/post-event-survey-questions/>)

Sample Size Calculator (<https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/sample-size-calculator/>) •

Writing Good Surveys (<https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/writing-survey-questions/>) •

Likert Scale (<https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/likert-scale/>) •

Survey Analysis (<https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/how-to-analyze-survey-data/>) •

360 Degree Feedback (<https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/360-employee-feedback-survey-example/>) •

Education Surveys (<https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/education-surveys/>) •

Survey Questions (<https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/survey-question-types/>) •

NPS Calculation (<https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/net-promoter-score-calculation/>) •

Customer Satisfaction Survey Questions (<https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/customer-satisfaction-survey-questions/>) •

Agree Disagree Questions (<https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/2-tips-for-writing-agree-disagree-survey-questions/>) •

Create a Survey (<https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/how-to-create-surveys/>)

Online Quizzes (<https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/quiz/>) •

Qualitative vs Quantitative Research (<https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/quantitative-vs-qualitative-research/>) •

Customer Survey (<https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/customer-satisfaction-surveys/>) •

Market Research Surveys (<https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/market-research-surveys/>) •

NPS Survey (<https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/net-promoter-score/>) •

Survey Design Best Practices (<https://www.surveymonkey.com/mp/survey-guidelines/>) •

# Woody Norris

## HyperSonic Sound

COMPUTING AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS

Elwood "Woody" Norris proves that there is no magic formula for becoming a successful inventor. Born in October 23, 1939 in Barre, Vermont, his mother and father had eighth-grade and third-grade educations, respectively, and Norris's formal training stopped with high school. Nonetheless, his aptitude for electronics and entrepreneurship and his enthusiasm for creating new technologies has taken him to the top of his game. He has garnered over 100 patents and has created a variety of successful inventions to his credit in fields as diverse as sound technology, transportation, and medicine.

Norris learned about electronics by tinkering with radios in his youth and discovered a natural ability to understand how circuitry worked. He joined the Air Force, where he received some training in radar and electronics while working on triggers for bombs. He took a job at a nearby television station as a cameraman to supplement his military income and thought that he might pursue acting after his military service was complete. As a high school student, he had enjoyed participating in school productions and possessed some talent as a performer.

Instead, when Norris left the Air Force as Airman Second Class, he took a job at the University of Washington fixing electronic equipment. Within two years, he was named director of the campus' Engineering Experiment Station. When a local company approached him, he took on the task of developing a sonar version of radar to listen to sounds inside the body. This "Doppler" tool emitted ultrasonic sound into the skin. Changes in the pitch of the sound waves that bounced back allowed physicians to



"hear" movement inside the patient. The tool, licensed to Medical Development Corporation (which was later acquired by American Hospitals), was helpful to the development of the Sonogram, and, as Norris received company stock for the device, it also helped him make enough money to set up his own company.

Norris later sold a phonographic tone arm he had been working on and evolved a specialization in audio-related devices. His subsequent inventions included headsets for mobile phones, a hearing-aid-sized FM radio, and automobile audio systems. He also created a child locator device for tracking kidnapped children, an alarm worn by a person to alert him that his artificial hip is starting to separate, and a design for a vehicle dubbed the AirScooter®, an easy-to-fly, lightweight, inexpensive aircraft that has the ability to hover in one spot while a pilot gets his bearings. Norris has worked with NASA engineers to develop a prototype of the AirScooter, which he dreams will one day be viable as a commuter vehicle.

~~Norris is perhaps best-known for his work on what is known as Hypersonic Sound technology (HSS). HSS, or directional sound, targets a listener with sound waves similar to the way a laser beam directs light, so that the individual who is targeted is the only one who can hear it.~~ As a result, different people in one room could feasibly listen to different music, watch totally different television shows or movies, or hear different advertising messages without the use of headphones.

In conjunction with American Technology Corp. (now Genasys Inc.), Norris worked on HSS and other audio technologies, including a compact sub-woofer and "sonic bullets," which emit intense beams of sound at targeted individuals who pose a threat to others, giving them painful migraines.

Norris has been honored with numerous awards for his work including Product of the Year awards from *Popular Science* and *Business Week*, and the 1997 Award for Technological Innovation in the sound category from *Discover Magazine*. He won the prestigious \$500,000 Lemelson-MIT Prize in 2005 for his HSS technology.

Norris founded and served as Director and President of Parametric Sound Corporation, now known as Turtle Beach Corporation, and also served as Chief Scientist at Turtle Beach. He also founded LRAD Corporation and was the Chairman of LRAD Corporation's Board of Directors until 2010.

Most recently, Norris has been working on the BolaWrap, a handheld, non-lethal restraining device, currently being used by hundreds of law enforcement agencies. The small device works like a lasso, designed to detain people without causing severe injury. Norris expects to offer a range of new product solutions to "help meet the challenges of modern policing."

Norris currently serves as Chief Technology Officer for Wrap Technologies Inc.

**DON'T MISS OUR NEXT NEWSLETTER!**

**SIGN UP**

222 Third Street, Suite 0300 Cambridge, MA 02142  
info-lemelson@mit.edu • 617-253-3352

26  
451

WIKIPEDIA

# Woody Norris

**Elwood Adrian "Woody" Norris** (June 18, 1919 – April 25, 2007) was an American professional basketball player.<sup>[1]</sup> He played for the Indianapolis Kautskys in the National Basketball League during the 1941–42 season, served in the United States Army Air Forces during World War II, then continued his basketball career with the Kautskys between 1945 and 1948.<sup>[1][2][3]</sup> In college he played basketball and football for Butler University.<sup>[2]</sup>

## References

- "Elwood Norris NBL stats" (<https://www.basketball-reference.com/nbl/players/n/norrie01n.html>). *basketball-reference.com*. Sports Reference LLC. Retrieved May 5, 2019.
- "Woody Norris" (<http://peachbasketsociety.blogspot.com/2015/12/woody-norris.html>). *Peach Basket Society*. December 9, 2015. Retrieved May 5, 2019.
- "Elwood Norris Statistics" ([https://www.justsportsstats.com/basketballstatsindex.php?player\\_id=norriwo01](https://www.justsportsstats.com/basketballstatsindex.php?player_id=norriwo01)). *Just Sports Stats*. Retrieved May 5, 2019.

### Woody Norris

#### Personal information

<b>Born</b>	June 18, 1919 <div>Washington, Indiana</div>
<b>Died</b>	April 25, 2007 <div>(aged 87)</div> <div>Bloomington, Indiana</div>
<b>Nationality</b>	American
<b>Listed height</b>	6 ft 1 in (1.85 m)
<b>Listed weight</b>	170 lb (77 kg)

#### Career information

<b>High school</b>	<u>Washington</u> (Washington, Indiana)
<b>College</b>	<u>Butler</u> (1938–1941)
<b>Position</b>	<u>Forward</u> / <u>Guard</u>

#### Career history

1941–1942,	<u>Indianapolis Kautskys</u>
1945–1948	

Retrieved from "[https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Woody\\_Norris&oldid=1081063478](https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Woody_Norris&oldid=1081063478)"

This page was last edited on 5 April 2022, at 03:03 (UTC).

Text is available under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike License 3.0; additional terms may apply. By using this site, you agree to the Terms of Use and Privacy Policy. Wikipedia® is a registered trademark of the Wikimedia Foundation, Inc., a non-profit organization.



## WIKIPEDIA

# Hermann von Helmholtz

**Hermann Ludwig Ferdinand von Helmholtz**<sup>[a]</sup> (31 August 1821 – 8 September 1894) was a German physicist and physician who made significant contributions in several scientific fields, particularly hydrodynamic stability.<sup>[5]</sup> The Helmholtz Association, the largest German association of research institutions, is named in his honor.<sup>[6]</sup>

In the fields of physiology and psychology, Helmholtz is known for his mathematics concerning the eye, theories of vision, ideas on the visual perception of space, color vision research, the sensation of tone, perceptions of sound, and empiricism in the physiology of perception. In physics, he is known for his theories on the conservation of energy, work in electrodynamics, chemical thermodynamics, and on a mechanical foundation of thermodynamics. As a philosopher, he is known for his philosophy of science, ideas on the relation between the laws of perception and the laws of nature, the science of aesthetics, and ideas on the civilizing power of science.

## Contents

### Biography

[Early years](#)

[University posts](#)

### Research

[Mechanics](#)

[Sensory physiology](#)

[Ophthalmic optics](#)

[Nerve physiology](#)

[Acoustics and aesthetics](#)

[Electromagnetism](#)

### Philosophy

### Quotations

### Students and associates

### Honours and legacy

### Works

## Hermann von Helmholtz



<b>Born</b>	Hermann Ludwig Ferdinand Helmholtz 31 August 1821 <a href="#">Potsdam, Province of Brandenburg, Prussia</a>
<b>Died</b>	8 September 1894 (aged 73) <a href="#">Charlottenburg, Province of Brandenburg, Kingdom of Prussia, German Empire</a>
<b>Education</b>	Medicinisch-chirurgisches Friedrich-Wilhelm-Institut (M.D., 1842)
<b>Known for</b>	<a href="#">Studies in the conservation of energy</a> <a href="#">Helmholtz coil</a> <a href="#">Helmholtz condition</a> <a href="#">Helmholtz decomposition</a> <a href="#">Helmholtz equation</a> <a href="#">Helmholtz free energy</a> <a href="#">Helmholtz free entropy</a> <a href="#">Helmholtz layer</a>



## WIKIPEDIA

## Genasys

**Genasys Inc.** is based in San Diego, California. Its long-range acoustic device (LRAD) products are used for long-range acoustic hailing and mass notification. The company was previously named **American Technology Corporation** (ATC) until 2010<sup>[1]</sup> and as **LRAD Corporation** until 2019.<sup>[2]</sup> The company's stock trades on the NASDAQ Capital Market with the ticker symbol "GNSS".<sup>[2]</sup>

## Contents

[History](#)[Products](#)[References](#)[External links](#)

## History

Genasys was founded in Utah by Elwood "Woody" Norris as the American Technology Corporation in 1980.<sup>[3]</sup> From 1988 to early 1992 the company was inactive. Norris recapitalized the company as a Delaware Corporation in 1992.<sup>[4]</sup> In 1996, the company launched its first directed sound technology and began engineering sound solutions for the commercial, government, and military markets. In response to the October 2000 attack on the USS *Cole*, the company's engineering team developed their long-range acoustic device (LRAD) product line. With the introduction of LRAD systems in 2003, the company created the acoustic hailing device (AHD) market, followed by the introduction of multidirectional mass notifications systems in 2012. The

## Genasys Inc.

<b>Type</b>	<u>Public</u>
<b>Traded as</b>	Nasdaq: <u>GNSS</u> ( <a href="https://www.nasdaq.com/symbol/gnss">https://www.nasdaq.com/symbol/gnss</a> )
<b>Industry</b>	<u>Technology/Manufacturing</u>
<b>Founded</b>	1980 in <u>Utah, U.S.</u>
<b>Founder</b>	Elwood Norris
<b>Headquarters</b>	<u>San Diego, California, U.S.</u>
<b>Area served</b>	Worldwide
<b>Key people</b>	Richard S. Danforth (CEO) Dennis Klahn (CFO) General John G. Coburn (Ret.) (Chairman)
<b>Products</b>	<u>Long Range Acoustic Device</u> LRAD-RX LRAD 1000Xi LRAD 1000RXL LRAD 100X LRAD 500X LRAD 300X LRAD 450XLi LRAD 100X
<b>Website</b>	<a href="https://genasys.com/">genasys.com</a> ( <a href="https://genasys.com/">https://genasys.com/</a> )

29

company acquired Genasys, a Spanish company based in Madrid and provider of location-based mass messaging solutions for emergency warning and workforce management in January 2018, and subsequently adopted the name Genasys for itself.<sup>[2]</sup>


## Products

- Long-range acoustic device (LRAD), which is a high-intensity directional acoustic array designed for long-range communication and mass notification.<sup>[5]</sup>

## References

1. "LRAD CORPORATION" (<http://listofcompanies.co.in/2012/02/08/lrad-corporation/>).
2. LRAD Corp. (October 25, 2019). "LRAD Corporation Rebranding as Genasys Inc. to Reflect Broader Commitment to Critical Communications" (<https://www.globenewswire.com/news-release/2019/10/23/1933797/0/en/LRAD-Corporation-Rebranding-as-Genasys-Inc-to-Reflect-Broader-Commitment-to-Critical-Communications.html>). *GlobeNewswire News Room*. Retrieved November 5, 2019.
3. "Utah Business Search - Utah.gov" (<https://secure.utah.gov/bes/>). *secure.utah.gov*.
4. "EDGAR Filing Documents for 0000936392-96-000513" (<https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/924383/0000936392-96-000513-index.html>). *www.sec.gov*.
5. "American Technology Ships \$1.5 Million in LRAD Orders" ([http://www.freshnews.com/news/defense-west/article\\_37263.html](http://www.freshnews.com/news/defense-west/article_37263.html)). *FreshNews.com*. Retrieved 2007-04-06.

## External links

- Official website (<http://www.lradx.com/>) 
- Investor information on Yahoo! Finance (<https://finance.yahoo.com/q?s=lrad&q1=1>)
- SEC Filings (<https://www.sec.gov/cgi-bin/browse-edgar?company=LRAD&owner=exclude&action=getcompany>)

Retrieved from "<https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Genasys&oldid=1127892767>"

**This page was last edited on 17 December 2022, at 06:54 (UTC).**

Text is available under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike License 3.0; additional terms may apply. By using this site, you agree to the Terms of Use and Privacy Policy. Wikipedia® is a registered trademark of the Wikimedia Foundation, Inc., a non-profit organization.

yahoo!

Sign in

M

POPULAR  
MECHANICS

## Scientists Can Now Use WiFi to See Through People's Walls

**Tim Newcomb**

Thu, January 19, 2023 at 1:11 PM PST



WiFi Signals Can See People Through WallsCarnegie Mellon University

- Researchers at Carnegie Mellon University can map human bodies through walls using WiFi signals.
- The technology tracks key points on the body for detection, extending previous research into using WiFi signals to locate humans.
- The study's authors position the breakthrough as helpful to privacy, even if it opens the possibilities for much easier, cheaper human tracking.

It isn't immediately clear how using only a WiFi signal to track human movement through walls *improves* personal privacy ... but that's what a new study from Carnegie Mellon University claims.

In a recently published paper, the researchers expanded on the study of employing WiFi signals to

Get the Complete  
Connectivity solution.

GET IT NOW  
(855) 335-7919

Make Yahoo Your Homepage

Discover something new every day from News, S

**yahoo!**

Sign in

M

*"We developed a deep neural network that maps the phase and amplitude of WiFi signals to UV coordinates within 24 human regions. The results of the study reveal that our model can estimate the dense pose of multiple subjects, with comparable performance to image-based approaches, by utilizing WiFi signals as the only input."*

This pathway opens the options for low-cost, broadly accessible human tracking through walls.

High-cost technology has successfully mapped people's movements through walls for years. And researchers at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology have spent over a decade working on ways to more easily see people through walls, whether using cell phone signals or WiFi. In the Carnegie Mellon study, scientists had WiFi signals send and receive a body's coordinates and then used DensePose to map the body.

From the study:

*"Advances in computer vision and machine learning techniques have led to significant development in 2D and 3D human pose estimation from RGB cameras, LiDAR, and radars. However, human pose estimation from images is adversely affected by occlusion and lighting, which are common in many scenarios of interest."*

By reducing the need for the advanced—and expensive—technology, the Carnegie Mellon researchers say they can make human tracking more available. Somehow, they've also positioned the breakthrough as a privacy-positive situation. You

# Privacy Statement

As a Human Services data company, we take privacy particularly seriously at Bitfocus.

This privacy policy explains what personal information we collect from you, how we use, and for what reasons we may share it. This statement applies only to our public website and other Bitfocus services displaying it. Other services may include specific statements that provide additional limits and safeguards applicable to those particular Bitfocus services and customers.

## What We Collect

We collect information to provide you with the best experience with our services. Depending on which services you use, we collect different kinds of personal information. We also may receive information from third parties.

