File No.	11096	SOTF Item No. 11
		CAC Item No.
		(

SUNSHINE ORDINANCE TASK FORCE

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Sunshine Ordinance Task Force	Date: <u>April 4, 2012</u>					
Compliance and Amendments Committee	Date:					
CAC/SOTF Memorandum Order of Determination Complaint and supporting docum	nents					
OTHER						
	ate <u>March 27, 2012</u> ate					

^{*}An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 25 pages.

The complete document is in the file.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO



DENNIS J. HERRERA City Attorney

OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY

MICHAEL R. KARNS Deputy City Attorney

Direct Dial:

(415) 554-3970

Email:

michael.karns@sfgov.org

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Sunshine Ordinance Task Force

FROM:

Michael Karns

Deputy City Attorney

DATE:

March 2, 2012

RE:

Complaint 11096 – Bowman v. SF Department of Recreation and Parks

BACKGROUND

Complainant Arnita Bowman ("Complainant") alleges that the Recreation and Parks Department ("the Department") failed to provide documents responsive to her November 7, 2011 public records request.

COMPLAINT

On December 10, 2011, Complainant filed this complaint against the Department, alleging violations of Section 67.25 of the Ordinance.

JURISDICTION

The Recreation and Parks Department is a charter department under the Ordinance. The Task Force therefore generally has jurisdiction to hear a complaint against the Department.

APPLICABLE STATUTORY SECTION(S):

Section 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code:

- Section 67.20(b) defines "public information".
- Section 67.21 governs responses to a public records request.
- Section 67.25 governs immediacy of response.
- Section 67.26 governs withholding of records.
- Section 67.27 governs written justification for withholding of records.

Section 6250 et seq. of the Cal. Gov't Code

- Section 6252(e) defines "public record".
- Section 6253 governs the release of public records and the timing of responses.

APPLICABLE CASE LAW:

None.

ISSUES TO BE DETERMINED

Uncontested/Contested Facts

On November 7, 2011, Complainant sent a request for documents to the Department. The text of Complainant's November 7, 2011 request for documents is quoted here:

This is a Sunshine Act Request for the annual budgets with details for the Recreation and Parks Department for the past 15 years, if available. My objective is to understand the cost of the Natural Areas Program as it relates to past park budgets and the expected future costs.

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Sunshine Ordinance Task Force

DATE:

March 2, 2012

PAGE:

RE:

Complaint 11096 – Bowman v. SF Department of Recreation and Parks

I am also requesting all project specific budgets for Natural Areas Programs for projects that have already been completed under the program, such as the Pine Lake wetland/natural area restoration and the native plant restoration at Glen Canyon.

I am also requesting an drafted budgets for Natural Areas Program for executing any of the site specific plans in the proposed Natural Areas Program or capital project requests that have been drafted. This includes any cost information or projections for removing the 18,500 tress, clearing the underbrush, and/or re-planting.

Please provide the information in an electronic format on a CD or emailed to me. I do not want hard copies. Please let me know the expected cost for providing the information.

Please let me know if there is someone else I should contact for my request or if you need clarification on my request.

The Department acknowledged receipt of this request by email on November 8, 2011, and invoked a 14 day extension, citing a voluminous amount of separate and distinct records. Complainant responded by email on November 8, 2011, to agree to the 14 day extension, and to clarify that she sought "to understand the past and future cost of the NAP program." On November 9, 2011, the Department emailed Complainant to request that the search be narrowed to five years, instead of the requested 15 years. On November 9, 2011, Complainant responded by email to agree to the Department's request to narrow the search to five years. On December 1, 2011, the Department emailed Complainant an electronic (soft copy) Microsoft Excel file with an annual budget summary for the Natural Areas Program for the years 2005-2012.

On December 2, 2011, Complainant sent an email to the Department to re-request the documents she alleges were originally requested in her November 7, 2011 email, and where not produced. The text of Complainant's December 2, 2011 request for documents is quoted here:

Hi,

Thank you for sending the budget for the SFNAP area. Unfortunately that does not comply with my Sunshine Act Request. I'm also concerned that the 14 day extension was invoked "because there is a need to search for, collect, and examine a voluminous amount of separate and distinct records" and then all that is provided is a few simple queries from an accounting system that in no way meets the requirements of the request. I assumed that you were planning to fully comply with my request because of the 14 day extension.

