| File No. <u>11084</u> | SOTF Item No. | .7 |
|-----------------------|---------------|----|
| | CAC Item No. | |

SUNSHINE ORDINANCE TASK FORCE

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

| Sunshine Ordinance Task Force | | Date: <u>May 2, 2012</u> | | | |
|---|-----------|--------------------------|---------|--------|----|
| Compliance and Amendments Committee | <u>:e</u> | Date: _ | | | |
| CAC/SOTF | | | | | |
| ☐ ☑ Memo ☐ ☑ Complaint ☐ ☑ Response | T. | | | | a. |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| OTHER | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Completed by: Andrea Ausberry Completed by: | Date | April 25 | 5, 2012 | - - | |

^{*}An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 25 pages.

The complete document is in the file.



Request for Reconsideration of Complaint 11084

SOTF to: libraryusers2004, Pontious, Susan

Sent by: Andrea Ausberry

Cc: Hope Johnson, Jerry Threet

03/16/2012 03:21 PM

NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES in Complaint No. 11084, Library Users Association v. Arts Commission:

Please be advised the Task Force learned new information in this matter, and I am requesting reconsideration of the decision on the complaint based on Section E of the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force's complaint procedures.

Based on the information provided to the Task Force at the hearing held on December 14, 2011, the Task Force found the Visual Arts Committee in violation of 67.14(c) for failure to record meetings. However, Section 67.14(c) applies only when meetings are held at City Hall. The Task Force has since learned that the Visual Arts Committee does not hold its meetings at City Hall. At the time of the hearing, the Task Force was not provided with this information and therefore did not take up discussion of other possibly applicable sections of the ordinance.

The Task Force will consider at its next scheduled meeting whether or not to hold a new hearing on this matter. Pursuant to Section E of the complaint procedures, if the Task Force grants the reconsideration, a new hearing will be held at the next following scheduled Task Force meeting.

Thank you for your cooperation and patience in this matter. Please contact the SOTF Administrator with any questions or concerns.

Hope Johnson, SOTF Chair

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO



DENNIS J. HERRERA City Attorney

OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY

JERRY THREET
Deputy City Attorney

Direct Dial: Email: (415) 554-3914 jerry,threet@sfgov.org

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Sunshine Task Force

FROM:

Jerry Threet

Deputy City Attorney

DATE:

December 13, 2011

RE:

Complaint No. 11084: Library Users Association v. S. F. Arts Commission

COMPLAINT

THE COMPLAINANT ALLEGES THE FOLLOWING:

Complainant Library Users Association ("LUA") alleges that the San Francisco Arts Commission (the "Commission") violated section 67.14(b) of the Ordinance by failing to provide LUA an opportunity to review an audio recording of the meeting of the Visual Arts Committee ("VAC") meeting held on August 17, 2011.

COMPLAINANT FILES COMPLAINT:

On October 11, 2011, Complainant filed a complaint with the Task Force alleging a violation of section 67.14(b).

JURISDICTION

The Commission is both a department and a Charter commission. Therefore, in general, the Task Force has jurisdiction to hear public meeting and records complaints against the Arts Commission. However, the Commission contests jurisdiction on the basis that the VAC is an advisory body under the Ordinance and therefore not subject to the provisions of section 67.14(b). Whatever the merits of that argument, it does not appear to be one that goes to the jurisdiction of the Task Force to hear this matter, but rather speaks to whether there was a violation by the Commission.

APPLICABLE STATUTORY SECTION(S):

Section 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code:

• Section 67.14(b) governs the requirement that boards and commissions enumerated in the Charter audio record their special and regular meetings.

APPLICABLE CASE LAW:

None.

TO: Sunshine Task Force DATE: December 13, 2011

PAGE: 2

RE: Complaint No. 11084: Library Users Association v. S. F. Arts Commission

ISSUES TO BE DETERMINED

Uncontested/Contested Facts: Complainant alleges that the Commission violated the Ordinance by failing to audio record the August 17, 2011 meeting of the VAC and subsequently failing to provide LUA with an opportunity to review such an audio recording of that meeting.

The Commission does not dispute the above facts, but admits that it did not and does not cause the VAC meetings to be audio recorded. Rather, the Commission argues that section 67.14(b) does not require audio recordings of the VAC. The Commission argues that the VAC is an "advisory committee" under the Ordinance and therefore is not subject to the requirements of section 67.14(b).