### Information you Provide

Primarily, we collect information you send us. For example, when you register for an event, sign up for our mailing list, or submit a question on our website we collect the information you submit in order to process those requests. We also collect messages you send us. Conversations with our representatives—including phone calls, emails and chat sessions—may be monitored and recorded.

We also collect information about how you use and interact with our services. This includes information about the device you used, your connection, and details of how you used our services.

### Information from Third-Parties

We may also receive a limited amount of information about you from third parties. For example, we may use demographic or location information provided by third parties to better understand how our services are being used.

## How We Use It

We use the information we collect for two general purposes: (1) to maintain, protect, and improve our services; and (2) to provide you with tailored content and communications.

We use collected information to better understand how our services are being used, to support and protect them, and to continue to improve and refine them.

Collected information is also used to improve our communications with you. For example, we record your past conversations with representatives to improve our ability to respond efficiently. We may also contact you with information about our services, including changes and improvements. We respect your choices about what communications you like to receive.

458 32

## When We Share It

SCHEDULE A DEMO

We only share your personal data with your consent or as necessary to provide any service you have requested or authorized. We may share your information with a limited number of trusted business partners to process it on our behalf and in compliance with this privacy statement.

### With Consent

We may share personal information with outside parties when we have your consent to do so.

### Legal Reasons

We also may share information to third parties for legal reasons. We will share information when required by law or legal process, or as necessary to protect the safety, rights, or property of Bitfocus and our users.

## Cookies

We use cookies, web beacons, and similar technology to improve your experience and report visitor interactions. Cookies are small text files placed on your device that allow us to identify you when you visit our website and online services. Most browsers include a variety of tools to manage cookies, including alerting you when cookies are sent or blocking them entirely. However, these and other choices may impact your experience with our services.

## California Privacy Notice

Do Not Track (DNT) is an effort to develop a way for internet users to control the tracking of their online activities. Although the World Wide Web Consortium (W3C) is working to develop a DNT technology standard, none has been adopted. Bitfocus, therefore, does not use DNT signals.

Bitfocus does not authorize the collection of Personal Identifiable Information (PII) from our users for third party use through advertising technologies without separate user consent.

## How to Contact Us with Questions

Contact us at [support@bitfocus.com](mailto:support@bitfocus.com) with any questions, concerns or comments about this statement or our privacy program at Bitfocus. Click [here](#) to submit a whistleblower claim.

### Recent Blog Posts



New Outreach  
Tools to Transform  
Your Unsheltered  
Homelessness Care



Optimizing Client &  
Staff Care While  
Short Staffed



SOC2 Series: Why  
Data Security Is  
Crucial for HMIS  
Comparable  
Databases



Bitfocus is a leading system administration and software development firm offering superior value-priced services for Human Services data systems. Our user friendly technology and seasoned public policy professionals form a unique blend that delivers the best possible technological solutions for your community.

(800)-594-9854

Customer Support:  
[support@bitfocus.com](mailto:support@bitfocus.com)

General Inquiries:  
[info@bitfocus.com](mailto:info@bitfocus.com)

Sign up for our email updates.  
Email Address\*

Subscribe

33  
459



Federal  
Communications  
Commission
[FCC Home](#) | [Search](#) | [Updates](#) | [E-Filing](#) | [Initiatives](#) | [For Consumers](#) | [Find People](#)

## Universal Licensing System

[FCC](#) > [WTB](#) > [ULS](#) > [Online Systems](#) > License Search

[FCC Site Map](#)

ULS License

### Trunked Public Safety 700 MHz License - WQMR423 - San Francisco, City and County of

[? HELP](#)
[New Search](#) [Printable Page](#) [Reference Copy](#) [Map License](#)

MAIN	ADMIN	LOCATIONS	FREQUENCIES	MAP
------	-------	-----------	-------------	-----

Call Sign	WQMR423	Radio Service	SY - Trunked Public Safety 700 MHz
Status	Active	Auth Type	Regular
<b>Dates</b>			
Grant	08/04/2020	Expiration	10/28/2030
Effective	08/04/2020	Cancellation	
<b>Control Points</b>			
1	SFIA COMMUNICATIONS DEPT SAN FRANCISCO, SAN MATEO, SAN FRANCISCO, CA P: (650)821-3644		

#### Licensee

FRN	0001540731 ( <a href="#">View Ownership Filing</a> )	Type	Governmental Entity
-----	---	------	---------------------

#### Licensee

San Francisco, City and County of 200 PAUL AVE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94124-3100 ATTN SHOME VASUDEVAN SYSTEM ADMIN	P:(415)928-9559 F:(415)467-7004 E:dt.licenses.fcc@sfgov.org
--	---

#### Contact

San Francisco, City and County of Shome K Vasudevan 200 PAUL AVE, BUILDING B SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94124 ATTN Shome Vasudevan	P:(415)928-9559 E:shome.vasudevan@sfgov.org
---	--

#### Land Mobile Data

Extended Implementation (Slow Growth)	No	Assoc.Call Signs
---------------------------------------	----	------------------

#### Eligibility

90.523(A) - GOVERNMENT AGENCIES SAN FRANCISCO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT PUBLIC SAFETY RADIO SYSTEM

#### Ownership and Qualifications

Radio Service Type Mobile

Regulatory Status Private Comm Interconnected No

**Alien Ownership**The Applicant answered "No" to each of the [Alien Ownership](#) questions.**Basic Qualifications**The Applicant answered "No" to each of the [Basic Qualification](#) questions.**Demographics**

Race

Ethnicity

Gender

**ULS Help**[ULS Glossary](#) - [FAQ](#) - [Online Help](#) - [Technical Support](#) - [Licensing Support](#)**ULS Online Systems**[CORES](#) - [ULS Online Filing](#) - [License Search](#) - [Application Search](#) - [Archive License Search](#)**About ULS**[Privacy Statement](#) - [About ULS](#) - [ULS Home](#)**Basic Search**

By Call Sign

▼ =

[FCC](#) | [Wireless](#) | [ULS](#) | [CORES](#)[Help](#) | [Tech Support](#)Federal Communications Commission  
45 L Street NE  
Washington, DC 20554Phone: 1-877-480-3201  
TTY: 1-717-338-2824  
[Submit Help Request](#)

35

(6) Whether use or maintenance of the technology will require data gathered by the technology to be handled or stored by a third-party vendor on an ongoing basis; and

(7) A summary of the experience, if any, other governmental entities have had with the proposed technology, including information about its effectiveness and any known adverse information about the technology such as unanticipated costs, failures, or civil rights and civil liberties abuses.

"Personal communication device" means a cellular telephone that has not been modified beyond stock manufacturer capabilities, a personal digital assistant, a wireless capable tablet or similar wireless two-way communications and/or portable Internet accessing devices, whether procured or subsidized by a City entity or personally owned, that is used in the regular course of conducting City business.

"Protected Class" means a class of persons with shared characteristics based on sex, race, color, religion, ancestry, national origin, disability, medical condition, genetic information, marital status, gender identity, gender expression, or sexual orientation, or any other class protected under the California Unruh Civil Rights Act.

"Surveillance Technology" means any software, electronic device, system utilizing an electronic device, or similar device used, designed, or primarily intended to collect, retain, process, or share audio, electronic, visual, location, thermal, biometric, olfactory or similar information specifically associated with, or capable of being associated with, any individual or group. Surveillance Technology includes but is not limited to the following: international mobile subscriber identity (IMSI) catchers and other cell site simulators; automatic license plate readers; electric toll readers; closed-circuit television cameras; gunshot detection hardware and services; video and audio monitoring and/or recording technology, such as surveillance cameras, wide-angle cameras, and wearable body cameras; mobile DNA capture technology; biometric software or technology, including facial, voice, iris, and gait-recognition software and databases; software designed to monitor social media services; x-ray vans; software designed to forecast criminal activity or criminality; radio-frequency I.D. (RFID) scanners; and tools, including software and hardware, used to gain unauthorized access to a computer, computer service, or computer network. Surveillance Technology does not include the following devices, hardware, or software:

(1) Office hardware, such as televisions, computers, credit card machines, copy machines, telephones, and printers, that are in common use by City Departments and used for routine City business and transactions;

(2) City databases and enterprise systems that contain information kept in the ordinary course of City business, including, but not limited to, human resource, permit, license, and business records;

(3) City databases and enterprise systems that do not contain any data or other information collected, captured, recorded, retained, processed, intercepted, or analyzed by Surveillance Technology, including payroll, accounting, or other fiscal databases;

(4) Information technology security systems, including firewalls and other cybersecurity systems intended to secure City data;

(5) Physical access control systems, employee identification management systems, and other physical control systems;

(6) Infrastructure and mechanical control systems, including those that control or manage street lights, traffic lights, electrical, natural gas, or water or sewer functions;

(7) Manually-operated technological devices used primarily for internal City communications, which are not designed to surreptitiously collect surveillance data, such as radios, personal communication devices, and email systems;

(8) Manually-operated and non-wearable handheld cameras, audio recorders, and video recorders, that are not designed to be used surreptitiously and whose functionality is limited to manually capturing and manually downloading video and/or audio recordings;

(9) Surveillance devices that cannot record or transmit audio or video or be remotely accessed, such as image stabilizing binoculars or night vision equipment;

(10) Medical equipment and systems used to record, diagnose, treat, or prevent disease or injury, and used and/or kept in the ordinary course of providing City services;

(11) Parking Ticket Devices;

(12) Police Department interview rooms, holding cells, and internal security audio/video recording systems;

(13) Police department computer aided dispatch (CAD), records/case management, Live Scan, booking, Department of Motor Vehicles, California Law Enforcement Telecommunications Systems (CLETS), 9-1-1 and related dispatch and operation or emergency services systems;

(14) Police department early warning systems; and

(15) Computers, software, hardware, or devices intended to be used solely to monitor the safety and security of City facilities and City vehicles, not generally accessible to the public.

"Surveillance Technology Policy" means a written policy that includes:

(1) A description of the product and services addressed by the Surveillance Technology, including the identity of any provider(s) whose services are essential to the functioning or effectiveness of the Surveillance Technology equipment or services for the intended purpose;

(2) A description of the purpose(s) for which the Surveillance Technology equipment or services are proposed for acquisition, including the type of data that may be collected by the Surveillance Technology equipment or services;

(3) The uses that are authorized, the rules and processes required prior to such use, and uses of the Surveillance Technology that will be expressly prohibited.<sup>1</sup>

(4) A description of the formats in which information collected by the Surveillance Technology is stored, copied, and/or accessed;

(5) The specific categories and titles of individuals who are authorized by the Department to access or use the collected information, including restrictions on how and under what circumstances data collected with Surveillance Technology can be analyzed and reviewed, and the rules and processes required prior to access or use of the information;

(6) The general safeguards that protect information from unauthorized access, including encryption and access control mechanisms;

(7) The limited time period, if any, that information collected by the Surveillance Technology will be routinely retained, the reason such retention period is appropriate to further the purpose(s) enumerated in the Surveillance Technology Policy, the process by which the information is regularly deleted after that period lapses, and the specific conditions that must be met to retain information beyond that period;

(8) How collected information can be accessed or used by members of the public, including criminal defendants;

(9) Which governmental agencies, departments, bureaus, divisions, or units that may receive data collected by the Surveillance Technology operated by the Department, including any required justification or legal standard necessary to share that data and how it will ensure that any entity receiving such data complies with the Surveillance Technology Policy;

(10) The training required for any individual authorized to use the Surveillance Technology or to access information collected by the Surveillance Technology;

(11) The mechanisms to ensure that the Surveillance Technology Policy is followed, including internal personnel assigned to ensure compliance with the policy, internal recordkeeping of the use of the technology or access to information collected by the technology, technical measures to monitor for misuse, any independent person or entity with oversight authority, and the sanctions for violations of the policy; and

(12) What procedures will be put in place by which members of the public can register complaints or concerns, or submit questions about the deployment or use of a specific Surveillance Technology, and how the Department will ensure each question and complaint is responded to in a timely manner.

(Added by Ord. 105-19, File No. 190110, App. 5/31/2019, Eff. 7/1/2019; Ord. 107-19, File No. 190568, App. 6/14/2019, Eff. 7/15/2019)

#### COMMITTEE NOTE

1. See Ord. 105-19 and Ord. 107-19.

# 1. PROJECT SUMMARY

## 1.1 Background

Our vision is that homelessness in San Diego would be rare, brief and non-recurring. In order to accomplish our vision, we must know the scope of the problem, know the characteristics of those who find themselves homeless, and understand which homeless resolution strategies are effective and which are not. Reliable data enables our community to work confidently towards our goals as we measure outputs, outcomes, and impacts.

A Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) is the information system designated by a local Continuum of Care (CoC) to comply with the requirements of CoC Program interim rule 24 CFR 578. It is a locally-administered data system used to record and analyze client, service and housing data for individuals and families who are homeless or at risk of homelessness. HMIS is a valuable resource because of its capacity to integrate and un-duplicate data across projects in a community. Aggregate HMIS data can be used to understand the size, characteristics, and needs of the homeless population at multiple levels: project, system, local, state, and national. The Longitudinal Systems Analysis (LSA) report, produced from a CoC's HMIS and submitted annually to HUD, provides HUD and Continuums of Care (CoCs) with critical information about how people experiencing homelessness use their system of care. This report could not be written if communities were not able to provide HUD with reliable, aggregate data on the clients they serve.

In 2010 the U.S. Interagency Council on Homelessness (USICH) affirmed HMIS as the official method of measuring outcomes in its Opening Doors: Federal Strategic Plan to Prevent and End Homelessness. Since then many of the federal agencies that provide McKinney-Vento Act and other sources of funding for services to specific homeless populations have joined together and are working with HUD to coordinate the effort.

HMIS is now used by the federal partners and their respective programs in the effort to end Homelessness, which include:

- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)
- U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)
- U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs

The HMIS Data Standards provide communities with baseline data collection requirements developed by each of these federal partners. The HMIS Data Standards Manual is designed for CoC's, HMIS Lead Agencies, HMIS System Administrators, and HMIS Users to help them understand the data elements that are required in an HMIS to meet participation and reporting requirements established by HUD and the federal partners.

HUD is responsible for coordinating the collection of data, overseeing HMIS rules and regulations, and reporting to Congress through the AHAR, and will continue to manage the HMIS regulations, provide support and guidance to local CoC's and HMIS Lead Agencies, and provide guidance to users in collaboration with the federal partner agencies. The 2014 release of the Data Dictionary and Manual is the first joint publication of HUD and the federal partners and is intended to provide guidance to communities around federal expectations for HMIS. The HMIS Data Standards Manual was updated most recently in June 2020.



# HUD Exchange HMIS Data Standards

[Overview](#)
[Enter AAQ](#)
[PATH](#)

[-Search-](#)

## U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA):

### Projects for Assistance in Transition from Homelessness (PATH)


#### Required Data Collection & HMIS Project Type

Funded Components:		PATH: Street Outreach & Supportive Services Only	PATH: Street Outreach & Supportive Services Only
Data Element #	HMIS Project Type (Right): HMIS Element Name (Below):	Street Outreach (HMIS Project Type 4)	Services Only (HMIS Project Type 5)
3.01-3.917	Universal Data Elements (All)	X	X
4.02	Income and Sources	X	X
4.03	Non-Cash Benefits	X	X
4.04	Health Insurance	X	X

[FY2022 Data Standards](#)
[HMIS Data Standards Introduction](#)
[Project Descriptor Data Elements \(PDDE\)](#)
[Universal Data Elements \(UDE\)](#)
[Program Specific Data Elements \(PSDE\)](#)
[Federal Partner Program Elements](#)
[Metadata Elements](#)
[HMIS Data Entry](#)
[HMIS Lead](#)
[CoC Lead](#)
[HOPWA](#)
[PATH](#)
[VA](#)
[HUD CoC](#)
[HUD ESG](#)
[RHY](#)
[HUD-VASH](#)

© Copyright 2017. All rights reserved.





#### 4. Prepare to Go Live

##### 4.1: Policies & Procedures

##### 4.2: Create Communication Plan

##### 4.3: Configure Outreach

##### 4.4: Training

may bring.