Please comply with the original requested in an expedited manner:

1) Annual budgets with details for the Recreation and Parks Department for the past 7 years (modified from 15 years)

MEMORANDUM

TO: Sunshine Ordinance Task Force

DATE: March 2, 2012

PAGE:

RE: Complaint 11096 – Bowman v. SF Department of Recreation and Parks

- 2) All project specific budgets for Natural Areas Programs for projects that have already been completed under the program, such as the Pine Lake wetland/natural area restoration and the native plant restoration at Glen Canyon.
- 3) Any drafted budgets for Natural Areas Program for executing any of the site specific plans in the proposed Natural Areas Program or capital project requests that have been drafted.

Since making my initial request, I've become aware of other started projects with some completed for the Natural Areas such as those at Corona Heights, Sutro Mountain, Grandview, GGP Oak Woodlands, Billy Goat Hill and Twin Peaks and would have expected the detailed budgets for those to be included in your response.

On December 9, 2011, the Department responded to this request by email. The text of that email is quoted here:

Hi Ms. Bowman.

I had made further inquiries from Staff regarding your expanded request dated 12-2-2011 (copied below) and there are no further documents responsive.

OUESTIONS THAT MIGHT ASSIST IN DETERMINING FACTS:

- What was the nature and extent of the search the Department conducted with regard to documents responsive to Complainant's November 7, 2011 request?
- Was the Department's response on December 1, 2011 complete?
- Do other documents exist, or could other documents reasonably exist, which are responsive to Complainant's request?

LEGAL ISSUES/LEGAL DETERMINATIONS:

- Was the Department's request for an additional 14 days to respond to Complainant's November 7, 2011 request proper under §67.25(a) of the Ordinance?
- Was the Department's initial response on December 1, 2011 to Complainant's November 7, 2011 request sufficient under §67.21 of the Ordinance?
- If the Department provided no response or an incomplete response to the November 7, 2011 request, was there a violation of state and/or local public records laws?

CONCLUSION

THE TASK FORCE FINDS THE FOLLOWING FACTS TO BE TRUE:

THE TASK FORCE FINDS THE ALLEGED VIOLATIONS TO BE TRUE OR NOT TRUE.



Sunshine Complaint complaints to: sotf

12/13/2011 10:31 AM

Hide Details

From: <complaints@sfgov.org>

To: <sotf@sfgov.org>

To:sotf@sfgov.org
Email:complaints@sfgov.org
DEPARTMENT:Recreation & Parks Department
CONTACTED:Katharine Petrucione, Olive Gong
PUBLIC_RECORDS_VIOLATION:Yes
PUBLIC_MEETING_VIOLATION:No

MEETING DATE:

SECTIONS VIOLATED: SEC. 67.25. IMMEDIACY OF RESPONSE

DESCRIPTION: Failure to provide requested docements and delaying response. Olive Gong requested a 14 day extension because of the complexity of the request and then only responded with data from a few simple queries from a database/system that probably took less than 15 minutes to execute. Even those simple queries provided incomplete financial information for the SFRPD.

HEARING: Yes
PRE-HEARING: No
DATE: 12/11/2011
NAME: Anita Bowman
ADDRESS:
CITY:

CITY:

PHONE:

CONTACT_EMAIL:anitabowman@hotmail.com

ANONYMOUS:

CONFIDENTIALITY REQUESTED:No



Sunshine Act Complaint: SFRPD Financial and SFNAP Project Request Arnita Bowman

to:

sotf-

12/11/2011 08:16 AM

olive.gong, scott.wiener, katharine.petrucione

Hide Details

From: Arnita Bowman <arnitabowman@hotmail.com>

To: <sotf@sfgov.org>

Cc: <olive.gong@sfgov.org>, <scott.wiener@sfgov.org>, <katharine.petrucione@sfgov.org>

5 Attachments





4 NAP Financial-Project - Original Request.pdf 5 NAP Financial-Project - Documents Received.pdf





6 NAP Financial-Project - Complaint.pdf Natural Areas Sunshine Request - all.xlsx



1 Glen Canyon -NAP Financial-Project - Sunshine Task Force Notice.pdf

I am filing Sunshine Act complaints regarding the SF Recreation & Parks Department failure to provide Public Records (SEC. 67.25. IMMEDIACY OF RESPONSE). This complaint is separate from the complaint that I submitted yesterday regarding the Glen Canyon Request. This second complaint is in regards to the following:

General Description: Glen Canyon Natural Areas Program documentation of funding, contracts, and work details for pesticide application

Date of Original Request: 11/07/2011

Requested From: rpdinfo@sfgov.org, Katharine Petrucione, and Olive Gong

Description of Complaint: Failure to provide requested docements and delaying response. Olive Gong requested a 14 day extension because of the complexity of the request and then only responded with data from a few simple queries from a database/system that probably took less than 15 minutes to execute. Even those simple queries provided incomplete financial information for the SFRPD. In summary the original request was for:

- 1) Annual budgets with details for the Recreation and Parks Department for the past 7 years (modified from 15 years)
- 2) All project specific budgets for Natural Areas Programs for projects that have already been completed under the program, such as the Pine Lake wetland/natural area restoration and the native plant restoration at Glen Canyon.
- 3) Any drafted budgets for Natural Areas Program for executing any of the site specific plans in the proposed Natural Areas Program or capital project requests that have been drafted.

I want a public hearing before the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force.

I want a pre-hearing conference before the Complaint Committee.

Attached is PDFs with the email correspondence including the original request, the documents received, and Gong's response to my complaint. I've also attached a consolidated summary of the information received from Olive Gong, which was originally in 7 separate files and only represents the financial information for the SFNAP group (looks like salaries and related costs) not the overall SFRPD budget or funds.

Please let me know that you received this complaint, and if I need to provide any further information.

Regards, Arnita Bowman

RE: Response to your public records request dated Nov 7, 2011, received Nov 8, 2011

From: Arnita Bowman (arnitabowman@hotmail.com) Sent: Wed 11/09/11 12:53 PM olive.gong@sfgov.org Seven years is ok with me. I'd like to go back to at least 2004. Thank you for your time. > Subject: RE: Response to your public records request dated Nov 7, 2011, received Nov 8, 2011 > To: arnitabowman@hotmail.com > From: Olive.Gong@sfgov.org > Date: Wed, 9 Nov 2011 09:41:38 -0800 > Hi Arnita, > May we narrow the search down to last 5 years, instead of last 15 years? > Thanks, > Olive > Olive Gong > San Francisco Recreation and Park Department > McLaren Lodge > 501 Stanyan St., SF CA 94117 > 415.831-2708 direct > 415.831-2096 fax > <u>olive.gong@sfgov.org</u> email > Reduce, Reuse, Recycle > From: Arnita Bowman <arnitabowman@hotmail.com> > To: <olive.gong@sfgov.org> > Date: 11/08/2011 11:21 AM > Subject: RE: Response to your public records request dated Nov 7, 2011, > received Nov 8, 2011

```
> The extension is fine. Please let me know if a discussion would be
> worthwhile to try to narrow the voluminous amount of information that would
> need to be reviewed. I certainly don't want to create more work for the
> department than is necessary to understand the past and future cost of the
> NAP program. It is unfortunate that the SFNAP-EIR did not include a cost
> analysis as part of the EIR process.
> Regards,
> Arnita Bowman
> > Subject: Response to your public records request dated Nov 7, 2011,
> received Nov 8, 2011
> > To: arnitabowman@hotmail.com
> > From: Olive.Gona@sfgov.org
> > Date: Tue, 8 Nov 2011 10:20:14 -0800
> > Dear Ms. Bowman,
> > The Department is in receipt of your request for records and the
> Department
> > is invoking an extension of 14 days beyond the 10 days usually allotted
> > because there is a need to search for, collect, and examine a voluminous
> > amount of separate and distinct records and/or there is a need to compile
> > electronic data (per Govt. Code 6253 (c) and Govt. Code 6253 (c)(4). Thus
> > documents are due Dec 2, 2011. I will send you any responsive documents
> > a rolling basis, as soon as they have been received and reviewed.
> > Thank you for your interest in SF Recreation and Parks,
> > Olive Gong
> > Olive Gong
> > San Francisco Recreation and Park Department
> > McLaren Lodge
> > 501 Stanyan St., SF CA 94117
> > 415.831-2708 direct
> > 415.831-2096 fax
> > <u>olive.go.ng@sfgov.org</u> email
> > Reduce, Reuse, Recycle
> > From: Arnita Bowman <arnitabowman@hotmail.com>
> > To: <rpdinfo@sfgov.org>, <katharine.petrucione@sfgov.org>
```