Complainant responds that this response is a "dangerous argument that would allow circumvention of the clear language [] of Sunshine by [] placing the work of a Charter body into one or more subcommittees. Then, the parent body, rubberstamping the work of the it(s) committee(s), could evade all the requirements applying to a charter board/commission under Sunshine, and the public would have no minutes or tape recordings of meetings at which the real work is done." Complainant further alleges that the "actual work" of the Commission is done by the VAC in the subject area under its purview. Complainant further alleges that the VAC has been in existence for decades, and argues from this allegation that the VAC is a "standing committee of a legislative body" under section 54952(b) of the Brown Act and thus also is defined as a legislative body by that statute.

OUESTIONS THAT MIGHT ASSIST IN DETERMINING FACTS:

- How was the VAC created and when? Was it created by formal action of the Commission? By the initiative of a member of a policy body, the Mayor, or a department head?
- What is the composition of the membership of the VAC? Is it composed solely of members of the Commission, or does it also contain members who are not Commission members?
- What is the subject matter considered at VAC meetings? Does it consist solely of matters referred to it for consideration by the Commission?
- What happens to matters once they are considered by the VAC? Are all such matters referred to the Commission for further consideration and action on recommendations by the VAC?
- What is the meeting schedule of the VAC and how is that schedule determined?

LEGAL ISSUES/LEGAL DETERMINATIONS:

- Has the Commission complied with the requirements of the Ordinance and the Public Records Act?
- Can the VAC legally be considered the same as the Commission under section 67.14(b)?
- Is the VAC a "passive meeting body" or a "policy body", under the Ordinance?
- Assuming the VAC is an "passive meeting body", do the requirements of section 67.14(b) apply to its meetings?
- Assuming the VAC is a "policy body", do the requirements of section 67.14(b) apply to its meetings?

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Sunshine Task Force December 13, 2011

DATE:

PAGE:

RE:

Complaint No. 11084: Library Users Association v. S. F. Arts Commission

CONCLUSION

THE TASK FORCE FINDS THE FOLLOWING FACTS TO BE TRUE:

THE TASK FORCE FINDS THE ALLEGED VIOLATIONS TO BE TRUE OR NOT TRUE.

TO: DATE: Sunshine Task Force December 13, 2011

PAGE:

RE:

Complaint No. 11084: Library Users Association v. S. F. Arts Commission

CHAPTER 67, S F ADMINISTRATIVE CODE (SUNSHINE ORDINANCE)

SEC. 67.3. DEFINITIONS.

Whenever in this Article the following words or phrases are used, they shall have the following meanings:

"City" shall mean the City and County of San Francisco.

b) "Meeting" shall mean any of the following:

(1) A congregation of a majority of the members of a policy body at the same time and

place;

(2) A series of gatherings, each of which involves less than a majority of a policy body, to hear, discuss or deliberate upon any item that is within the subject matter jurisdiction of the City, if the cumulative result is that a majority of members has become involved in such gatherings; or

(3) Any other use of personal intermediaries or communications media that could permit a majority of the members of a policy body to become aware of an item of business and of the views or positions of other members with respect thereto, and to negotiate consensus thereupon.

(4) "Meeting" shall not include any of the following:

(A) Individual contacts or conversations between a member of a policy body and another person that do not convey to the member the views or positions of other members upon the subject matter of the contact or conversation and in which the member does not solicit or encourage the restatement of the views of the other members;

(B) The attendance of a majority of the members of a policy body at a regional, statewide or national conference, or at a meeting organized to address a topic of local community concern and open to the public, provided that a majority of the members refrains from using the occasion to collectively discuss the topic of the gathering or any other business within the subject

matter jurisdiction of the City; or

(C) The attendance of a majority of the members of a policy body at a purely social, recreational or ceremonial occasion other than one sponsored or organized by or for the policy body itself, provided that a majority of the members refrains from using the occasion to discuss any business within the subject matter jurisdiction of this body. A meal gathering of a policy body before, during or after a business meeting of the body is part of that meeting and shall be conducted only under circumstances that permit public access to hear and observe the discussion of members. Such meetings shall not be conducted in restaurants or other accommodations where public access is possible only in consideration of making a purchase or some other payment of value.

(C-1)* The attendance of a majority of the members of a policy body at an open and noticed meeting of a standing committee of that body, provided that the members of the policy

body who are not members of the standing committee attend only as observers.

(D) Proceedings of the Department of Social Services Child Welfare Placement and Review Committee or similar committees which exist to consider confidential information and make decisions regarding Department of Social Services clients.