## h an Outreach tation Committee

of implementing Clarity Outreach, it is important to establish a strong to help prepare the community and manage the rollout. Bitfocus recommends tation Committee that includes a mix of stakeholders and community leadership. Important to consider the anticipated level of involvement, interest, and influence within the project. Assess the landscape to identify stakeholders who are aligned with viewing any potential conflict or varying degrees of cooperation each stakeholder

Consider the following stakeholders for your community's committee:

STAKEHOLDER	VALUE PROVIDED
CoC Representatives	Ensure outreach services are well integrated into the homelessness response system and are aligned with the community's coordinated efforts.
HMIS System Administrators	Understand HMIS software and Clarity Outreach module and can provide insight into potential workflows and data collection
Individuals with Lived Experience	Provide critical insight into the experience of being homeless, receiving services, living in encampments, and more
Outreach Providers	Experience providing outreach services, and understanding of day-to-day workflows and challenges
Funders	Knowledge of funding opportunities, limitations, and restrictions

- Emergency Services
  - Public Works
  - Park and Recreation
- homelessness. They could already have outreach and engagement processes in place that can provide insight into services, and coordinate with new efforts

[Back to Top](#)



## STEP 2

# 1.2 Define Roles & Responsibilities

Once you have created your implementation committee, the next step is to define clear roles and responsibilities. Before the project begins, identify the staffing and support your community will need for rollout. These individuals will support the remaining steps in the rollout process.

Consider who will fulfill the following project needs:

ROLE	RESPONSIBILITY
Project Management	Coordinates all parts of project rollout, and communicates with the project team to ensure that timelines and responsibilities are clear and that all milestones are met
System Configuration	Configures Clarity Human Services to meet the needs of the outreach project
Communication	Plans and completes communication to service providers and the community at large to apprise them of timelines, processes and process changes, milestones, and other key information
Training Content Development	Develops content used to train service providers and other impacted staff which may include slide decks, job aids, workflow diagrams, and other materials
Training Facilitation	Conducts training for service providers and other impacted staff

---

## DATA COLLECTION AND INTEGRATION IN HMIS

One of the primary challenges providers identified was with collecting client data through multiple data systems. In particular, nearly all providers interviewed discussed a need for further integration with the County's HMIS, Clarity, to reduce duplicative data entry and the burden it places on agency staff, as well as the resulting impact on data quality. Broader integration of data across systems, including County health, mental health, criminal justice, and other departments, was also needed to improve coordination across systems and provide a holistic view of the experience and outcomes of people experiencing homelessness across the county.

---

### SANTA CLARA COUNTY'S CLARITY SYSTEM

The County's Clarity system, developed and administered by Bitfocus, is the primary means of gathering information on programs that serve people experiencing homelessness across the community (see text box for additional information on HMIS). Overall, providers were satisfied with the Clarity system and agreed it improved significantly upon the previous HMIS. Among other benefits, providers appreciated the ability to generate standard reports to analyze client outcomes and to satisfy funder reporting requirements. Providers also appreciated the support available from Bitfocus staff and reported that the recent "Data Literacy Institutes" provided by Bitfocus, which take a deeper dive into reporting functionalities for both agency staff and managers, were helpful to gain skills for maximizing the functionality of the system.

However, nearly all providers also reported some frustration with certain functionalities of the Clarity system, and acknowledged that most staff were not able to take full advantage of all of the system's capabilities due to a lack of technical expertise. Some providers were not aware of all functionalities or customization options that are currently available. In addition to reporting gaps discussed in the next section, providers cited specific areas for improvement, including:

- Additional case management functionalities.
- Capturing additional information, such as tracking historical changes in client income.
- Building out the location tab to allow for descriptions of other locations where clients may be found (e.g. where they pick up medication or where they access certain services).
- More accurate GPS location tabbing functionality, as currently it is not effectively pinning a location.
- Capability to track and manage landlord relationships or potential roommates for shared housing.
- Texting capabilities to contact clients in their preferred mode of communication.
- Streamlined reporting functionality (see *Reporting & Analytics* below for more detail).
- More prominent notifications when a client has been referred to housing.

Each Participating Agency is required to have a Progressive Discipline Policy.

## 5. PRIVACY AND SECURITY

### 5.1 Privacy and Security

#### *Privacy and Security Policy*

The HMIS Privacy and Security Policies and Procedures apply to any person accessing HMIS data, however, Participating Agencies subject to more restrictive regulations will be honored. In order to incorporate any Participating Agency's more restrictive regulations, additional implementation elements may be utilized to provide a cohesive framework for policies and procedures.

#### *Procedure*

All HMIS Lead Agency assets (e.g., workstations, laptops, and other systems or devices that process and/or store HMIS information) must be protected by commercial anti-virus and internet security software solutions.

- HMIS Lead Agency devices used to access HMIS shall utilize a firewall between the workstation and any external system including the internet;
- Security solutions must be updated when new versions or releases become available;
- Security software and operating system patches shall be applied within a reasonable time when they become available; and
- Any HMIS information stored on media shall be encrypted.

Participating Agency End-users are advised that these policies do not allow any use that is unlawful or other applicable rules and regulations, or is specifically prohibited by this policy or another applicable agency policy.

Under no circumstances will end-users store Personally Identifiable Information (PII) on any personally owned media; end-users may not place PII on a work-owned USB drive for personal use.

PII and removable data devices (e.g., USB drives, CDs, and external drives) must be protected by appropriate physical means from modification, theft, or unauthorized access. Such records and confidential information contained therein remain subject to the HMIS Policies and Procedures. When these media have reached the end of their useful life, the data will be disposed of in a manner consistent with the procedures outlined in this policy.

#### **Risk Analysis Management - HMIS Lead Agency Risk Analysis**

The HMIS Security Officer, in conjunction with executive management, and the HMIS Lead Agency Privacy Officer, will perform a modified Security Risk Analysis (RA) in accordance with the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). The minimum content of the RA shall consist of:

- List of assets (i.e. hardware, software, data, physical sites);
- Threats to each of the listed assets (ex.: hacking, malware, misuse of data, burglary);



- Likelihood threats and impact of threat exploitation; and
- Heat map of likelihood versus impact.

Any decisions on selection of security measures to reduce risk must be documented and based on the RA.

Lack of funds to support security measures may be a mitigating factor for the current fiscal term, however lack of funds should be addressed in a Short-Term Security Mitigation Plan that is three (3) to five (5) years in implementation length and addresses funding.

### **HMIS Vendor**

The CoC is responsible for the process and selection of the region's HMIS Vendor.

The HMIS Lead Agency is responsible for ensuring HMIS is operated in accordance with HMIS standards via the HMIS Vendor Contract.

The HMIS Lead Agency will include provisions in the HMIS Vendor contract requiring the physical security of the facilities and media storing the data is protected.

- The HMIS Vendor is required to take steps, consistent with the most current HMIS technical and security standards, to prevent unauthorized access to the data and the software (See Section 5.2 "Access Controls");
- The HMIS Lead Agency, through the HMIS Vendor contract, will take measures to ensure the system is protected from intrusion and risks to data loss is minimized;
- The HMIS Vendor will maintain software consistent with the most up-to-date HMIS technical and security standards:
  - The HMIS Vendor must retain a log of system changes and/or software version changes;
  - Security gaps or issues, identified by the HMIS Vendor or HMIS Lead Agency, shall be resolved in an expedient manner; and
  - The HMIS Lead Agency is responsible for ensuring all vendor-released enhancements, upgrades and bug fixes are applied promptly.

Participating Agencies shall be notified of changes by HMIS Lead Agency where appropriate.

### **Data Backup**

HMIS Vendor shall store and maintain backup versions of the data in a separate physical location consistent with the most up-to-date HMIS technical and security standards. Examples include:

- HMIS Vendor servers on which the HMIS data is stored shall utilize firewalls;
- HMIS Vendor will also perform daily, weekly and monthly data backups;
  - Backups will be held offsite at a secondary (hot) data center;
- Intra-day and day-end backups will be held on a local server as well as offsite at the secondary data center.

Entities providing funding to Participating Agencies, or projects required to use HMIS, will not have automatic access to the HMIS.

- Access to HMIS will only be granted according to the Access Controls;
- Funders requesting access to HMIS data, or summary reports, must submit through their contracted Participating Agency;

Any requests for reports or information from an individual or group who have not been explicitly granted access to the HMIS will be directed to the HMIS Lead Agency.

- No individual client data will be provided to meet these requests without Evaluations Committee review of the data request.

### **Verbal Consent for Services**

In an effort to more efficiently serve the client, the HMIS Lead Agency may authorize the use of a verbal process for assessment and documentation by 2-1-1 San Diego. The verbal process does not replace in person enrollment.

- The verbal process to collect information shall replace a written signature on the Multiparty Authorization (MPA) with a telephonic signature which will allow for authorized access to the client's data, and shall collect relevant identifiers to ensure unique identification of the individual and record of the Authorization;
- Authorized Participating Agencies shall certify in the HMIS they have talked to the individual, and to the best of their ability, collected the required unique identifiers and have indicated such by including a telephone reference number on the electronic file in the HMIS;
- "Data Not Collected" for identifier fields shall require physical corroboration prior to delivery of services;
- Verbal Consent process shall be monitored on an ongoing basis and should be used sparingly when a written signature is not possible;
- The HMIS Lead Agency must provide written authorization to Participating Agencies wishing to use the verbal consent process.

### **Research Projects**

Request for research projects must be approved by the HMIS Lead Agency. Should the HMIS Lead Agency determine that additional review is required, the request will be forwarded to the Evaluations Committee for a final determination.

Research that is approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) must meet the Office for Human Research Protections (OHRP) requirements for use of individual client data. Waiver of Informed Consent by an IRB does not constitute a waiver of individual privacy rights under other federal or state laws.

Requirement of an IRB for research is exempt at 45 CFR 46.101 where:



- Unless otherwise required by the research entity or Participating Agency heads, research activities in which the only involvement of human subjects will be in one or more of the following categories are exempt from this policy:
  - Research and demonstration projects which are conducted by or subject to the approval of the research entity or Participating Agency, and which are designed to study, evaluate, or otherwise examine:
- Public benefit or service programs;
- Procedures for obtaining benefits or services under those programs;
- Possible changes in or alternatives to those programs or procedures; or
- Possible changes in methods or levels of payment for benefits or services under those programs.

Access to client-level data for uses or disclosures not described here must be done only utilizing the Multiparty Authorization.

### **HMIS Reporting and Publication**

The HMIS Lead Agency may utilize data in the HMIS for federal reporting, local evaluation, analysis, and publication.

To foster full transparency, identifiable project-level data pertaining to CoC and/or federally, state, or locally funded program performance may be published by the HMIS Lead Agency upon request by the Governance Board, Full Membership, and/or its subcommittees. Identifiable client-level data may only be released within the HMIS with client Authorization solely for coordination of housing and services. Clients may authorize the HMIS to release their information outside of the HMIS (ex.: Community Information Exchange (CIE)).

### **Participating Agency Procedure Notification**

At minimum, the HMIS Lead Agency requires Participating Agencies to post signs (Appendix F) where data collection occurs. The sign will include the following language:

*"We collect personal information directly from you for reasons that are discussed in our privacy statement. We may be required to collect some personal information as mandated by law or as requested from entities that fund this program. Other personal information we collect is necessary to operate programs, improve services, and better understand homelessness. We collect appropriate information only. A Privacy Notice is available upon request."*

Participating Agencies must notify individuals seeking their assistance of data collection, use, and that disclosure will occur for the purposes of:

- Coordination of individual referrals, case management, housing, or other services; and
- Sharing with other organizations that may have separate privacy policies and that may allow different uses and disclosures of the information.

### **Data Standard Compliance**

Participating Agencies and the HMIS Lead Agency are jointly responsible for ensuring project data in the HMIS meets the thresholds outlined in this policy:



# Surveillance Technology Inventory

This inventory shows all currently identified surveillance technologies. For each technology, City departments are required to create a Surveillance Impact Report, a Surveillance Technology Policy, and Annual Reports.

A "Surveillance Technology" is defined as:

- A software, electronic device, system using an electronic device, or similar device
- used, designed, or primarily intended to
- collect, retain, process, or share
- audio, electronic, visual, location, thermal, biometric, olfactory or similar information
- specifically associated with, or capable of being associated with, any individual or group

The Surveillance Ordinance includes 15 separate exemptions for technologies not required to be inventoried. For [more details, refer to the ordinance directly](#).

Our current inventory of surveillance technologies by department will continue to grow as additional technologies are identified.

*Last updated October 24, 2022.*

# Documents

## Adult Probation Department

Adult Probation: GPS Monitoring

Ankle Bracelet

Upcoming

GPS Monitoring Ankle Bracelet

Adult Probation: Survey Monkey

Upcoming

An online survey software that helps organizations or individual users create and run professional online surveys.

## Airport

Airport: Airport Gunshot Detection

- proof of concept

Upcoming

The detectors sense for the presence of a gunshot, conducting full analysis on the device (no real-time audio is sent back). In the event of an activation, the alert message is sent over our proprietary wireless mesh (potentially hopping over multiple detectors) back to the gateway. The gateway connects to the AmberBox Response Platform servers via a VPN and HTTPS connection. The AmberBox Response Platform servers are hosted by our cloud provider, Google Cloud.

Airport: App-Based Commercial  
Transportation Management  
System

Upcoming

Airport uses data provided by Transportation Network Companies (TNCs) to track the whereabouts of specific vehicles (license plate info and geo-location information provided by TNCs in real-time at four different points of a TNC's journey on the Airport's premises) to support permit compliance.

Airport: Electronic Toll Readers

Upcoming

Vehicle-mounted transponder that is activated by an antenna on a toll lane. Individual account information is stored in the transponder. The antenna identifies the individual transponder and reads the associated account information. The amount of the toll is deducted from the associated account.

Airport: Hootsuite

Upcoming

Social Media Marketing and Management Dashboard

Airport: License Plate Recognition

System Surveillance Technology

Policy

System includes high-performance IP cameras and powerful software that provides consistent and reliable results. The system converts the image (picture of the license) to computer data that can be checked against a database.

Airport: License Plate Recognition

System Surveillance Impact Report

August 4, 2021

System includes high-performance IP cameras and powerful software that provides consistent and reliable results. The system converts the image (picture of the license) to computer data that can be checked against a database.

### Airport: Media Access Control

#### (MAC) Address

#### Upcoming

The media access control address (MAC address) of a device is a unique identifier assigned to a network interface controller. It is used as a network address for most network technologies, including Ethernet, Wi-Fi, and Bluetooth. Airport operates an extensive Wi-Fi network throughout the Airport's facilities.

### Airport: ParkAssist Parking

#### Guidance System

#### Upcoming

The sensors determine parking stall availability and the cameras provide a snapshot of license plates to assist the public in locating their vehicles via Park Assist "Find My Car" smartphone app.

### Airport: Pre-Security Closed Circuit

#### Television (CCTV) Cameras

#### Surveillance Impact Report

August 4, 2021

Pre-Security Closed Circuit Television (CCTV) Cameras

### Airport: Pre-Security Closed Circuit

#### Television (CCTV) Cameras

#### Surveillance Technology Policy

August 4, 2021

Pre-Security Closed Circuit Television (CCTV) Cameras

### Airport: Survey Monkey

#### Upcoming

An online survey software that helps organizations or individual users create and run professional online surveys.

### Airport: Third-Party Cameras

#### Surveillance Technology Policy

February 17, 2022



## Third-Party Cameras used at the San Francisco Airport.

Airport: Third-Party Cameras

Surveillance Impact Report

February 17, 2022

Third-Party Cameras used at the San Francisco Airport.

## Animal Care and Control

Animal Care and Control: Security

Cameras

Upcoming

Security Cameras

## Arts Commission

Arts Commission: Constant

Contact

Upcoming

Email marketing service. Records names and emails of individuals who subscribe.

Arts Commission: Hootsuite

Upcoming

Social Media Monitoring

Arts Commission: Meltwater

Upcoming

Meltwater is a software designed to monitor social media and traditional media.