> > Date: 11/07/2011 07:23 PM

> > Subject: Sunshine Act Request: San Francisco Natural Areas Program > > Budget Information > > This is a Sunshine Act Request for the annual budgets with details for > > Recreation and Parks Department for the past 15 years, if available. My > > objective is to understand the cost of the Natural Areas Program as it > > relates to past park budgets and the expected future costs. > > I am also requesting all project specific budgets for Natural Areas > > Programs for projects that have already been completed under the program, > > such as the Pine Lake wetland/natural area restoration and the native > > restoration at Glen Canyon. > > I am also requesting any drafted budgets for Natural Areas Program for > > executing any of the site specific plans in the proposed Natural Areas > > Program or capital project requests that have been drafted. This includes > > any cost information or projections for removing the 18,500 trees, > clearing > > the underbrush, and/or re-planting. > > Please provide the information in an electronic format on a CD or emailed > > to me. I do not want hard copies. Please let me know the expected cost > > providing the information. > > Please let me know if there is someone else I should contact for my > > or if you need clarification on my request. > > Regards, > > Arnita Bowman

RE: Response to your public records request dated Nov 7, 2011, received Nov 8, 2011

From: Olive.Gong@sfgov.org Sent: Thu 12/01/11 4:48 PM

To: Arnita Bowman (arnitabowman@hotmail.com)

6 attachments

Natural Areas Sunshine Request - year 11-12.pdf (12.3 KB), Natural Areas Sunshine Request.pdf (10.4 KB), Natural Areas Sunshine Request - year 6-7.pdf (7.3 KB), Natural Areas Sunshine Request - year 8-9.pdf (7.3 KB), Natural Areas Sunshine Request - year 9-10.pdf (13.2 KB), Natural Areas Sunshine Request - year 10-11.pdf (11.4 KB)

Hi Ms. Bowman,

My apologies, I converted the excel spreadsheet to pdf...and it did convert all of the worksheets, just the first one.
Attached are the remaining documents responsive.

Best, Olive Gong

(See attached file: Natural Areas Sunshine Request - year 11-12.pdf) (See attached file: Natural Areas Sunshine Request.pdf) (See attached file: Natural Areas Sunshine Request - year 6-7.pdf) (See attached file: Natural Areas Sunshine Request - year 8-9.pdf) (See attached file: Natural Areas Sunshine Request - year 9-10.pdf) (See attached file: Natural Areas Sunshine Request - year 10-11.pdf)

Olive Gong
San Francisco Recreation and Park Department
McLaren Lodge
501 Stanyan St., SF CA 94117
415.831-2708 direct
415.831-2096 fax
olive.gong@sfgov.org email

Reduce, Reuse, Recycle

From: Arnita Bowman <arnitabowman@hotmail.com>
To: <olive.gong@sfgov.org>
Date: 12/01/2011 04:17 PM
Subject: RE: Response to your public records request dated Nov 7, 2011, received Nov 8, 2011

So you are only sending me the NAP budget for 2005 to 2006? That would in no way meet the scope of the original request. Am I missing something.

> Subject: Response to your public records request dated Nov 7, 2011, received Nov 8, 2011

```
> To: arnitabowman@hotmail.com
> From: Olive.Gong@sfgov.org
> Date: Thu, 1 Dec 2011 11:33:29 -0800
> Dear Ms. Bowman,
> Attached are documents in response to you public records request dated
> 7, 2011, received Nov 8, 2011.
> Sincerely,
> Olive Gong
> Olive Gong
> San Francisco Recreation and Park Department
> McLaren Lodge
> 501 Stanyan St., SF CA 94117
> 415.831-2708 direct
  415.831-2096 fax
  olive.gong@sfgov.org email
> Reduce, Reuse, Recycle
> (See attached file: Natural Areas Sunshine Request.pdf)
```

Response to Sunshine Act Request - expanded request dated 12-2-2011

From: Olive.Gong@sfgov.org

Sent: Fri 12/09/11 1:07 PM

To: Arnita Bowman (arnitabowman@hotmail.com)

Hi Ms. Bowman,

I had made further inquiries from Staff regarding your expanded request dated 12-2-2011 9 (copied below) and there are no further documents responsive.