TO:

Sunshine Task Force

DATE:

December 13, 2011

PAGE:

5

Complaint No. 11084: Library Users Association v. S. F. Arts Commission

(c) "Passive meeting body" shall mean:

(1) Advisory committees created by the initiative of a member of a policy body, the Mayor, or a department head;

(2) Any group that meets to discuss with or advise the Mayor or any Department Head

on fiscal, economic, or policy issues;

(3) Social, recreational or ceremonial occasions sponsored or organized by or for a

policy body to which a majority of the body has been invited.

(4) "Passive meeting body" shall not include a committee that consists solely of employees of the City and County of San Francisco created by the initiative of a member of a

policy body, the Mayor, or a department head;

- (5) Notwithstanding the provisions of paragraph (4) above, "Passive meeting body" shall include a committee that consists solely of employees of the City and County of San Francisco when such committee is reviewing, developing, modifying, or creating City policies or procedures relating to the public health, safety, or welfare or relating to services for the homeless;
 - (d) "Policy Body" shall mean:

(1) The Board of Supervisors;

(2) Any other board or commission enumerated in the Charter;

(3) Any board, commission, committee, or other body created by ordinance or resolution of the Board of Supervisors;

(4) Any advisory board, commission, committee or body, created by the initiative of a

policy body;

(5) Any standing committee of a policy body irrespective of its composition.

(6) "Policy Body" shall not include a committee which consists solely of employees of the City and County of San Francisco, unless such committee was established by Charter or by ordinance or resolution of the Board of Supervisors.

(7) Any advisory board, commission, committee, or council created by a federal, State,

or local grant whose members are appointed by City officials, employees or agents.

SEC. 67.14. VIDEO & AUDIO RECORDING, FILMING AND STILL PHOTOGRAPHY.

- (a) Any person attending an open and public meeting of a policy body shall have the right to record the proceedings with an audio or video recorder or a still or motion picture camera, or to broadcast the proceedings, in the absence of a reasonable finding of the policy body that the recording or broadcast cannot continue without such noise, illumination or obstruction of view as to constitute a persistent disruption of the proceedings.
- (b) Each board and commission enumerated in the Charter shall audio record each regular and special meeting. Each such audio recording, and any audio or video recording of a meeting of any other policy body made at the direction of the policy body shall be a public record subject to inspection pursuant to the California Public Records Act (Government Code Section 6250 et seq.), and shall not be erased or destroyed. Inspection of any such recording shall be provided without charge on an appropriate play back device made available by the City.

TO: Sunshine Task Force DATE: December 13, 2011

PAGE: 6

RE: Complaint No. 11084: Library Users Association v. S. F. Arts Commission

(c) Every City policy body, agency or department shall audio or video every noticed regular meeting, special meeting, or hearing open to the public held in a City Hall hearing room that is equipped with audio or video recording facilities, except to the extent that such facilities may not be available for technical or other reasons. Each such audio or video recording shall be a public record subject to inspection pursuant to the California Public Records Act (Government Code Section 6250 et seq.), and shall not be erased or destroyed. The City shall make such audio or video recording available in digital form at a centralized location on the City's web site (www.sfgov.org) within seventy-two hours of the date of the meeting or hearing and for a period of at least two years after the date of the meeting or hearing. Inspection of any such recording shall also be provided without charge on an appropriate play back device made available by the City. This subsection (c) shall not be construed to limit or in any way modify the duties created by any other provision of this article, including but not limited to the requirements for recording closed sessions as stated in Section 67.8-1 and for recording meetings of boards and commissions enumerated in the Charter as stated in subsection (b) above.

CALIFORNIA GOV'T CODE §§ 54950 ET SEQ. (BROWN ACT) § 54952. LEGISLATIVE BODY, DEFINITION

As used in this chapter, "legislative body" means:

(a) The governing body of a local agency or any other local body created by state or federal statute.

(b) A commission, committee, board, or other body of a local agency, whether permanent or temporary, decisionmaking or advisory, created by charter, ordinance, resolution, or formal action of a legislative body. However, advisory committees, composed solely of the members of the legislative body that are less than a quorum of the legislative body are not legislative bodies, except that standing committees of a legislative body, irrespective of their composition, which have a continuing subject matter jurisdiction, or a meeting schedule fixed by charter, ordinance, resolution, or formal action of a legislative body are legislative bodies for purposes of this chapter.