Arts Commission: Security  
Cameras Surveillance Technology  
Policy

August 4, 2021

Surveillance systems are located in the San Francisco Arts Commission Galleries to monitor exhibition space at all times, as well as throughout City-owned Cultural Centers.

Arts Commission: Security  
Cameras Surveillance Impact  
Report

August 4, 2021

Surveillance systems are located in the San Francisco Arts Commission Galleries to monitor exhibition space at all times, as well as throughout City-owned Cultural Centers.

## Asian Art Museum

Asian Art Museum: AdRoll

Upcoming

Uses advanced audience targeting to create awareness and drive high-quality new site traffic. It helps grow customer acquisition. Its growth marketing platform makes it easy to find the proper audience, create personalized, cross-channel experiences, and measure impact.

Asian Art Museum: Google Tag  
Manager

Upcoming

A Google marketing platform and tag management system that helps website owners track codes and collect analytics about their website. It helps website owners make decisions about their

website. Data is stored in a centralized location. It can also assist with analytics for purposes such as marketing, tracking customer conversion rates, and other site analytics.

Asian Art Museum: MailChimp

Upcoming

Marketing automation platform and email marketing service

Asian Art Museum: Mobile Fuse

Upcoming

A mobile technology company that helps target and influence consumers. Their proprietary technology utilizes location and consumer mindset as a foundation to fuel customer insights. Consumer mindset is determined by leveraging environmental data including time of day, weather conditions, local event and census information that gives advertisers a holistic view into the user's mindset.

Asian Art Museum: Security

Camera System Surveillance

Technology Policy

August 4, 2021

Monitoring and recording device

Asian Art Museum: Security

Camera System Surveillance

Impact Report

August 4, 2021

Monitoring and recording device

Asian Art Museum: Steelhouse

Upcoming

Provides self-service advertising software that provides marketers with everything they need to build their own ads and launch retargeting and prospecting campaigns through display, mobile, native, and social media. The analytics and reporting feature is integrated into Google Analytics, which allows tracking and verification of all traffic and conversions across devices. It can be used to create reporting dashboards, display metrics, visualize metrics, etc.

Asian Art Museum: Survey Gizmo

Upcoming

An enterprise-level data collection platform that collects feedback through surveys and gives surveyers fast and accurate reporting

Asian Art Museum: Survey Monkey

Upcoming

An online survey software that helps organizations or individual users create and run professional online surveys.

## Assessor-Recorder

No surveillance technology inventory reported to date

## Board of Appeals

No surveillance technology inventory reported to date

## Board of Supervisors

Board of Supervisors: MailChimp

Upcoming

Marketing automation platform and an email marketing service

City Administrator's Office: Buffer  
(311)

Upcoming

Cloud based Social Media response system. It is a social media management software that allows organizations build their audience and grow their brand on social media. Allows organizations to measure and analyze content performance, track key engagement metrics, and measures stories, individual posts, and hashtags. It also measures audience demographics.

City Administrator's Office:  
MailChimp (Committee on  
Information Technology)

Upcoming

Marketing automation platform and email marketing service

City Administrators' Office : Survey  
Monkey (Committee on Information  
Technology)

Upcoming

An online survey software that helps organizations or individual users create and run professional online surveys.

City Administrator's Office:  
Security Camera System  
Surveillance Technology Policy  
(Real Estate Department, Media  
Security Group)

August 4, 2021

Cameras, workstations, and Network Video Recorders (NVRs) to record security video.

City Administrator's Office:  
Security Camera System  
Surveillance Impact Report (Real  
Estate Department, Media Security  
Group)



August 4, 2021

Cameras, workstations, and Network Video Recorders (NVRs) to record security video.

## City Attorney's Office

City Attorney's Office: MailChimp

Upcoming

Marketing automation platform and an email marketing service

## City Planning

City Planning: BuildingEye

Upcoming

Web application which allows public to subscribe and receive notifications for permit related events. It stores some personal information such as name and email address.

City Planning: Google Web

Analytics

Upcoming

Used for department's public facing web site to collect access data including browser type, source IP address, page accessed and timestamp

City Planning: GovDelivery

Upcoming

Used for newsletters and public engagement. GovDelivery records the names and emails of individuals who subscribe to its services.

City Planning: MailChimp

Upcoming

Used for newsletters and public engagement. Mailchimp records the names and emails of individuals who subscribe to its services.

### City Planning: Microsoft IIS Access

#### Log

#### Upcoming

Server side logging that can be enabled on a URL group to record the following data: Client IP address, user name, data, time, service and instance, server name, server IP address, time taken, etc.

### City Planning: Time-lapse Camera

#### Upcoming

Takes individual photos that can be left separate or woven together to create professional-looking time-lapse videos with ease. The camera runs automatically and is powered by AA batteries and can capture up to 30,000 images before its batteries require changing.

## Civil Service Commission

No surveillance technology inventory reported to date

## Child Support Services

### Child Support Services: Sonitrol

### Security Cameras Surveillance

### Technology Policy

August 4, 2021

Building security system which uses security cameras at points of entry and surveillance cameras at points of entries public

of entry and surveillance cameras at points of entries, public lobby, and interview areas.

Child Support Services: Sonitrol

Security Cameras Surveillance

Impact Report

August 4, 2021

Building security system which uses security cameras at points of entry and surveillance cameras at points of entries, public lobby, and interview areas.

## Children and Families Commission

No surveillance technology inventory reported to date

## Controller

Controller: Emma

Upcoming

Emma Marketing Software

Controller: Survey Monkey

Upcoming

Survey Monkey

## Department of Building Inspection

Department of Building Inspection:

ForcePoint

Upcoming

Web security software for monitoring, filtering and reporting web traffic

Department of Building Inspection:

Survey Monkey

Upcoming

An online survey software that helps organizations or individual users create and run professional online surveys.

## Department of Children, Youth & Their Families

No surveillance technology inventory reported to date

## Department of Elections

Department of Elections: Constant

Contact

Upcoming

Email marketing service.

Department of Elections: Cooler

Email

Upcoming

Email marketing service.

Department of Elections: Nest  
Cameras Surveillance Technology  
Policy

November 18, 2021

Cameras for internal viewing and streaming.

Department of Elections: Nest  
Cameras Surveillance Impact  
Report

November 18, 2021

Cameras for internal viewing and streaming.

Department of Elections: Survey  
Monkey  
Upcoming

An online survey software that helps organizations or individual users create and run professional online surveys.

## Department of Emergency Management

Department of Emergency  
Management: Closed Circuit  
Television Cameras (CCTVs)  
Surveillance Technology Policy

August 4, 2021

The system is maintained by DT and monitored by Sheriff's Department staff on site. Not monitored by DEM Staff.

Department of Emergency  
Management: Closed Circuit  
Television Cameras (CCTVs)  
Surveillance Impact Report

August 4, 2021

The system is maintained by DT and monitored by Sheriff's Department staff on site. Not monitored by DEM Staff.

Department of Emergency  
Management: Hootsuite  
Upcoming

Upcoming

Social media monitoring

Department of Emergency

Management: Public Safety Crime

Cameras

Upcoming

The system is administered by SFPD and maintained by DT. DEM's role is limited to providing copies of video at the request of SFPD personnel.

Department of Emergency

Management: ShotSpotter

Upcoming

An advanced system of sensors, algorithms and artificial intelligence to detect, locate and alert police to gunfire. It analyzes audio signals for potential gunshots, determines the location of the sound source, analyzes the pulse features to determine if the sound is likely to be gunfire, and notifies law enforcement and emergency responders. This system is maintained by the vendor and administered and paid for by SFPD.

Department of Emergency

Management: Tweetdeck

Upcoming

Social media monitoring. TweetDeck is a social media dashboard application for management of Twitter accounts. Originally an independent app, TweetDeck was subsequently acquired by Twitter Inc. and integrated into Twitter's interface.

## Department of the Environment

No surveillance technology inventory reported to date



## Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing

Department of Homelessness and  
Supportive Housing: Homeless  
Shelter Reservation System  
Upcoming

The system uses biometric finger imaging and photos to enroll single adult shelter clients, manage their reservations and identify and check-in those clients to the adult shelters.

Department of Homelessness and  
Supportive Housing: Security  
Camera System Surveillance  
Technology Policy

August 4, 2021

Security camera collects images, which are available to staff for real-time review

Department of Homelessness and  
Supportive Housing: Security  
Camera System Surveillance  
Impact Report

August 4, 2021

Security camera collects images, which are available to staff for real-time review

## Department of Human Resources

Department of Human Resources:  
Security Cameras Surveillance  
Technology Policy

August 4, 2021

Security cameras

Department of Human Resources:Security Cameras SurveillanceImpact Report

August 4, 2021

Security Cameras

## Department of Public Health

Department of Public Health:Closed Circuit Television Cameras(CCTVs) Surveillance TechnologyPolicy

August 4, 2021

Security cameras located at various locations - A TV system in which signals are not publicly distributed, but monitored, primarily for surveillance and security purposes. Relies on strategic placement of cameras and observation of the camera's input on monitors somewhere.

Department of Public Health:Closed Circuit Television Cameras(CCTVs) Surveillance Impact Report

August 4, 2021

Security cameras located at various locations - A TV system in which signals are not publicly distributed, but monitored, primarily for surveillance and security purposes. Relies on strategic placement of cameras and observation of the camera's input on monitors somewhere.

Department of Public Health:

Survey Monkey

Upcoming

Survey Monkey

## Department of Public Works

Department of Public Works: Aerial

Drone Surveillance Impact Report

August 4, 2021

Aerial drone

Department of Public Works: AerialDrone Surveillance TechnologyPolicy

August 4, 2021

Aerial drone

Department of Public Works:Automatic License Plate ReaderSurveillance Impact Report

August 4, 2021

Automatic License Plate Reader

Department of Public Works:Automatic License Plate ReaderSurveillance Technology Policy

August 4, 2021

Automatic License Plate Reader

**Department on the Status of Women**

No surveillance technology inventory reported to date

**Department of Technology**

Department of Technology: Archive

Social

Upcoming

Social media archiving permits consistent response to records

requests

Department of Technology:  
Community Safety Cameras  
Upcoming

Chapter 19 of the Admin Code defines "Community Safety Cameras means any digital recording surveillance system installed at fixed locations in an open and obvious manner by the City and County of San Francisco to film public streets, sidewalks or common areas of public housing complexes."

Department of Technology: Drone  
Surveillance Impact Report  
August 4, 2021  
Drone

Department of Technology: Drone  
Surveillance Technology Policy  
August 4, 2021  
Drone

Department of Technology: Emma  
Upcoming  
Emma is an online, mass marketing email platform that DT uses to send email communications about planned maintenance, service upgrades, and other news and operations items.

Department of Technology: Local  
Area Networks in City-Owned  
Housing  
Upcoming  
Local Area Networks in City-Owned Housing

Department of Technology:  
Outdoor Facility Cameras  
Surveillance Technology Policy  
August 4, 2021  
Outdoor Facility Cameras

Department of Technology

Outdoor Facility Cameras

Surveillance Impact Report

August 4, 2021

Outdoor Facility Cameras

## District Attorney

District Attorney: Surveillance

Technology Exemption

Certification

August 19, 2021

The District Attorney's Office letter to the Controller certifying exemption from compliance to avoid interference with investigative or prosecutorial functions.

## Ethics Commission

No surveillance technology inventory reported to date

## Fine Arts Museums

Fine Arts Museums: Bidtellect

Upcoming

The information collected by Bidtellect includes name, email address, password, telephone number, computer IP address, mobile device unique ID and real-time geographic information.

The combination of this data is then used to determine the interest and behaviors of a unique user so that Bidtellect can deliver personalized advertising content to them

#### Fine Arts Museums: CCTVs

##### Upcoming

Security cameras located at various locations - A TV system in which signals are not publicly distributed, but monitored, primarily for surveillance and security purposes. Relies on strategic placement of cameras and observation of the camera's input on monitors somewhere

#### Fine Arts Museums: Data Studio

##### Upcoming

Advertisement integration and analytics - A Google product that helps prepare data visualizations by providing the resources to turn data into informative, easy to share, and fully customizable dashboards and reports. To use it, must connect data sets such as those from Google Marketing Platform products, Google consumer products, databases, updated CSV files, or social media platforms.

#### Fine Arts Museums: Datorama

##### Upcoming

Visualization platform

#### Fine Arts Museums: Facebook

##### Business Manager

##### Upcoming

A free platform that runs and tracks ads, manages pages and ad accounts, or allow third-parties manage ad placements, etc. It helps advertisers integrate Facebook marketing efforts across the organization's business with external partners

#### Fine Arts Museums: Falcon

##### Upcoming

This Social Media listening tool tracks a user's interactions with the museum's social media channels over time. I.e. whether they have commented on posts in the past in addition to the current one



## Fine Arts Museums: Flashtalking

## Upcoming

Flashtalking does not collect or process directly-identifiable information, such as a person's name and email address, or hold sensitive data of any sort. Flashtalking's products and policies limit their collection and use of personal data to online identifiers such as IP addresses, cookie IDs and device IDs, which they use for fraud detection, engagement analysis, and advertising delivery.

## Fine Arts Museums: Google

## Adwords

## Upcoming

Search ad platform - Google's advertising system through which advertisers bid on certain keywords in order for their clickable ads to appear in Google's search results. Advertisers pay for these clicks. Also known as "Google Ads"

## Fine Arts Museums: Google

## Analytics

## Upcoming

A free tracking tool offered by Google that shows how visitors use a website. A tracking code is added to the website so Google Analytics knows to track website visitors and any actions they perform. When a user visits the website, Google Analytics drops a cookie on the user's browser. Cookies are small files that contain information about the user's activities. Using these cookies, Google Analytics will know how a user behaves on your website and then collect this information. The reporting feature shows the demographics and geographic region of website visitors (i.e. age, gender), among other characteristics (interests, behavior, mobile, etc.).

## Fine Arts Museums: MailChimp

## Upcoming

Mailchimp may gather personal information such as name, email address or telephone number. Mailchimp may also automatically

139

gather information such as information about a user's device such as IP address. Mailchimp uses cookies and other tracking technologies.

Fine Arts Museums: Meraki

Upcoming

Cloud-controlled WiFi, routing, and security

Fine Arts Museums: Optmyzr

Upcoming

Optimizes advertisers' pay-per-click advertising. It assembles raw AdWords and Bing Ads information into comprehensive visualizations so advertisement account managers can follow highlights and trends and guide their ad placement strategies. It relies on robust automation that is enabled and enhanced with AdWords scripts.

Fine Arts Museums: The Trade

Desk

Upcoming

The information collected by The Trade Desk includes internet protocol (IP) addresses, browser type, internet service provider (ISP), referring/exit pages, operating system, date/time stamp, and clickstream data. This data is gathered using several different methods including log files, cookies, clear gifs, and social media features.

## Fire Department

Fire Department: 360-Degree

Cameras on Department Apparatus  
(Fire Trucks)

Upcoming

360-degree cameras attached to department apparatus (fire trucks) assist drivers in seeing other people or objects around them. Cameras record footage on a 30-hour loop.

Fire Department: Body-Worn  
Cameras Surveillance Impact  
Report

October 21, 2021

Body-worn cameras

Fire Department: Body-Worn  
Cameras Surveillance Technology  
Policy

October 21, 2021

Body-Worn Cameras

Fire Department: Critical Mention  
Upcoming

Critical Mention is software designed to monitor social media and traditional media.

Fire Department: Drone  
Surveillance Impact Report

August 4, 2021

Drone

Fire Department: Drone  
Surveillance Technology Policy

August 4, 2021

Drone

Fire Department: Mounted  
Cameras on Mobile Command  
Vehicle

Upcoming

Vehicle has mounted cameras for monitoring of surrounding area through live video feed.

Fire Department: Surveillance  
Cameras Surveillance Impact  
Report

August 4, 2021  
Mounted security cameras at Department facilities.

Fire Department: Surveillance  
Cameras Surveillance Technology  
Policy

August 4, 2021

Mounted security cameras at Department facilities.