Best regards, Olive Gong

Olive Gong San Francisco Recreation and Park Department McLaren Lodge 501 Stanyan St., SF CA 94117 415.831-2708 direct 415.831-2096 fax olive.gong@sfgov.org email

Reduce, Reuse, Recycle

From: Arnita Bowman <arnitabowman@hotmail.com> To: <olive.gong@sfgov.org> Date: 12/02/2011 12:00 PM Subject: Incomplete Response to Sunshine Act Request

Thank you for sending the budget for the SFNAP area. Unfortunately that does not comply with my Sunshine Act Request. I'm also concerned that the 14 day extension was invoked "because there is a need to search for, collect, and examine a voluminous amount of separate and distinct records" and then all that is provided is a few simple queries from an accounting system that in no way meets the requirements of the request. I assumed that you were planning to fully comply with my request because of the 14

Please comply with the original requested in an expedited manner:

- 1) Annual budgets with details for the Recreation and Parks. Department for the past 7 years (modified from 15 years)
- 2) All project specific budgets for Natural Areas Programs for projects that have already been completed under the program, such as the Pine Lake wetland/natural area restoration and the native plant

restoration at Glen Canyon. 3) Any drafted budgets for Natural Areas Program for executing any of the site specific plans in the proposed Natural Areas Program or capital project requests that have been drafted.

Since making my initial request, I've become aware of other started projects with some completed for the Natural Areas such as those at Corona Heights, Sutro Mountain, Grandview, GGP Oak Woodlands, Billy Goat Hill and Twin Peaks and would have expected the detailed budgets for those to be included in your response.

Thank you for your assistance, Arnita Bowman

From: arnitabowman@hotmail.com To: olive.gong@sfgov.org Subject: RE: Response to your public records request dated Nov 7, 2011, received Nov 8, 2011 Date: Tue, 8 Nov 2011 11:21:46 -0800

The extension is fine. Please let me know if a discussion would be worthwhile to try to narrow the voluminous amount of information that would need to be reviewed. I certainly don't want to create more work for the department than is necessary to understand the past and future cost of the NAP program. It is unfortunate that the SFNAP-EIR did not include a cost analysis as part of the EIR process.

Regards, Arnita Bowman

> 415.831-2096 fax

```
> Subject: Response to your public records request dated Nov 7, 2011,
received Nov 8, 2011
> To: arnitabowman@hotmail.com
> From: Olive.Gong@sfgov.org
> Date: Tue, 8 Nov 2011 10:20:14 -0800
> Dear Ms. Bowman,
> The Department is in receipt of your request for records and the
Department
> is invoking an extension of 14 days beyond the 10 days usually allotted
> because there is a need to search for, collect, and examine a voluminous
> amount of separate and distinct records and/or there is a need to compile
> electronic data (per Govt. Code 6253 (c) and Govt. Code 6253 (c) (4). Thus
> documents are due Dec 2, 2011. I will send you any responsive documents
> a rolling basis, as soon as they have been received and reviewed.
> Thank you for your interest in SF Recreation and Parks,
> Olive Gong
> Olive Gong
> San Francisco Recreation and Park Department
> McLaren Lodge
> 501 Stanyan St., SF CA 94117
> 415.831-2708 direct
```

```
olive.gong@sfgov.org email
> Reduce, Reuse, Recycle
> From: Arnita Bowman <arnitabowman@hotmail.com>
> To: <rpdinfo@sfgov.org>, <katharine.petrucione@sfgov.org>
> Date: 11/07/2011 07:23 PM
> Subject: Sunshine Act Request: San Francisco Natural Areas Program
> Budget Information
> This is a Sunshine Act Request for the annual budgets with details for
> Recreation and Parks Department for the past 15 years, if available. My
> objective is to understand the cost of the Natural Areas Program as it
> relates to past park budgets and the expected future costs.
> I am also requesting all project specific budgets for Natural Areas
> Programs for projects that have already been completed under the program,
> such as the Pine Lake wetland/natural area restoration and the native
plant
> restoration at Glen Canyon.
> I am also requesting any drafted budgets for Natural Areas Program for
> executing any of the site specific plans in the proposed Natural Areas
> Program or capital project requests that have been drafted. This includes
> any cost information or projections for removing the 18,500 trees,
clearing
> the underbrush, and/or re-planting.
> Please provide the information in an electronic format on a CD or emailed
> to me. I do not want hard copies. Please let me know the expected cost
> providing the information.
> Please let me know if there is someone else I should contact for my
request
> or if you need clarification on my request.
> Regards,
 Arnita Bowman.
```