Sunshine Complaint
complaints
to:
sotf
10/14/2011 10:12 AM
Hide Details
From: <complaints@sfgov.org>

To: <sotf@sfgov.org>

To:sotf@sfgov.org Fmail:complaints@sfgov.org **EPARTMENT: Arts Commission** CONTACTED:Staff person PUBLIC_RECORDS_VIOLATION:Yes PUBLIC MEETING_VIOLATION:No MEETING DATE: August 17, 2011 SECTIONS VIOLATED: Section 67.14 (b) DESCRIPTION: Art Commission Did Not Provide Audio Recording of its Visual Arts Committee Meeting of August 17, 2011 to Library Users Association. HEARING: Yes PRE-HEARING:No DATE: NAME:Peter Warfield ADDRESS: CITY: ZIP: PHONE:753-2180 CONTACT_EMAIL:libraryusers2004@yahoo.com ANONYMOUS: CONFIDENTIALITY REQUESTED:No

Library Users Association

P.O. Box 170544, San Francisco, CA 94117-0544 Tel./Fax (415) 753-2180 Tuesday, October 11, 2011

Honorable Members
Sunshine Ordinance Task Force
City Hall
San Francisco
By email: sotf@ sfgov.org

Subject: Complaint #1: Art Commission Did Not Provide Audio Recording of its Visual
Arts Committee Meeting of August 17, 2011 to Library Users Association

Ladies and Gentlemen:

The Art Commission did not provide Library Users Association an opportunity to listen to a recording of its Visual Arts Committee (VAC) meeting of August 17, 2011. A staff member told us that only the meetings of the full Art Commission are recorded -- not committee meetings.

This violates Library Users Association's rights, and the public's, under Sunshine Ordinance Section 67.14 (b), which requires all charter commissions to audio record their meetings, to retain the recordings, and to make the recordings available for inspection. The section reads as follows:

(b) Each board and commission enumerated in the charter shall audio record each regular and special meeting. Each such audio recording, and any audio or video recording of a meeting of any other policy body made at the direction of the policy body shall be a public record subject to inspection pursuant to the California Public Records Act (Government Code Section 6250 et seq.), and shall not be erased or destroyed. Inspection of any such recording shall be provided without charge on an appropriate play back device made available by the City. (Added by Ord. 265-93, App. 8/18/93; amended by Proposition G, 11/2/99)

Background: This meeting included a presentation, plus discussion and approval, of San Francisco Public Library's imminent plan to completely destroy the existing Bernal Heights Branch Library mural, painted by noted San Francisco muralist Arch Williams, as well as Carlos Alcaya. The mural was designed and painted in a participatory community process over a two-year period, 1980-1982. It includes on the front of the library words by Holly Near, and the famed Chilean Victor Jara in Spanish and English, plus images of Victor Jara and others, which will not be carried over into the planned mural. The new design lacks any words and was called by someone close to the original design sanitized and gentrified.

Library Users Association requests a prompt hearing on this complaint, and thanks you for your time and effort on this matter.

Sincerely yours,

Peter Warfield Executive Director, Library Users Association

Page 1 of 2

Library Users Association

P.O. Box 170544, San Francisco, CA 94117-0544 Tel./Fax (415) 753-2180 April 26, 2012

Honorable Members
Sunshine Ordinance Task Force
City Hall
San Francisco
By email: sott@ sfgov.org

Subject: Re-hearing of Complaint # 11084, Art Commission Did Not Provide
Audio Recording of Its Visual Arts Committee (VAC) Meeting to Library Users
Association

Ladies and Gentlemen:

We would like to note that this matter has not changed with regard to facts or history since you last heard this in December, 2011 – but your own actions subsequent to the complaint filing are relevant:

A. Why this is being re-heard.

We would like it understood, as it has not always been from the SOTF agendas, that this matter is being re-heard through no fault of Library Users Association. The Task Force at the hearing of December 14, 2011, found a section of the law that it later discovered did not, in fact, apply to this case. The absence of the SOTF's attorney's opinion when this came up may have contributed to this mistake, but there was no issue of arguments being made or facts presented / not presented by our side of the case. We argued the case entirely without any reliance on the section that the SOTF incorrectly applied, 67.14(c).

B. SOTF Actions.

On the same day of this hearing, the SOTF heard Library Users Association Complaint #11085, concerning failure of the Visual Arts Committee (VAC), a subcommittee of the Arts Commission, to provide draft meeting minutes promptly. In a 9-0 decision in our favor, SOTF found that the VAC <u>IS SUBJECT</u> to the minutes requirements and is not exempt because it is not specifically mentioned in the charter, although its 'parent' body is. Your reasoning was essentially the same as the arguments we had presented.