Fire Department: Survey Monkey  
Upcoming

An online survey software that helps organizations or individual users create and run professional online surveys.

## Health Service System

No surveillance technology inventory reported to date

## Human Rights Commission

No surveillance technology inventory reported to date

## Human Services Agency



January 19, 2022

### Mayor Breed's Attack on San Francisco's Surveillance Ordinance is Misguided

San Francisco-In a lengthy press release issued on January 18, 2022 by the office of San Francisco mayor London Breed, the mayor declares her intention to water down San Francisco's surveillance ordinance, whether by supervisor vote or by ballot initiative. The release seeks, **inaccurately**, to claim the ordinance is preventing the San Francisco Police Department from using Business Improvement District owned cameras to monitor retail corridors.

As evidence, Breed points to the *Williams v San Francisco* case, filed by the ACLU of Northern California and the Electronic Frontier Foundation. However, that case involves the use of private cameras to monitor protests against police brutality after the murder of George Floyd. Breed states in her press release that protests and First Amendment protected activities should not be monitored remotely by private camera networks by the Police Department. The looting that did occur in San Francisco around the time of the protests was not prevented by SFPD's real-time access to the camera network.

San Francisco's surveillance ordinance **does not** prevent the use of any equipment or technology besides the use of facial recognition, which is banned. It simply requires the creation of a usage policy and impact report that is reviewed and approved by the Board of Supervisors. If the San Francisco Police Department wants to use the cameras under certain circumstances, all they need to do is ask and describe the specific circumstances when use would be allowed. That request was never made and now it is almost three years since the ordinance was passed, and the equipment was used without ever doing so. Now the intent seems to be to revise the surveillance ordinance so that it never will and that private camera networks can be used with impunity.

X Oakland Privacy's advocacy director Tracy Rosenberg commented: *The use of any surveillance technology with impunity is a recipe for disaster. We've seen the building of a surveillance state with just these kinds of demands for unfettered and unregulated use. "Trust us" is not the answer for technology. It's having clear rules of the road. San Francisco's mayor and police department saying "we don't want no stinking rules" is really disappointing. Rules are not "an obstacle," they are a safety measure.* X

San Francisco's mayor goes on to provide two scenarios for this unfettered and unregulated use of private camera networks by the police department. The first expands the existing exemption for serious threats of injury or death to a person to include "organized theft or robbery."

The second imposes a waiver of any rules when there is an "open-air drug market" or when crime statistics have increased in a 14-day period. It isn't clear whether the waiver applies to a particular block where drug dealing is said to occur, an entire neighborhood like the Tenderloin or the entire City. To the best of our knowledge, the private camera networks in San Francisco's downtown area are in Union Square and operated by the business improvement district, and aren't located in the Tenderloin. The other camera network close to downtown in San Francisco is in Japantown. It isn't clear what impact real-time police access to cameras in Japantown and Union Square would have on the Tenderloin.

MARCH 15, 2023

CHRIS WARD KLINE

250 KEARNY ST

618

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108

415-513-9334

RE: EXPLANATION OF INTRO ALL PAGES 1-12

Pg 1-2: DOJ critiquing proposed Gang Injunction in 2006 that would have utilized surveillance type of equipment. A few people and agencies did without the injunction and placed people on surveillance without court order or paperwork, then simply state that the paperwork doesn't exist because there was no warrant. If person continues to make waves, they attempt at all costs to claim the suspect, claimant or plaintiff as having mental health issues.

With this, you can 'isolate or quarantine' a person from his friends and family. Public Health uses this same surveillance for epidemics, sheriff and police to place people on gang surveillance (that the law didn't catch, so to teach them a lesson) and the mayor or others, place political dissidents on that pose a political threat. DEM (Department of Emergency Management) is the agency that collects and saves all the information, but they too, can utilize in an illegal manner. This type of surveillance is simply called Cyberstalking which will clearly show the city and county of San Francisco has the devices, the technology and equipment to store such behavior. A check and balance allows the state of California to have a copy of these files, as does the DOJ, Department of Commerce, Labor, Intelligence Community, Health and Human Services, amongst other county, state and federal partners due to protections and potential violations of the Constitution.

Pg 3: 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment Equal Protection and Other Rights state cannot deprive any person of life, liberty or property without due process of law....

Pg 4: 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment S1.5.2 right to be free from official stigmatization ref: 1971 Wisconsin v. Constantineau

Pg 5: 14 Amendment s1.5.3 Property Deprivations and Due Process – No state shall make or ENFORCE any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States

Pg 6: Cyberstalking to include equipment, technology and prohibitions to include all electronic forms of communications


Pg 7: Penalties for Cyberstalking include imprisonment for 2, 3, or 4 years and/or a fine of up to \$10,000 – one of the main reasons every would deny at all costs to prevent release of files



Pg 8: Document on SFgov website listing a portion of agencies and departments that utilize an app and application called One System. Please notate the numbers of listed agencies to include the Department of Public Health, UCSF, Swords to Plowshares, Veterans Affairs – THIS IS A PARTIAL LIST AND I WILL AT A FUTURE DATE PROVIDE A COMPLETE LIST OF ALL SAN FRANCISCO AGENCIES UNDER THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Pg. 9: Document on SFgov website that list applicable codes of professional conduct that would call under

- 1) SFPD
- 2) SHERIFF
- 3) PUBLIC HEALTH
- 4) DEM
- 5) Ultimately the Mayor's Office as she uses behavioral surveillance staff, and authorized \$53 million to fund Urban Alchemy, which every individual is placed on electronic surveillance, which is monitored by the Sheriff, SFPD and Mayor's Office through their devices, emails and phone numbers. So if I walk by one of these individuals, any one of the aforementioned agencies can bounce the surveillance and leave it on me for as long as they want.

 An official website of the United States government, Department of Justice.  
[Here's how you know](#)



## U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE OFFICE OF JUSTICE PROGRAMS

[Home](#) / [About NCJRS](#) / [Virtual Library](#)

# San Francisco's Proposed Gang Injunction: What Will it Mean, What Are the Problems, and How Will it Work?

**NCJ Number:** 218338

**Date Published:** November 2006

**Length:** 4 pages

### Annotation

After reviewing a proposed preliminary injunction being sought by San Francisco's City Attorney against individuals it believes are members or associates of an alleged street gang called Oakdale Mob, this paper identifies problems with such an injunction and considers the likelihood of its effectiveness.

### Abstract

An injunction is a court order that prohibits a named person or persons from continuing a particular activity named in the injunction. The proposed injunction against the Oakdale Mob aims to stop a public nuisance alleged to be illegal drug sales and possession, possession of illegal firearms, and assault with a firearm. The injunction would impose 11 specific restrictions on the activities of certain individuals identified by the city as gang members or associates. These restrictions would include a 10 pm curfew, a prohibition against associating with any other gang member or associate in public, and other activities already prohibited by law. Gang injunctions present many problems due to the severe limitations they impose on individual rights. One of the most commonly debated issues is injunctions' casting

of a broad net that may mistakenly restrict the movements and associations of innocent people who have not engaged in behavior that violates a law. The short-term effects of gang injunctions generally show decreased crime rates in neighborhoods affected; however, over a long period of time (more than 1 year), the effects are marginal or minimal. Injunctions are more likely to have a positive long-term effect if accompanied by the development of constructive community programs for youth who may be attracted to or associated with gangs.

### Additional Details

**Corporate Author:**

Ctr on Juvenile and Criminal Justice 40 Boardman Place, San Francisco, CA 94103, United States

**Sale Source:**

Ctr on Juvenile and Criminal Justice 40 Boardman Place, San Francisco, CA 94103, United States

**Publication Format:**

Document (Online)

**Publication Type:**

Legislation/Policy Analysis

**Language:**

English

**Country:**

United States of America

**Note:**

\*This document is currently unavailable from NCJRS. Downloaded May 7, 2007.

---

### Downloads

**No download available**

---

### Availability

INTRO<sub>502</sub> All Pg 2

## Fourteenth Amendment Equal Protection and Other Rights

Amdt14.1 Overview of Fourteenth Amendment, Equal Protection and Rights of Citizens

Amdt14.2 State Action Doctrine

### Section 1 Rights

All persons born or naturalized in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and of the State wherein they reside. No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws.

INTRO - All pg 3

In some cases, the Court also appeared to expand the notion of liberty to include the right to be free from official stigmatization, finding that the threat of such stigmatization could in and of itself require due process.<sup>12</sup> Thus, in the 1971 case *Wisconsin v. Constantineau*, the Court invalidated a statutory scheme in which persons could be labeled "excessive drinkers" without any opportunity for a hearing and rebuttal, and could then be barred from places where alcohol was served.<sup>13</sup> Without discussing the source of the entitlement, the Court noted that the governmental action at issue impugned the individual's "reputation, honor, or integrity."<sup>14</sup>

By contrast, in the 1976 case *Paul v. Davis*, the Court appeared to retreat from recognizing damage to reputation alone, holding instead that the liberty interest extended only to those situations where loss of one's reputation also resulted in the loss of a statutory entitlement.<sup>15</sup> In *Davis*, the police had included plaintiff's photograph and name on a list of "active shoplifters" circulated to merchants without an opportunity for notice or hearing. The Court rejected the constitutional challenge, holding that state law "does not extend to respondent any legal guarantee of present enjoyment of reputation which has been altered as a result of petitioners' actions. Rather, his interest in reputation is simply one of a number which the State may protect against injury by virtue of its tort law, providing a forum for vindication of [that] interest by means of damage actions."<sup>16</sup> Thus, it appears that unless the government's official defamation has a specific negative effect on an entitlement, such as the denial of the right to obtain alcohol that occurred in *Constantineau*, there

14th Amendment § 1.5.2

INTRO - All Pg 5

## Amdt14.S1.5.3 Property Deprivations and Due Process

### Fourteenth Amendment, Section 1:

*All persons born or naturalized in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and of the State wherein they reside. No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws.*

---

INTRO-111 pg 5



## What Does Cyberstalking Consist Of?

Cyberstalking, as a criminal offense, consists of using the internet or other electronic means to harass another person.

The communication can be done through:

- Text messages,
- Social media comments,
- Direct messages,
- Email, and
- Other electronic forms of communication.



The actor typically engages in the behavior deliberately and persistently to annoy or harass someone. Sometimes the acts can be minor and cause a slight upset in the targeted person. Still, some cyberstalking cases are severe and disturbing and can lead to serious feelings of fear, stress, anxiety, or worry.

## Does California Prohibit Cyberstalking?

Yes, California makes it illegal for anyone to engage in a course of conduct that causes fear, annoyance, or terror. A course of conduct includes any action occurring two or more times over a certain period, regardless of how brief that is, likely to continue.

The state does not have a separate cyberstalking law. The elements of the offense are the same as those for traditional (or in-person) stalking. The statute includes online forms of stalking by having a provision stating that written credible threats include those made through electronic communication.

Electronic communication devices include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Telephones,
- Cell phones,
- Computers, and
- Video recorders.



Under California Penal Code § 646.9, stalking (and cyberstalking) consists of willful and malicious:

- Repetitive following, or
- Harassment involving credible threats.

INTRO - A11 Pg 6







The potential penalties include imprisonment for 2, 3, or 4 years and/or a fine of up to \$10,000. Cyberstalking is also a felony if the actor has been convicted of domestic violence or violating a restraining order. In that case, the possible punishments include a prison sentence of 2, 3, or 5 years.

Oct 28, 2022



INTRO - All pg 7

507

 العربية  简体中文  繁體中文  English  Filipino  Español

HOME ABOUT HSH SERVICES PARTNER RESOURCES PROJECTS AND PUBLIC POSTINGS  
CALENDAR COVID-19

You are here: Home / Partner Resources / Data Sharing and Privacy / Partner Agencies with ONE System Access

## Partner Agencies with ONE System Access

3rd Street Youth Center and Clinic

AIDS Housing Alliance

Asian Women's Shelter

Bay View Hunters Point Multipurpose Senior Services

Bernal Heights Neighborhood Center

Brilliant Corners

Catholic Charities CYO

Chinatown Community Development Corporation

Citizens Housing Corporation

Community Awareness & Treatment Services (CATS) Community Housing Partnership

161 508 INTRO - 11 PG 8

Compass Family Services

Conard House

Curry Senior Center (CC)

Delivering Innovation in Supportive Housing (DISH)

~~\*~~ Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing (HSH) Dolores Street Community Services

Episcopal Community Services (ECS)

Eviction Defense Collaborative

First Place for Youth

Five Keys Charter Schools & Programs

~~\*~~ Glide Community Housing

GP/TODCO Inc.

Hamilton Families

Heluna Health

Homeless Children's Network

Homeless Prenatal Program

Homeless Youth Alliance

Hospitality House

Huckleberry Youth Programs

John Stewart Company

Larkin Street Youth Services

509 162

INTRO - All PS 9

LGBT Center

Lyric

Mary Elizabeth Inn

Mercy Housing

Mission Housing Development Corporation

Mission Neighborhood Health Center

Positive Resource Center (PRC)

Providence Foundation

Raphael House

Reality House West

SafeHouse

Salvation Army

San Francisco Housing Authority

~~X~~ San Francisco Human Services Agency (HSA)

San Francisco Unified School District

~~X~~ SF Department of Public Health (DPH)

SF Health Plan

St Vincent de Paul Society

Swords to Plowshares

Tenderloin Housing Clinic

163  
510

INTRO-All PS 10

Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corporation (TNDC)

United Council of Human Services

University of California San Francisco

Automatic Translation Disclaimer

440 Turk Street  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
Contact Us

SEARCH

Search this website

---

© 2020-2022 San Francisco Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing. All Rights Reserved.

164  
511

FWTRO-All pg 11





**DEPARTMENT OF HOMELESSNESS AND SUPPORTIVE HOUSING**  
**STATEMENT OF INCOMPATIBLE ACTIVITIES**

**I. INTRODUCTION**

This Statement of Incompatible Activities is intended to guide officers and employees of the San Francisco Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing ("Department") about the kinds of activities that are incompatible with their public duties and therefore prohibited. For the purposes of this Statement, and except where otherwise provided, "officer" shall mean the executive director ("director"); and "employee" shall mean all employees of the Department.

This Statement is adopted under the provisions of San Francisco Campaign & Governmental Conduct Code ("C&GC Code") section 3.218. Engaging in the activities that are prohibited by this Statement may subject an officer or employee to discipline, up to and including possible termination of employment or removal from office, as well as to monetary fines and penalties. (C&GC Code § 3.242; Charter § 15.105.) Before an officer or employee is subjected to discipline or penalties for violation of this Statement, the officer or employee will have an opportunity to explain why the activity should not be deemed to be incompatible with their City duties. (C&GC Code § 3.218.) Nothing in this document shall modify or reduce any due process rights provided pursuant to the officer's or employee's collective bargaining agreement.

In addition to this Statement, officers and employees are subject to Department policies and State and local laws and rules governing the conduct of public officers and employees, including but not limited to:

- Political Reform Act, California Government Code § 87100 et seq.;
- California Government Code § 1090;
- San Francisco Charter;
- San Francisco Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code;
- San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance;
- Applicable Civil Service Rules;
- Applicable codes of professional conduct, including, but not limited to:

- \* → ○ California Board of Behavioral Sciences; *← Applies to SFPD, Sheriff, etc*
- Business and Professions Code of California; *Public Health, OEM, etc*
- Title 16, California Code of Regulations;
- \* → ○ California Health and Safety Code;
- \* → ○ California Penal Code;
- \* → ○ California Welfare and Institutions Code;
- California Family Code;
- California Corporations Code;

**Leger, Cheryl (BOS)**

---

**From:** Chris K. <ckblueaqua@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 15, 2023 5:48 PM  
**To:** Lambert, Alison (SHF)  
**Cc:** SOTF, (BOS); Miyamoto, Paul (SHF)  
**Subject:** Re: SOTF - Notice of Complaint Committee hearing, March 21, 2023 - 5:30 PM; Room 408, City Hall

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SOTF,

I request that the Sheriff's office attends in person as being on Cisco WebEx, and other phone systems by the city, gives that individual the ability to influence members of the committee illegally - this has already been submitted and falls under Cyberstalking.