Natural Areas Program Budget Provided by SFRPD Sunshine Act Request 12/4/10

31%		428%		31%	31%	70%	62%	37%	7006		35%	115%	%96			71%		
,762 654,640		,785 118,425	422)[0	,678 40,588	,493	,247 65,323	,416 42,000	,016 16,367	,637 1,964	,774 4,392	,246 3,054	870 301,606	1,274 313,079	,000 52,000	3,400 48,400	306 1,369,725	1% -7%	14% 18%
682,925 654		64,811 88	(427)	42,296 40	9,902	58,379 62	44,929 42	14,113 16	1,366	3,352 3	3,574 3	242,295 267,	283,828 294	52,000 52	48,400 48		25%	%6
580,090	The second second	34,284		35,595	8,412	44,744	36,901	11,352	0	2,973	3,109	177,370	249,322	52,000	48,400		4%	%9
569,208		35,517	0	34,808	8,255	45,085	35,523	12,876	1,708	2,947	2,987	179,706	224,571	52,000	48,400	1,073,885	12%	%9
514,869		33,880	1,308	31,752	7,466	42,345	30,964	12,876	1,286	2,759	1,738	166,374	193,539	52,000	48,400	975,182	22%	7%
500,267		22,412	0厘点	31,017	7,254	38,366	25,945	11,915	1,101	O	2,314	140,324	159,898	Ō	0	800,489	-	ry 4%
MISC-REGULAR		RETIRE CITY MISC	. RETIREMENT PICK UP	SOCIAL SECURITY (OASDI)	SOCIAL SECURITY - MEDICARE (HI ONLY)	HEALTH SERVICE-CITY MATCH	IDEPENDENT COVERAGE-MISCELLANEOUS	DENTAL COVERAGE	UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE	FLEXIBLE BENEFIT PACKAGE	LONG TERM DISABILITY INSURANCE	Benefits	DEPARTMENT OVERHEAD	PROFESSIONAL & SPECIALIZED SVCS-BUDGET	MATERIALS & SUPPLIES-BUDGET	Total	Increase per year	Retirement as % of Salary
101		1301		1401	1402	_	1571	1601	1701	1911	1912		2019	2700				
PR INATURAL AREAS-OS		2SOSPNPR NATURAL AREAS-OS	2SOSPNPR NATURAL AREAS-OS	SOSPNPR NATURAL AREAS-OS	2SOSPNPR NATURAL AREAS-OS	2SOSPNPR NATURAL AREAS-OS	JPR NATURAL AREAS-OS	IPR INATURAL AREAS-OS	VPR NATURAL AREAS-OS	SOSPNPR NATURAL AREAS-OS	2SOSPNPR NATURAL AREAS-OS		NPR NATURAL AREAS-OS	NPR NATURAL AREAS-OS	2SOSPNPR NATURAL AREAS-OS	1-19 mm	-	
	569,208 580,090 682,925 654,762 654,640	[500,267 514,869 569,208 580,090 682,925 654,762 654,640	500,267 514,869 569,208 580,090 682,925 654,762	500,267 514,869 569,208 580,090 682,925 654,762 654,640 682,925 654,762 654,640 655 654,762 654,640 655 654,762 655 655 655 655 655 655 655 6	550,267 514,869 569,208 580,090 682,925 654,762 654,640 500,267 33,880 35,517 34,284 64,811 88,785 118,425 CK UP (422) (422) (422) TY (OASDI) 31,752 34,808 35,595 42,296 40,678 40,588	550,267 514,869 569,208 580,090 682,925 654,762 654,640 500,267 514,869 569,208 580,090 682,925 654,762 654,640 500,008 51,008 5	550,267 514,869 569,208 580,090 682,925 654,762 554,640 550,267 514,262 35,810 35,517 34,284 64,811 88,785 118,425 40,528 CK UP	550,267 514,869 569,208 580,090 682,925 654,762 564,640 SC	550,267 514,869 569,208 580,090 682,925 654,762 564,640 550,267 514,869 569,208 58,099 682,925 654,762 5654,640 550,267 512 33,880 35,517 34,284 64,811 88,785 118,425 CK UP	550,267 514,869 569,208 580,090 682,925 654,762 564,640 550,267 514,869 569,208 58,090 682,925 654,762 5654,640 550,264 512 33,880 35,517 34,284 64,811 88,785 118,425 18,427 61	5500,267 514,869 569,208 580,090 682,925 654,762 654,640 SC	5500,267 514,869 569,208 580,090 682,925 654,762 , 654,640 5500,267 514,869 569,208 580,090 682,925 654,762 , 654,640 5500,2724 12 33,880 35,517 34,284 64,811 88,785 118,425 0	5500,267 514,869 569,208 580,090 682,925 654,762 , 654,640 SC	5500,267 514,869 569,208 580,090 682,925 654,762 , 654,640 5500,267 514,869 569,208 55,517 34,284 64,811 88,785 118,425 1500,000	5500,267 514,869 569,208 580,090 682,925 654,762 , 654,640 5500,267 514,869 569,208 540,090 682,925 654,762 , 654,640 5500,267 514,869 514,869 514,296 64,811 88,785 118,425 TY (OASDI)	5500,267 514,869 569,208 580,090 682,925 654,762 , 654,640 652,025 654,762 , 654,640 652,025 654,762 , 654,640 652,025 654,640 64,811 88,785 118,425 62,025	SCOCACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACACAC	STOCK UP STOCK UP

