Date:	May 25, 2010		Item No. 3
		•	File No.

SUNSHINE ORDINANCE TASK FORCE

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST*

⊠ #09	075 Bred Starr v City	Attorney's Of	fice	

		,		
				•
•				
Completed by:	Chris Rustom	Date:	May 21, 2010	

*This list reflects the explanatory documents provided

~ Late Agenda Items (documents received too late for distribution to the Task Force Members)

^{**} The document this form replaces exceeds 25 pages and will therefore not be copied for the packet. The original document is in the file kept by the Administrator, and may be viewed in its entirety by the Task Force, or any member of the public upon request at City Hall, Room 244.

Sunshine Ordinance Task Force



City Hall

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. 554-7724
Fax No. 554-7854
TDD/TTY No. 544-5227

http://www.sfgov.org/sunshine/

SUNSHINE ORDINANCE TASK FORCE SPECIAL MEETING DRAFT MINUTES

Tuesday, March 23, 2010 4:00 p.m., City Hall, Room 408

1. 09075 The Compliance and Amendments Committee has referred to the Task Force without recommendation the issue of whether the City Attorney's Office's failure to comply with the Order of Determination in #09075 Bred Starr v City Attorney's Office should be referred to another body for further action.

Complainant Bred Starr was not present. There was no one in the audience to present facts and evidence on behalf of the complainant.

Jack Song of the City Attorney's Office said Ms. Starr's made a request in September 2009 about some documents regarding Mary Ellen O'Brian of the Department of Parking and Traffic. His office provided Ms. Starr with two disciplinary letters to Ms. Starr. On further conversations with Ms. Starr, the office learned that she wanted a confidential City Attorney memo that was mentioned in a January 2004 Chronicle newspaper. That document could not be released because it comes under the attorney-client privilege and attorney work product doctrine.

Member Craven-Green said there would not be any benefit to referring this to any enforcement agency and hoped that someday the California Appeals Court would rule on the matter.

Mr. Song did not have any closing remarks.

Public Comment: Peter Warfield of the Library Users Association said he was not familiar with the complaint but the Task Force's evaluation of whether the Order of Determination was met or not was more important that debating whether the referred agency would take any action or not.

No further action. Matter concluded.