I can provide documentation to show how this works but this would replicate the evidence already submitted.

I would ask the City Attorney recuse himself from this decision and either ask the District Attorney or State Attorney General for guidance with my concerns unless it already has been decided.

It seems like the Sheriff is thumbing their nose at the allegations with evidence of cyberstalking, only to disrupt, interfere and alter decisions by using electronic communications equipment illegally.

It is also my understanding, by City Attorney's Office, that Committee Members for SOFT must be present for votes and all parties need to be present during all hearings. That was established at th March meeting that I attended and impacted more than one person.

I'm asking for city attorney to recuse himself as he has reached out to each department and already provided guidance on how to proceed with their written responses.

Respectfully,

Chris Ward Kline

On Wed, Mar 15, 2023, 4:49 PM Lambert, Alison (SHF) <[alison.lambert@sfgov.org](mailto:alison.lambert@sfgov.org)> wrote:

Please see the Sheriff's Office Supplemental response to Complaint No. 23012(B). The Sheriff's Office respectfully requests that the Complaint Committee allow the Sheriff's Office to appear by telephone for the hearing on March 21, 2023. I will appear on behalf of the Sheriff's Office.

Thank you,

Alison Lambert, Legal Assistant  
Central Records and Warrants Unit  
Office of the Sheriff  
City and County of San Francisco  
850 Bryant Street RM 460  
[Alison.Lambert@sfgov.org](mailto:Alison.Lambert@sfgov.org)

*\*Portions of this communication may be confidential and privileged.*

---

From: **SOTF, (BOS)** <[sotf@sfgov.org](mailto:sotf@sfgov.org)>  
Date: Fri, Mar 3, 2023, 3:32 PM  
Subject: SOTF - Notice of Complaint Committee hearing, March 21, 2023 - 5:30 PM; Room 408, City Hall  
To: Michael Petrelis <[mpetrelis@aol.com](mailto:mpetrelis@aol.com)>, Heckel, Hank (MYR) <[hank.heckel@sfgov.org](mailto:hank.heckel@sfgov.org)>, Hussey, Deirdre (DPH) <[deirdre.hussey@sfdph.org](mailto:deirdre.hussey@sfdph.org)>, [ckblueaqua@gmail.com](mailto:ckblueaqua@gmail.com) <[ckblueaqua@gmail.com](mailto:ckblueaqua@gmail.com)>, Lim, Victor (DEM) <[victor.lim@sfgov.org](mailto:victor.lim@sfgov.org)>, Pojman, Natalie (DPH) <[natalie.pojman@sfdph.org](mailto:natalie.pojman@sfdph.org)>, Miyamoto, Paul (SHF) <[paul.miyamoto@sfgov.org](mailto:paul.miyamoto@sfgov.org)>, Toomey, William (POL) <[William.Toomey@sfgov.org](mailto:William.Toomey@sfgov.org)>  
Cc: Young, Victor (BOS) <[victor.young@sfgov.org](mailto:victor.young@sfgov.org)>, Somera, Alisa (BOS) <[alisa.somera@sfgov.org](mailto:alisa.somera@sfgov.org)>, Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <[angela.calvillo@sfgov.org](mailto:angela.calvillo@sfgov.org)>

Good Afternoon:

Notice is hereby given that the Complaint Committee of the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force (Task Force) shall hold hearings on complaints listed below to: 1) determine if the Task Force has jurisdiction; 2) review the merits of the complaints; and/or 3) issue a report and/or recommendation to the Task Force. ***Please confirm your attendance for this hearing.***

Date: March 21, 2023

Location: Room 408, City Hall

Time: 5:30 p.m.

Complainants: Your attendance is required for this meeting/hearing.

Respondents/Departments: Pursuant to Section 67.21 (e) of the Ordinance, the custodian of records or a representative of your department, who can speak to the matter, is required at the meeting/hearing.

**File No. 22134** Complaint filed by Michael Petrelis against Mayor London Breed for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, by failing to respond to a public records request in a timely and/or complete manner.

**File No. 22135** Complaint filed by Michael Petrelis against Mayor London Breed for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, by failing to respond to a public records request in a timely and/or complete manner.

**File No. 23013A** Complaint filed by Chris Ward Kline against Department of Public Health for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, 67.24(b)(o), and 67.25 by failing to respond to a request for public records in a timely and/or complete manner.

**File No. 23013B** Complaint filed by Chris Ward Kline against Office of the Sheriff for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, 67.24(b)(o), and 67.25 by failing to respond to a request for public records in a timely and/or complete manner.

**File No. 23013C** Complaint filed by Chris Ward Kline against Mayors Office for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, 67.24(b)(o), and 67.25 by failing to respond to a request for public records in a timely and/or complete manner.

**File No. 23013D** Complaint filed by Chris Ward Kline against Department of Emergency Management for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, 67.24(b)(o), and 67.25 by failing to respond to a request for public records in a timely and/or complete manner.

**File No. 23013E** Complaint filed by Chris Ward Kline against San Francisco Police Department (E) e for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, 67.24(b)(o), and 67.25 by failing to respond to a request for public records in a timely and/or complete manner.

**Documentation (evidence supporting/disputing complaint)**

For a document to be considered, it must be received at least five (5) working days before the hearing (see attached Public Complaint Procedure).

For inclusion in the agenda packet, supplemental/supporting documents must be received by **5:00 pm, March 15, 2023.**

Cheryl Leger

Assistant Clerk, Board of Supervisors

Tel: 415-554-7724

Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

*Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*





# Respondent's Response

**Leger, Cheryl (BOS)**

---

**From:** Lambert, Alison (SHF)  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 23, 2023 4:45 PM  
**To:** SOTF, (BOS); ckblueaqua@gmail.com  
**Subject:** RE: SOTF - Complaint Filed with the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force - File No. 23013(B)  
**Attachments:** 23013B SFSO Response SOTF\_.pdf; 23013B SFSO Documentation Index\_.pdf

Please see the attached documents from the Sheriff's Office submitted in response to the Complaint Filed with the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force - File No. 23013(B).

Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions or concerns.

Alison Lambert, Legal Assistant  
Central Records and Warrants Unit  
Office of the Sheriff  
City and County of San Francisco  
850 Bryant Street RM 460  
[Alison.Lambert@sfgov.org](mailto:Alison.Lambert@sfgov.org)

*\*Portions of this communication may be confidential and privileged.*

**From:** SOTF, (BOS) <[sotf@sfgov.org](mailto:sotf@sfgov.org)>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 15, 2023 3:46 PM  
**To:** Miyamoto, Paul (SHF) <[paul.miyamoto@sfgov.org](mailto:paul.miyamoto@sfgov.org)>  
**Cc:** [ckblueaqua@gmail.com](mailto:ckblueaqua@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** SOTF - Complaint Filed with the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force - File No. 23013(B)

Good Afternoon:

The Sheriff's Department has been named as a Respondent in the attached complaint filed with the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force (SOTF). In an effort to provide the SOTF information in an easy to understand format the SOTF has prepared a revised request format for responding to complaints (attached). **The SOTF requests that you submit your response to our office the allegations including any and all supporting documents, recordings, electronic media, etc., to the Task Force within five (5) business days of receipt of this notice.** In developing and submitting your response, please use the attached instructions, "Preparing San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Respondents Materials," to address your defense of this complaint. This is your opportunity to provide a detailed explanation to allow the Task Force to be fully informed in considering your response prior to the meeting.

Please refer to the File Number 23013(B) when submitting any new information and/or supporting documents pertaining to this complaint.

Cheryl Leger  
Assistant Clerk, Board of Supervisors  
Tel: 415-554-7724



Click to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

*Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*



**OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF  
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE  
ROOM 456, CITY HALL  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94102



**PAUL MIYAMOTO  
SHERIFF**

February 23, 2023

Via Electronic Mail: [sotf@sfgov.org](mailto:sotf@sfgov.org) and [ckblueaqua@gmail.com](mailto:ckblueaqua@gmail.com)

Sunshine Ordinance Task Force  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl., Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Complaint No. 23012(B)

Dear Sunshine Ordinance Task Force:

The Sheriff's Office responds to Complaint File No. 23023(B) filed by Christ Kline for allegations of violations of SF Administrative Code sections 67.21, 67.24(b)(o), and 67.25 by failing to respond to a request for public records in a timely and/or complete manner.

The Complainant submitted four separate public records requests to the San Francisco's Sheriff's Office on April 12, 2021, July 5, 2021, October 19, 2022, and December 13, 2022. The Sheriff's Office will address each records request from the Complainant below.

Public Records Request Number P000320-041221

Page 33 of the Complaint attached a copy of the Complainant's public records request received by the Sheriff's Office on April 12, 2021. The Sheriff's Office denies all allegations of violations of SF Administrative Code sections 67.21, 67.24(b)(o), and 67.25. The Sheriff's Office provided a timely response to Complainant on April 13, 2021. The Sheriff's Office responded that a diligent search was performed, and that no such records existed. As no responsive records existed, Public Records Request Number P000320-041221 was closed. See Exhibit "A" to the Sheriff's Office Documentation Index.

Subpoena Duces Tecum Number S000127-070521

Page 55 of the Complaint attached a copy of the Complainant's Subpoena Duces Tecum directed to the Sheriff's Office, and received by the Sheriff's Office on July 5, 2021. The Sheriff's Office denies all allegations of violations of SF Administrative Code sections 67.21, 67.24(b)(o), and 67.25. The Sheriff's Office provided a timely response to Complainant on July 6, 2021. While the Complainant called this request a Subpoena Duces Tecum, no subpoena was actually included with his request. The Sheriff's Office responded to the Complainant on July 6, 2021, stating that a thorough and diligent search was performed, but found no responsive records. As no responsive records existed, Subpoena Duces Tecum Number S000127-070521 was closed. See Exhibit "B" to the Sheriff's Office Documentation Index.

Public Records Request Number P001470-101922

Page 31 of Complaint attached a copy of the Complainant's public records request received by the Sheriff's Office on October 19, 2022. The Sheriff's Office denies all allegations of violations of SF

Sunshine Ordinance Task Force  
February 23, 2023  
Page 2 of 2

Administrative Code sections 67.21, 67.24(b)(o), and 67.25. The Sheriff's Office provided timely responses to Complainant on October 25, 2022, October 31, 2022 and November 10, 2022.

On October 31, 2022, the Sheriff's Office notified the Complainant that a 14 day extension was necessary in order to determine if any responsive records existed. This 14 day extension was in full compliance with California Government Code section 7922.535 (formerly California Government Code section 6253(c)).

On November 10, 2022, the Sheriff's Office located one responsive document to Complainant's public records request and released it to the Complainant. A copy of the one responsive documents is attached as Exhibit "C" to the Sheriff's Office Documentation Index. Regarding the remainder of the Complainant's request for records relating to the app "One System", the Sheriff's Office had no responsive records. The Sheriff's Office also referred the Complainant to the Sheriff's Office Internal Affairs Unit, as the Complainant indicated that he was not satisfied with the Sheriff's Office responses to his public records requests.

As no other responsive records existed, Public Records Request Number P001470-101922 was closed on November 10, 2022. See Exhibit "D" to the Sheriff's Office Documentation Index.

After the Sheriff's Office closed Public Records Request Number P001470-101922 because no responsive records existed, the Complainant emailed the Sheriff's Office twice on December 4, 2022 and claimed that portions of his email chain were deleted "to obstruct getting information."


Public Records Request Number P001596-121322

Page 13 of the Complaint attached a copy of the Complainant's public records request received by the Sheriff's Office on December 13, 2022. The Sheriff's Office acknowledges noncompliance with SF Administrative Code section 67.25, due to a clerical calendaring error, and there are likely no records responsive to Complainant's request. The Sheriff's Office denies the remaining allegations of violations of SF Administrative Code sections 67.21 and 67.24(b)(o). The Sheriff's Office respectfully requests additional time to work with the Complainant to determine whether any responsive records exist.

For the reasons stated above, we respectfully request that the complaint be dismissed as to the Sheriff's Office requests numbered P000320-041221, S000127-070521, and P001470-101922.

Please contact me if you have any questions or if there is any further information you may require.

Sincerely,



Alison Lambert  
Legal Assistant  
San Francisco Sheriff's Office  
Central Records and Warrants Unit

cc: Sheriff Paul Miyamoto; Captain Stephanie Colmenero; Lieutenant Felicia Jamison





**OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF  
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

I DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE  
ROOM 456, CITY HALL  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94102



**PAUL MIYAMOTO  
SHERIFF**

February 23, 2023

Re: Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Complaint No. 23013(B)

Documentation Index of San Francisco Sheriff's Office Response to Complaint No. 23013(B)

EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION
A	Public Records Request Number P000320-041221 from Complainant, along with the Sheriff's response, stating that no records exist
B	Subpoena Duces Tecum S000127-070521 from Complainant, along with the Sheriff's response, stating that no records exist
C	Document provided to Complainant on November 10, 2022 in response to Public Records Request Number P001470-101922
D	Public Records Request Number P001470-101922 from Complainant, along with the Sheriff's response, stating that no other records exist
E	Email responses from Complainant regarding Public Records Request Number P001470-101922 claiming obstruction

EXHIBIT "A"

On 4/13/2021 7:54:53 AM, James Wilson wrote to **Chris Kline** (ckblueaqua@gmail.com):  
**Subject:** [Records Center] Public Records Request :: P000320-041221  
**Body:**  
RE: Public Records Request of April 12, 2021, Reference # P000320-041221

Dear Chris Kline,

On April 12, 2021, the San Francisco Sheriff's Office received your request for records pursuant to the California Public Records Act (CPRA) wherein you requested the following:

*Today at 1335 hrs, I received a call from number 628-227-3227 which was used to place a survey and surveillance on my phone 415-203-1662. The surveillance was and is illegal and there are no reasons for surveillance to be placed on me, friends or family.*

*I'm requesting that these records are turned over to me immediately in order to file criminal charges and to start civil proceedings on all parties involved.*

*The surveillance has been occurring regularly off and on since approximately 09/2000 and lately 24/7 from San Francisco Public Safety.*

*I will be requesting similar documentation from Alameda County, the state of California, FBI and Health and Human Services.*

*I will request a subpoena for these records as well.*

*Respectfully,*

**Chris Ward Kline**

The Sheriff's Office has performed a diligent search and determined that no such record exists. The Sheriff's Office has no duty to create a record that does not exist at the time of the request. *Sander v. State Bar of California* (2013) 58 Cal.4th 300.

This completes the Sheriff's office response to your request under the California Public Records Act. If you would like to make a new public records request of the Sheriff's Office, please make your request at the [Records Request System](#).

Sincerely,

James Wilson  
Retired Deputy #1093  
San Francisco Sheriff's Office  
Central Records & Warrants Unit

On 4/12/2021 4:31:09 PM, System Generated Message to **Chris Kline** (ckblueaqua@gmail.com):

**Body:**

The San Francisco Sheriff's Office received a public records request from you on April 12, 2021.

Your request mentioned the following: *Today at 1335 hrs, I received a call from number 628-227-3227 which was used to place a survey and surveillance on my phone 415-203-1662. The surveillance was and is illegal and there are no reasons for surveillance to be placed on me, friends or family. I'm requesting that these records are turned over to me immediately in order to file criminal charges and to start civil proceedings on all parties involved. The surveillance has been occurring regularly off and on since approximately 09/2000 and lately 24/7 from San Francisco Public Safety. I will be requesting similar documentation from Alameda County, the state of California, FBI and Health and Human Services. I will request a subpoena for these records as well.*  
Respectfully, Chris Ward Kline

Your request was received and given the reference number P000320-041221 for tracking purposes. You will receive a response to your request on or before April 22, 2021.