The SOTF Order of Determination regarding Complaint # 11085, dated April 9, 2012, reads as follows (excerpt is all of first two paragraphs of "Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law":

The Task Force concluded that the minutes requirements of Sunshine Ordinance Section 67.16 apply to the VAC. As a standing committee, the VAC is a part of the SFAC, a commission enumerated in the Charter. [Emphasis added.] The Task Force interprets the phrase "each board and commission

enumerated in the Charter" in Section 67.16 to be inclusive of all parts of those boards and commissions, including all standing committees. The Task Force concluded that standing committees, including the VAC, are not intended to stand alone as entities separated from the parent commission. Standing committees are established to make work decisions for the parent commission; they consist of voting members of the parent commission; and they have continuing subject matter jurisdiction pertinent to the parent commission's work. The Task Force concluded an interpretation that excludes standing committees from state and local public records and public meetings laws is inconsistent with the findings and purpose of the Sunshine Ordinance.

The Task Force further concluded that the VAC is a legislative body as defined by Section 54952(b) of the Brown Act and, therefore, a local agency subject to the requirements of the California Public Records Act.

We believe that the same reasoning leads to the same conclusion regarding the requirement that meetings be recorded. <u>Sunshine 67.14 (b) says</u>:

"Each board and commission enumerated in the charter shall audio record each regular and special meeting"

C. Request for Explicit and Clear Finding Regarding Multiple, Ongoing Violations.

Please Note: We would appreciate your making explicit that the Visual Arts Committee failed to record any of its meetings for multiple years, including all of their meetings at which they discussed and acted upon the planned removal and replacement of the historic community-created Victor Jara Bernal Heights Branch Library Mural. We specifically requested recordings for multiple dates in Fall, 2009 and Fall, 2011 and received nothing – because, we were told, those meetings were never recorded.

The impact of this failure was to make it extraordinarily difficult for us, and anyone else, to understand what had been discussed at those meetings. Library Users Association has expressed concern that no specifics of mural contents were discussed, but anyone attempting to check the source was unable to, and would have to rely as we did, on minutes only.

Thank you for your attention to this.

Peter Warfield Executive Director Library Users Association 415/7 5 3 – 2 1 8 0

P.S. Attached below is our November 17 response to Art Commission's argument on this.

Library Users Association

P.O. Box 170544, San Francisco, CA 94117-0544 Tel./Fax (415) 753-2180 November 17, 2011

Honorable Members
Sunshine Ordinance Task Force
City Hall
San Francisco
By email: sotf@ sfgov.org

Subject: Our Response to Art Commission's Reply to Our Complaint #1-- (Art Commission Did Not Provide Audio Recording of Its Visual Arts

Committee (VAC) Meeting to Library Users Association)

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Library Users Association earlier this week (11/14/11) received from the SOTF Administrator the Art Commission's reply to our complaint of October 11, 2001, and reply with this letter.

We note their reply is dated October 19, and it did not reach Library Users Association until nearly a month later, on the evening of November 14, 2011.

The Commission letter argues that the Visual Arts Committee (VAC) is an "advisory committee of the SFAC [Arts Commission]." As such, the argument continues, the VAC "is not a 'board or commission listed in the charter' and thus is not required to audio record its meetings."

We consider this to be a dangerous argument that would allow circumvention of the clear language and spirit of Sunshine by the simple stratagem of placing the work of a Charter body into one or more subcommittees. Then the parent body, rubber stamping the work of its committee(s), could evade all the requirements applying to a charter board/commission under Sunshine, and the public would have no minutes or tape recordings of meetings at which the real work was done.

In fact, at the Arts Commission, the real work IS done by the Visual Arts Committee (VAC) for the topic area it covers. At the <u>August 17, 2011 meeting of the VAC</u>, for example, the group was attended by the **Commission President**, P.J. Johnston; the administrative head of the department, **Interim Director** J.D. Beltran; and many staff members. In addition, discussion of the Bernal Heights Branch Library mural 100% demolition and replacement project included participation by the **City Librarian**, and **artists for the new murals**. A multi-paragraph description of the proceedings was in the VAC Minutes of the meeting.

At the full <u>Arts Commission meeting of September 12, 2011</u>, the Bernal Heights Branch Library mural destruction and replacement project was on the agenda -- as a **CONSENT ITEM** -- and could have passed, together with another group of projects without a single word of discussion. The Commission President "pulled" the item so that it could be discussed -- but announced that no one from the Library and none of the artists were present to make presentations or answer questions. Public comment was allowed, but it was not followed by substantive discussion by the Commissioners.