Sincerely,

San Francisco Sheriff's Office

On 4/12/2021 4:31:08 PM, **Chris Kline** (ckblueaqua@gmail.com) wrote:  
Request Created on Public Portal

EXHIBIT "B"

On 7/6/2021 6:47:57 AM, James Wilson wrote to **Chris Kline** (ckblueaqua@gmail.com):

**Subject:** [Records Center] Subpoena Duces Tecum :: S000127-070521

**Body:**

RE: Subpoena Duces Tecum of July 05, 2021, Reference # S000127-070521

Dear Chris Kline,

On *July 05, 2021*, the San Francisco Sheriff's Office received your request for records pursuant to the California Public Records Act (CPRA) wherein you requested the following:

***All public health records to include epidemiology, behavioral health surveillance to include communication from county to and from Chris Ward Kline. Information should include all communication transmitted through Public Broadcast, Emergency Broadcast and Public Health Communication and any additional surveillance networks within San Francisco County via voice synthesizers and utilization of text to voice/speech and voice/speech to text during all periods from 9/2001 through July 4, 2021.***

***It should be noted that plaintiff was not in prison nor affiliated with a gang; however, Plaintiff is requesting electronic surveillance listed above but also any involving communication with gang members and current and/or former prisoners.***

*Respectfully,*

***Chris Ward Kline  
415-203-1662***

***p.s. please do not utilize my cell phone number or my email to place electronic surveillance based on this request.***

The Sheriff's Office performed a diligent and thorough search, and found no records responsive to your request. We suggest you try contacting the San Francisco Department of Public Health, <https://www.sfdph.org/dph/default.asp> as this agency may have responsive records.

This completes the Sheriff's Office response to your request under the California Public Records Act. If you would like to make a new public records request of the Sheriff's Office, please make your request at [Records Request System](#).

Sincerely,

James Wilson  
Retired Deputy #1093



San Francisco Sheriff's Office  
Central Records & Warrants Unit

On 7/5/2021 12:26:28 PM, System Generated Message to **Chris Kline** (ckblueaqua@gmail.com):

**Body:**

The San Francisco Sheriff's Office received a subpoena duces tecum from you on July 05, 2021.

Your request mentioned the following: *All public health records to include epidemiology, behavioral health surveillance to include communication from county to and from Chris Ward Kline. Information should include all communication transmitted through Public Broadcast, Emergency Broadcast and Public Health Communication and any additional surveillance networks within San Francisco County via voice synthesizers and utilization of text to voice/speech and voice/speech to text during all periods from 9/2001 through July 4, 2021. It should be noted that plaintiff was not in prison nor affiliated with a gang; however, Plaintiff is requesting electronic surveillance listed above but also any involving communication with gang members and current and/or former prisoners. Respectfully, Chris Ward Kline 415-203-1662 p.s. please do not utilize my cell phone number or my email to place electronic surveillance based on this request.*

Your request was received and given the reference number S000127-070521 for tracking purposes. You will receive a response to your request on or before July 15, 2021.

Sincerely,

San Francisco Sheriff's Office

On 7/5/2021 12:26:27 PM, **Chris Kline** (ckblueaqua@gmail.com) wrote:  
Request Created on Public Portal

## EXHIBIT "C"



**State of California**  
**Department of Health Care Services**  
**Certification**

*In accordance with applicable provisions of the Health and Safety Code of California and its rules, regulations, and standards, the Department of Health Care Services hereby certifies:*

**SAN FRANCISCO SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT**

*to operate and maintain an alcohol and/or other drug program using the following name and location:*

**POST RELEASE EDUCATION PROGRAM (P.R.E.P)**  
**70 OAK GROVE STREET**  
**SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94107**

*This certification extends to the following level of alcohol and/or other drug program services:*

**OUTPATIENT SERVICES**

**Certification Number:**  
**380083BN**

**Effective Date: 06/01/2021**  
**Expiration Date: 05/31/2023**

**JANELLE ITO-ORILLE, Division Chief**

Complaints regarding services provided in this facility should be directed to:  
Licensing and Certification Division  
Complaints Coordinator, Complaints Section, MS 2801  
Post Office Box 997413, Sacramento, California 95899-7413

PHONE: (877) 685-8333 / (916) 322-2911 – FAX: (916) 440-5094 – E-mail: [SUDComplaints@dhcs.ca.gov](mailto:SUDComplaints@dhcs.ca.gov)

***Post in a prominent location. This Certification is not transferable.***

## EXHIBIT "D"

On 11/10/2022 12:04:25 PM, Alison Lambert wrote to Chris Kline (ckblueaqua@gmail.com):

**Subject:** [Records Center] Public Records Request :: P001470-101922

**Body:**

RE: Public Records Request of October 19, 2022, Reference # P001470-101922

Dear Chris Kline,

On *October 19, 2022*, the San Francisco Sheriff's Office received your request for records under the Public Records Act wherein you requested the following:

*All records within the Sheriffs Department, the Department of Emergency Management and other agencies to include she Sheriff Department Community Programs and Engagement Programs to include but not limited too:*

*Current and valid P.R.E.P. certification from the California Department of Health and for all other records that are known to exist on a variety of incidents, issues, surveillance to include: interviewing gang members, interviewing homeless people, interacting with people on the app One System, public health surveillance and to include and not limited too:*

*All Central Records Systems to include but not limited to Electronic/Microphone/Physical and Technical Surveillances for both main file records and cross reference files.*

*These records are known to exist as all apps that utilize GPS and GPRS data, with One System and all other apps such as public health, public safety and political surveillance to include any and all surveys and messages sent to any and devices specific to Chris Ward Kline.*

*a previous request for records was denied by Retired Deputy James Wilson which would be misconduct of a public official since the records do exist.*

---

*Clarification response:*

---

*I was asked for follow up on two specific parts of my records request: 1) P.R.E.P. is the Post Release Education Program, certification #380083BN expired 5/31/2017. It is required by state law as the Sheriff conducts outpatient Alcohol and/or other Drug Services. California Department of Health Care Services issues the certification. 2) the App One System was purchased by the city and county of San Francisco from Bitfocus, Inc. and is used in all agencies within the city and county of San Francisco to multiple day to day functions, monitors SIGINT, is assigned a FCC channel, and used for Protection for elected officials, gang surveillance, public health day to day operations, public safety day to day operations. With it, you can program signals to go to peoples homes, cell phones, police vehicles, fire trucks, etc. and it is being used illegally by a few in San Francisco to influence people for various personal and political reasons. Simply put, I want all information that applies to me, impacted me, etc that was used on that FCC channel. You can contact this person's email shome.vasudevan@sfgov.org who has the certification which expires in the year 2030. SFLA*

**COMMUNICATIONS DEPT SAN FRANCISCO, SAN MATEO, SAN FRANCISCO, CA P:**  
**(650)821-3644 SY - Trunked Public Safety 700 MHz WQMR423 - Call Sign 90.523(A) -**  
**GOVERNMENT AGENCIES SAN FRANCISCO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT PUBLIC**  
**SAFETY RADIO SYSTEM** City Agency HSH is the largest agency in the city and county. It uses the app and issues credentials to agencies and individuals to, when done legally, to guide people to healthy decisions. When it is being done illegally, it can force people to move that they don't like, that don't vote for a specific person, or donate to a specific person or cause. Please let me know if there is additional information needed.

The Sheriff's Office responds to your public records request as follows: Thank you for clarifying your public records on

October 25, 2022. We have located the PREP certification records responsive to your request.

This record is available in the Records Request System. Please log in to your online account and retrieve the record.

Regarding your request for information on the App One System, the Sheriff's Office does not use the App One System, and therefore has no records responsive to your request.

The Sheriff's Office does not have records regarding recording devices specific to "Chris Ward Kline", and therefore has no records responsive to your request.

We have completed a review of your earlier submitted public records requests. Thorough and diligent searches for records were conducted, and the Sheriff's Office confirms that your requests were responded to properly and correctly closed because no responsive records exist. The Sheriff's Office neither withheld nor declined to provide records to you in both of your earlier submitted public records requests. If you wish to file a complaint, you may find more information about filing a complaint at [sfsheriff.com/whats-your-situation-file-complaint](https://sfsheriff.com/whats-your-situation-file-complaint).

This completes the Sheriff's Office response to your records request, and your request is now closed.

Sincerely,

Alison Lambert  
Legal Assistant  
San Francisco Sheriff's Office  
Central Records & Warrants Unit

On 10/31/2022 12:55:52 PM, Juliana Petani wrote to Chris Kline (ckblueaqua@gmail.com):

**Subject:** [Records Center] Public Records Request :: P001470-101922

**Body:**

RE: Public Records Request of October 19, 2022, Reference # P001470-101922

Dear Chris Kline,

On October 19, 2022, the San Francisco Sheriff's office received your request for records pursuant to the California Public Records Act (CPRA) wherein you requested the following:



*All records within the Sheriffs Department, the Department of Emergency Management and other agencies to include she Sheriff Department Community Programs and Engagement Programs to include but not limited too:*

*Current and valid P.R.E.P. certification from the California Department of Health and for all other records that are known to exist on a variety of incidents, issues, surveillance to include: interviewing gang members, interviewing homeless people, interacting with people on the app One System, public health surveillance and to include and not limited too:*

*All Central Records Systems to include but not limited to Electronic/Microphone/Physical and Technical Surveillances for both main file records and cross reference files.*

*These records are known to exist as all apps that utilize GPS and GPRS data, with One System and all other apps such as public health, public safety and political surveillance to include any and all surveys and messages sent to any and devices specific to Chris Ward Kline.*

*a previous request for records was denied by Retired Deputy James Wilson which would be misconduct of a public official since the records do exist.*

-----  
*Clarification response:*  
-----

*I was asked for follow up on two specific parts of my records request: 1) P.R.E.P. is the Post Release Education Program, certification #380083BN expired 5/31/2017. It is required by state law as the Sheriff conducts outpatient Alcohol and/or other Drug Services. California Department of Health Care Services issues the certification. 2) the App One System was purchased by the city and county of San Francisco from Bitfocus, Inc. and is used in all agencies within the city and county of San Francisco to multiple day to day functions, monitors SIGINT, is assigned a FCC channel, and used for Protection for elected officials, gang surveillance, public health day to day operations, public safety day to day operations. With it, you can program signals to go to peoples homes, cell phones, police vehicles, fire trucks, etc. and it is being used illegally by a few in San Francisco to influence people for various personal and political reasons. Simply put, I want all information that applies to me, impacted me, etc that was used on that FCC channel. You can contact this person's email shome.vasudevan@sfgov.org who has the certification which expires in the year 2030. SFLA COMMUNICATIONS DEPT SAN FRANCISCO, SAN MATEO, SAN FRANCISCO, CA P: (650)821-3644 SY - Trunked Public Safety 700 MHz WQMR423 - Call Sign 90.523(A) - GOVERNMENT AGENCIES SAN FRANCISCO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT PUBLIC SAFETY RADIO SYSTEM City Agency HSH is the largest agency in the city and county. It uses the app and issues credentials to agencies and individuals to, when done legally, to guide people to healthy decisions. When it is being done illegally, it can force people to move that they don't like, that don't vote for a specific person, or donate to a specific person or cause. Please let me know if there is additional information needed.*

The Sheriff's Office responds to your public records request as follows: Thank you for your clarification email. The Sheriff's office is conducting an extensive review to locate and review responsive records in order to respond to your public records request. Please be advised that because of the need to consult with another unit in our department, the Sheriff's office is hereby invoking an extension of time of 14 days under Gov. Code section 6253(c). On or before November 11, 2022, the Sheriff's office will notify you if we locate any records that are responsive and not exempt from disclosure to your request, in addition to providing a date that non exempt records will be provided to you.

You will receive the Sheriff's office response no later than November 11, 2022.

Sincerely,

Juliana Petani  
Legal Assistant  
*San Francisco Sheriff's Office.*  
*Central Records & Warrants Unit*

**On 10/25/2022 1:39:37 PM, Chris Kline (ckblueaqua@gmail.com) wrote:**

I was asked for follow up on two specific parts of my records request: 1) P.R.E.P. is the Post Release Education Program, certification #380083BN expired 5/31/2017. It is required by state law as the Sheriff conducts outpatient Alcohol and/or other Drug Services. California Department of Health Care Services issues the certification. 2) the App One System was purchased by the city and county of San Francisco from Bitfocus, Inc. and is used in all agencies within the city and county of San Francisco to multiple day to day functions, monitors SIGINT, is assigned a FCC channel, and used for Protection for elected officials, gang surveillance, public health day to day operations, public safety day to day operations. With it, you can program signals to go to peoples homes, cell phones, police vehicles, fire trucks, etc. and it is being used illegally by a few in San Francisco to influence people for various personal and political reasons. Simply put, I want all information that applies to me, impacted me, etc that was used on that FCC channel. You can contact this person's email shome.vasudevan@sfgov.org who has the certification which expires in the year 2030. SFIA COMMUNICATIONS DEPT SAN FRANCISCO, SAN MATEO, SAN FRANCISCO, CA P: (650)821-3644 SY - Trunked Public Safety 700 MHz WQMR423 - Call Sign 90.523(A) - GOVERNMENT AGENCIES SAN FRANCISCO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT PUBLIC SAFETY RADIO SYSTEM City Agency HSH is the largest agency in the city and county. It uses the app and issues credentials to agencies and individuals to, when done legally, to guide people to healthy decisions. When it is being done illegally, it can force people to move that they don't like, that don't vote for a specific person, or donate to a specific person or cause. Please let me know if there is additional information needed.

On 10/25/2022 12:06:59 PM, Juliana Petani wrote to Chris Kline (ckblueaqua@gmail.com):

**Subject:** [Records Center] Public Records Request :: P001470-101922

**Body:**

RE: Public Records Request of October 19, 2022, Reference # P001470-101922

Dear Chris Kline,

On October 19, 2022, the San Francisco Sheriff's office received your request for records pursuant to the California Public Records Act (CPRA) wherein you requested the following:

*All records within the Sheriffs Department, the Department of Emergency Management and other agencies to include she Sheriff Department Community Programs and Engagement Programs to include but not limited too:*

*Current and valid P.R.E.P. certification from the California Department of Health and for all other records that are known to exist on a variety of incidents, issues, surveillance to include: interviewing gang members, interviewing homeless people, interacting with people on the app One System, public health surveillance and to include and not limited too:*

*All Central Records Systems to include but not limited to Electronic/Microphone/Physical and Technical Surveillances for both main file records and cross reference files.*

*These records are known to exist as all apps that utilize GPS and GPRS data, with One System and all other apps such as public health, public safety and political surveillance to include any and all surveys and messages sent to any and devices specific to Chris Ward Kline.*

*a previous request for records was denied by Retired Deputy James Wilson which would be misconduct of a public official since the records do exist.*

Additional clarification is needed in order to fulfill your request:

- Define P.R.E.P certification
- Define App One System

A reply must be received within ten (10) calendar days of the date this email was sent or your request will be closed and a new request will be required. Please respond in writing via the Records Request System so that there is a record of your response.

Upon receipt of your response, the department will further respond to your request as required by law.

Sincerely,

Juliana Petani  
Legal Assistant  
San Francisco Sheriff's Office  
Central Records & Warrants Unit

On 10/19/2022 11:38:00 AM, System Generated Message to **Chris Kline** (ckblueaqua@gmail.com):

**Body:**

The San Francisco Sheriff's Office received a public records request from you on October 19, 2022.

Your request mentioned the following: *All records within the Sheriffs Department, the Department of Emergency Management and other agencies to include she Sheriff Department Community Programs and Engagement Programs to include but not limited too: Current and valid P.R.E.P. certification from the California Department of Health and for all other records that are known to exist on a variety of incidents, issues, surveillance to include: interviewing gang members, interviewing homeless people, interacting with people on the app One System, public health surveillance and to include and not limited too: All Central Records Systems to include but not limited to Electronic/Microphone/Physical and Technical Surveillances for both main file records and cross reference files. These records are known to exist as all apps that utilize GPS and GPRS data, with One System and all other apps such as public health, public safety and political surveillance to include any and all surveys and messages sent to any and devices specific to Chris Ward Kline. a previous request for records was denied by Retired Deputy James Wilson which would be misconduct of a public official since the records do exist.*

Your request was received and given the reference number P001470-101922 for tracking purposes. You will receive a response to your request on or before October 31, 2022.