Finally, we note that the Brown Act defines "legislative bodies to which the law applies. Section 54952 (b) it says that "'legislative body" means:

"(b) A commission, committee, board, or other body of a local agency, whether permanent or temporary, decisionmaking or advisory, created by charter, ordinance, resolution, or formal action of a legislative body. However, advisory committees, composed solely of the members of the legislative body that are less than a quorum of the legislative body are not legislative bodies, except that standing committees of a legislative body, irrespective of their composition, which have a continuing subject matter jurisdiction, or a meeting schedule fixed by charter, ordinance, resolution, or formal action of a legislative body are legislative bodies for purposes of this chapter."

We note that the Visual Arts Committee has been in existence for decades, performing similar work of the Arts Commission over time.

We ask you to reject the argument of the Arts Commission's letter, and determine that the VAC, and any other subcommittee of the Arts Commission, must follow the requirements of the Sunshine Ordinance with respect to boards and commissions named in the charter.

Library Users Association requests a prompt hearing on this complaint, and thanks you for your time and effort on this matter.

Sincerely yours,

Peter Warfield Executive Director, Library Users Association 415/7 5 3 - 2 1 8 0



SAN FRANCISCO ARTS COMMISSION

EDWIN M. LEE

October 19, 2011

JD BELTRAN INTERIM DIRECTOR OF COLTUBAL AFFAIRS Honorable Members Sunshine Task Force 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102

PROGRAMS

CIVIL ART COLLECTION CIVIC DESIGN REYIEW COMMUNITY ARTS & EDUCATION COLTURAL EQUITY GRANTS STREET ARTISTS LICENSES

ARTS COMMISSION GALLERY 401 VAN NESS AVENUE 415.554.6080

WWW.SFARI SCUMMISSION.ORG ARTSCOMMISSION & SFGOV. ORG Dear Honorable Members of the Sunshine Task Force:

I am writing in response to complaint #11084 and complaint #11085 submitted on October 11, 2011 by Mr. Peter Warfield, executive director, Library Users Association.

The San Francisco Arts Commission (SFAC) is in compliance with the Sunshine Ordinance and Mr. Warfield's complaints are both outside the jurisdiction of the Sunshine Task Force and without merit because the Sunshine Ordinance does not require the Visual Arts Committee (VAC) which is an advisory committee of the SFAC, to audio record VAC meetings or to keep minutes of VAC meetings.

1. The Sunshine Ordinance does not require audio recordings of advisory committee meetings.

In complaint # 11084, Mr. Warfield states that the SFAC did not provide his organization with an audio recording of the August 17, 2001 VAC meeting, even though the SFAC does not currently record VAC meetings. According to the 2010 -2011 Good Government Guide, Part Three, p.133, Section G.1., the VAC, as an advisory subcommittee of the of the full Arts Commission, is not a "board or commission listed in the charter" and thus is not required to audio record its meetings:

G. Records of meetings

1. Audio recordings

"Each board or commission listed in the Charter must audio record regular and special meetings. Admin. Code § 67.14(b). Other policy bodies are not required to audio record their meetings, except for closed session portions of meetings. Admin. Code § 67,8-1(a)." (Emphasis added)



SAN FRANCISCO

2. The Sunshine Ordinance does not require advisory bodies or committees of parent bodies to keep minutes of their meetings.

In complaint # 11085, Mr. Warfield also states that the SFAC did not provide draft minutes of the August 17, 2011 VAC meeting until more than 10 days after the

meeting. According to the 2010-2011Good Government Guide, Part Three, p. 135, Section G.2. (c), the SFAC is not required to keep minutes for meetings of the VAC because the VAC is purely an advisory body of the parent body known as the Arts Commission:

G. Records of meetings

2. Minutes

c. Other policy bodies

"Policy bodies that do not fit into one of the above two categories, such as purely advisory bodies and committees of parent bodies, are not required to keep meeting minutes or maintain a record of meetings."

Although not required, the SFAC does keep minutes of all VAC meetings which are available to the public on the sfgov.org website and by request. Minutes for the August 17, 2011 VAC meeting were provided to Mr. Warfield within two days of his request. Those minutes are enclosed for your review.

In short, and for the reasons stated above, the SFAC is in compliance with the Sunshine Ordinance.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Susan Pontious

Duran

Public Art Program Director San Francisco Arts Commission

25 Van Ness, Suite 345 San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 252- 2587