Sincerely,

San Francisco Sheriff's Office

On 10/19/2022 11:37:45 AM, **Chris Kline** (ckblueaqua@gmail.com) wrote:  
Request Created on Public Portal

On 12/4/2022 2:57:07 PM, **Chris Kline** (ckblueaqua@gmail.com) wrote:

TO: "San Francisco County Sheriff"[sfsheriff@govqa.us]

See notes below. I responded to this and then another email was sent that the agency needed an additional two weeks to review legally. This is not appropriate and looks like misconduct and obstruction. Please escalate to Internal Affairs.

Respectfully,  
Chris Ward Kline

Good afternoon.

It looks like someone went into this public request record and deleted portions of an email chain to obstruct getting information.

The last communication was that the Sheriff's Department needed an additional two weeks for legal purposes. That section was removed.

However, a person also entered the proper certification letter current through 5/31/2023. I will be forced to escalate this to Internal Affairs and the DOJ.

It is also believed that several individuals to include politicians are obstructing and removing portions of public official records. That would be a separate crime.

On 12/4/2022 2:49:16 PM, **Chris Kline (ckblueaqua@gmail.com)** wrote:

Good afternoon. It looks like someone went into this public request record and deleted portions of an email chain to obstruct getting information. The last communication was that the Sheriff's Department needed an additional two weeks for legal purposes. That section was removed. However, a person also entered the proper certification letter current through 5/31/2023. I will be forced to escalate this to Internal Affairs and the DOJ. It is also believed that several individuals to include politicians are obstructing and removing portions of public official records. That would be a separate crime. Please escalate to appropriate senior officials.

## EXHIBIT "F"



**Leger, Cheryl (BOS)**

---

**From:** Lambert, Alison (SHF)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 15, 2023 4:49 PM  
**To:** SOTF, (BOS)  
**Cc:** ckblueaqua@gmail.com  
**Subject:** RE: SOTF - Notice of Complaint Committee hearing, March 21, 2023 - 5:30 PM; Room 408, City Hall  
**Attachments:** 23013B SFSO Supplemental Response Sheriff's Office.pdf

Please see the Sheriff's Office Supplemental response to Complaint No. 23012(B). The Sheriff's Office respectfully requests that the Complaint Committee allow the Sheriff's Office to appear by telephone for the hearing on March 21, 2023. I will appear on behalf of the Sheriff's Office.

Thank you,

Alison Lambert, Legal Assistant  
Central Records and Warrants Unit  
Office of the Sheriff  
City and County of San Francisco  
850 Bryant Street RM 460  
[Alison.Lambert@sfgov.org](mailto:Alison.Lambert@sfgov.org)

*\*Portions of this communication may be confidential and privileged.*

---

**From:** SOTF, (BOS) <[sotf@sfgov.org](mailto:sotf@sfgov.org)>  
**Date:** Fri, Mar 3, 2023, 3:32 PM  
**Subject:** SOTF - Notice of Complaint Committee hearing, March 21, 2023 - 5:30 PM; Room 408, City Hall  
**To:** Michael Petrelis <[mpetrelis@aol.com](mailto:mpetrelis@aol.com)>, Heckel, Hank (MYR) <[hank.heckel@sfgov.org](mailto:hank.heckel@sfgov.org)>, Hussey, Deirdre (DPH) <[deirdre.hussey@sfdph.org](mailto:deirdre.hussey@sfdph.org)>, ckblueaqua@gmail.com <[ckblueaqua@gmail.com](mailto:ckblueaqua@gmail.com)>, Lim, Victor (DEM) <[victor.lim@sfgov.org](mailto:victor.lim@sfgov.org)>, Pojman, Natalie (DPH) <[natalie.pojman@sfdph.org](mailto:natalie.pojman@sfdph.org)>, Miyamoto, Paul (SHF) <[paul.miyamoto@sfgov.org](mailto:paul.miyamoto@sfgov.org)>, Toomey, William (POL) <[William.Toomey@sfgov.org](mailto:William.Toomey@sfgov.org)>  
**Cc:** Young, Victor (BOS) <[victor.young@sfgov.org](mailto:victor.young@sfgov.org)>, Somera, Alisa (BOS) <[alisa.somera@sfgov.org](mailto:alisa.somera@sfgov.org)>, Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <[angela.calvillo@sfgov.org](mailto:angela.calvillo@sfgov.org)>

Good Afternoon:

Notice is hereby given that the Complaint Committee of the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force (Task Force) shall hold hearings on complaints listed below to: 1) determine if the Task Force has jurisdiction; 2) review the merits of the complaints; and/or 3) issue a report and/or recommendation to the Task Force. ***Please confirm your attendance for this hearing.***

**Date:** March 21, 2023  
**Location:** Room 408, City Hall  
**Time:** 5:30 p.m.

Complainants: Your attendance is required for this meeting/hearing.

Respondents/Departments: Pursuant to Section 67.21 (e) of the Ordinance, the custodian of records or a representative of your department, who can speak to the matter, is required at the meeting/hearing.

**File No. 22134** Complaint filed by Michael Petrelis against Mayor London Breed for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, by failing to respond to a public records request in a timely and/or complete manner.

**File No. 22135** Complaint filed by Michael Petrelis against Mayor London Breed for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, by failing to respond to a public records request in a timely and/or complete manner.

**File No. 23013A** Complaint filed by Chris Ward Kline against Department of Public Health for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, 67.24(b)(o), and 67.25 by failing to respond to a request for public records in a timely and/or complete manner.

**File No. 23013B** Complaint filed by Chris Ward Kline against Office of the Sheriff for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, 67.24(b)(o), and 67.25 by failing to respond to a request for public records in a timely and/or complete manner.

**File No. 23013C** Complaint filed by Chris Ward Kline against Mayors Office for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, 67.24(b)(o), and 67.25 by failing to respond to a request for public records in a timely and/or complete manner.

**File No. 23013D** Complaint filed by Chris Ward Kline against Department of Emergency Management for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, 67.24(b)(o), and 67.25 by failing to respond to a request for public records in a timely and/or complete manner.

**File No. 23013E** Complaint filed by Chris Ward Kline against San Francisco Police Department (E) e for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, 67.24(b)(o), and 67.25 by failing to respond to a request for public records in a timely and/or complete manner.

### **Documentation (evidence supporting/disputing complaint)**

For a document to be considered, it must be received at least five (5) working days before the hearing (see attached Public Complaint Procedure).

For inclusion in the agenda packet, supplemental/supporting documents must be received by **5:00 pm, March 15, 2023**.

Cheryl Leger

Assistant Clerk, Board of Supervisors

Tel: 415-554-7724



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

*Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*



# OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE  
ROOM 456, CITY HALL  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94102



**PAUL MIYAMOTO**  
SHERIFF

March 15, 2023

Via Electronic Mail: [sotf@sfgov.org](mailto:sotf@sfgov.org) and [ckblueaqua@gmail.com](mailto:ckblueaqua@gmail.com)

Sunshine Ordinance Task Force  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Pl., Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Complaint No. 23012(B)

Dear Sunshine Ordinance Task Force:

The Sheriff's Office provides this supplemental information to the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force regarding Complaint File No. 23023(B) filed by Christ Kline for allegations of violations of SF Administrative Code sections 67.21, 67.24(b)(o), and 67.25 by failing to respond to a request for public records in a timely and/or complete manner. Sheriff's Office legal assistant, Alison Lambert, will attend the hearing on March 21, 2023 on behalf of the Sheriff's Office. At this time, the Sheriff's Office respectfully requests that the Complaint Committee allow the Sheriff's Office to appear by telephone for the hearing on March 21, 2023.

Sheriff's Supplemental Information

Complainant submitted four separate public records requests to the San Francisco's Sheriff's Office on April 12, 2021, July 5, 2021, October 19, 2022, and December 13, 2022. As discussed in the Sheriff's Office response dated February 23, 2023, the Sheriff's Office provided timely responses to three of four the Complainant's public records requests. The Sheriff's Office performed diligent searches but did not locate any responsive records to two of Complainant's records requests and informed the Complainant that no such records existed within statutory deadlines set out the Sunshine Ordinance and California Public Records Act. Complainant's third request to the Sheriff's Office was received on October 19, 2022 was for the following:

*All records within the Sheriffs Department, the Department of Emergency Management and other agencies to include she Sheriff Department Community Programs and Engagement Programs to include but not limited to:*

*Current and valid P.R.E.P. certification from the California Department of Health and for all other records that are known to exist on a variety of incidents, issues, surveillance to include: interviewing gang members, interviewing homeless people, interacting with people on the app One System, public health surveillance and to include and not limited to:*

*All Central Records Systems to include but not limited to Electronic/Microphone/Physical and Technical Surveillances for both main file records and cross reference files.*

*These records are known to exist as all apps that utilize GPS and GPRS data, with One System and all other apps such as public health, public safety and political surveillance to include any and all surveys and messages sent to any and devices specific to Chris Ward Kline.*

The Sheriff's Office invoked a 14 day extension and asked the Complainant for clarification regarding "PREP" certification. On November 10, 2022, the Sheriff's Office provided the Complainant with a copy of its Post Release Education Program Certificate (PREP), and further advised the Complainant that no other responsive records were located. Specifically, the Sheriff's Office advised the Complainant that the Sheriff's Office does not use the App One System and therefore has no responsive records, and that the Sheriff's Office has no records regarding recording devices specific to the Complainant. As there were no other records existed, the Complainant's request was then closed.


Public Records Request Number P001596-121322

Page 13 of the Complaint attached a copy of the Complainant's public records request received by the Sheriff's Office on December 13, 2022. The Sheriff's Office acknowledges noncompliance with SF Administrative Code section 67.25, due to a clerical calendaring error, and there are likely no records responsive to Complainant's request. The Sheriff's Office denies the remaining allegations of violations of SF Administrative Code sections 67.21 and 67.24(b)(o). The Sheriff's Office respectfully requests additional time to work with the Complainant to determine whether any responsive records exist.

For the reasons stated above, we respectfully request that the complaint be dismissed as to the Sheriff's Office requests numbered P000320-041221, S000127-070521, and P001470-101922.

Please contact me if you have any questions or if there is any further information you may require.

Sincerely,



Alison Lambert  
Legal Assistant  
San Francisco Sheriff's Office  
Central Records and Warrants Unit

cc: Sheriff Paul Miyamoto; Captain Stephanie Colmenero; Lieutenant Felicia Jamison



**From:** SOTF, (BOS)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 15, 2023 3:46 PM  
**To:** Miyamoto, Paul (SHF)  
**Cc:** ckblueaqua@gmail.com  
**Subject:** SOTF - Complaint Filed with the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force - File No. 23013(B)  
**Attachments:** SOTF - Complaint Procedure 2019-10-02 FINAL.pdf; Preparing SOTF Respondent Materials FINAL for PILOT.pdf; 23013 A-E Complaint.pdf; Affirmation Letter 23013 (B).pdf

Good Afternoon:

The Sheriff's Department has been named as a Respondent in the attached complaint filed with the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force (SOTF). In an effort to provide the SOTF information in an easy to understand format the SOTF has prepared a revised request format for responding to complaints (attached). **The SOTF requests that you submit your response to our office the allegations including any and all supporting documents, recordings, electronic media, etc., to the Task Force within five (5) business days of receipt of this notice.** In developing and submitting your response, please use the attached instructions, "Preparing San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Respondents Materials," to address your defense of this complaint. This is your opportunity to provide a detailed explanation to allow the Task Force to be fully informed in considering your response prior to the meeting.

Please refer to the File Number 23013(B) when submitting any new information and/or supporting documents pertaining to this complaint.

Cheryl Leger  
Assistant Clerk, Board of Supervisors  
Tel: 415-554-7724



Click to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

*Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

SUNSHINE ORDINANCE TASK FORCE

Tel: 415 554-7724  
Fax: 415 554-7854  
TDD/TTY: 415 554-5227



City Hall, Room 244  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco 94102-4689

February 15, 2023

Sheriff Paul Miyamoto  
Sheriff's Department

Re: Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, File No. 23013(B)

Dear Mr. Miyamoto:

Please review the complaint as described below and acknowledge your department's position on File No. 23013(B) regarding an alleged violation of the Sunshine Ordinance.

**File No. 23013(B)** Complaint filed by Chris Ward Kline against Office of the Sheriff (B) for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, 67.24(b)(o), and 67.25 by failing to respond to a request for public records in a timely and/or complete manner.

Please choose ONE answer. You may, if you wish, also attach any additional explanation.

- [a] acknowledge noncompliance for all allegations below
- [b] department/agency declares no-contest to all allegations below
- [c] deny one or more allegations below (please state an additional explanation which of the allegations you deny, and supporting evidence and/or argument)

If you or your entity acknowledges noncompliance or declares no-contest, the SOTF may, at its discretion, issue an Order of Determination against you or your entity for the allegations listed above without a hearing, and thereafter refer the matter to the Compliance & Amendments Committee for monitoring as needed.

Please respond within the next five business days of the date on this notice, with your acknowledgment. If it is not received within five business days, we will assume that you have acknowledged no-contest.

Very Truly Yours,

Matthew Yankee, Chair  
Sunshine Ordinance Task Force

MY:cal

cc: Chris Klein, Petitioner

2/15/2023

**Leger, Cheryl (BOS)**

---

**From:** SOTF, (BOS)  
**To:** Michael Petrelis; Heckel, Hank (MYR); Hussey, Deirdre (DPH); ckblueaqua@gmail.com; Lim, Victor (DEM); Pojman, Natalie (DPH); Miyamoto, Paul (SHF); Toomey, William (POL)  
**Cc:** Young, Victor (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Calvillo, Angela (BOS)  
**Subject:** SOTF - Notice of Complaint Committee hearing, March 21, 2023 - 5:30 PM; Room 408, City Hall  
**Attachments:** SOTF - Complaint Procedure 2019-10-02 FINAL.pdf

Good Afternoon:

Notice is hereby given that the Complaint Committee of the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force (Task Force) shall hold hearings on complaints listed below to: 1) determine if the Task Force has jurisdiction; 2) review the merits of the complaints; and/or 3) issue a report and/or recommendation to the Task Force. ***Please confirm your attendance for this hearing.***

Date: March 21, 2023

Location: Room 408, City Hall

Time: 5:30 p.m.

Complainants: Your attendance is required for this meeting/hearing.

Respondents/Departments: Pursuant to Section 67.21 (e) of the Ordinance, the custodian of records or a representative of your department, who can speak to the matter, is required at the meeting/hearing.

**File No. 22134** Complaint filed by Michael Petrelis against Mayor London Breed for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, by failing to respond to a public records request in a timely and/or complete manner.

**File No. 22135** Complaint filed by Michael Petrelis against Mayor London Breed for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, by failing to respond to a public records request in a timely and/or complete manner.

**File No. 23013A** Complaint filed by Chris Ward Kline against Department of Public Health for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, 67.24(b)(o), and 67.25 by failing to respond to a request for public records in a timely and/or complete manner.

**File No. 23013B** Complaint filed by Chris Ward Kline against Office of the Sheriff for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, 67.24(b)(o), and 67.25 by failing to respond to a request for public records in a timely and/or complete manner.

**File No. 23013C** Complaint filed by Chris Ward Kline against Mayors Office for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, 67.24(b)(o), and 67.25 by failing to respond to a request for public records in a timely and/or complete manner.

**File No. 23013D** Complaint filed by Chris Ward Kline against Department of Emergency Management for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, 67.24(b)(o), and 67.25 by failing to respond to a request for public records in a timely and/or complete manner.


**File No. 23013E** Complaint filed by Chris Ward Kline against San Francisco Police Department (E) e for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, 67.24(b)(o), and 67.25 by failing to respond to a request for public records in a timely and/or complete manner.

**Documentation (evidence supporting/disputing complaint)**

For a document to be considered, it must be received at least five (5) working days before the hearing (see attached Public Complaint Procedure).

For inclusion in the agenda packet, supplemental/supporting documents must be received by **5:00 pm, March 15, 2023**.

Cheryl Leger  
Assistant Clerk, Board of Supervisors  
Tel: 415-554-7724

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

*Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*