FW: Incomplete Response to Sunshine Act Request

From: Arnita Bowman (arnitabowman@hotmail.com)

Sent: Fri 12/09/11 10:47 AM
To: olive.gong@sfgov.org

Please let me know how you are responding to my email from 12/2. As of now, I plan to submit a complaint regarding both requests to the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, which I would like to avoid if possible.

Thank your for your assistance. Arnita Bowman

From: arnitabowman@hotmail.com
To: olive.gong@sfgov.org
Subject: Incomplete Response to Sunshine Act Request
Date: Fri, 2 Dec 2011 12:00:50 -0800

Hi,

Thank you for sending the budget for the SFNAP area. Unfortunately that does not comply with my Sunshine Act Request. I'm also concerned that the 14 day extension was invoked "because there is a need to search for, collect, and examine a voluminous amount of separate and distinct records" and then all that is provided is a few simple queries from an accounting system that in no way meets the requirements of the request. I assumed that you were planning to fully comply with my request because of the 14 day extension.

Please comply with the original requested in an expedited manner.

- 1) Annual budgets with details for the Recreation and Parks Department for the past 7 years (modified from 15 years)
- 2) All project specific budgets for Natural Areas Programs for projects that have already been completed under the program, such as the Pine Lake wetland/natural area restoration and the native plant restoration at Glen Canyon.
- 3) Any drafted budgets for Natural Areas Program for executing any of the site specific plans in the proposed Natural Areas Program or capital project requests that have been drafted.

Since making my initial request, I've become aware of other started projects with some completed for the Natural Areas such as those at Corona Heights, Sutro Mountain, Grandview, GGP Oak Woodlands, Billy Goat Hill and Twin Peaks and would have expected the detailed budgets for those to be included in your response.

Thank you for your assistance, Arnita Bowman From: arnitabowman@hotmail.com

To: olive.gong@sfgov.org

Subject: RE: Response to your public records request dated Nov 7, 2011, received Nov 8, 2011

Date: Tue, 8 Nov 2011 11:21:46 -0800

The extension is fine. Please let me know if a discussion would be worthwhile to try to narrow the voluminous amount of information that would need to be reviewed. I certainly don't want to create more work for the department than is necessary to understand the past and future cost of the NAP program. It is unfortunate that the SFNAP-EIR did not include a cost analysis as part of the EIR process.

Regards, Arnita Bowman

```
> Subject: Response to your public records request dated Nov 7, 2011, received Nov 8, 2011
> To: arnitabowman@hotmail.com
> From: Olive.Gong@sfgov.org
> Date: Tue, 8 Nov 2011 10:20:14 -0800

    Dear Ms. Bowman,

> The Department is in receipt of your request for records and the Department
> is invoking an extension of 14 days beyond the 10 days usually allotted
> because there is a need to search for, collect, and examine a voluminous
> amount of separate and distinct records and/or there is a need to compile
> electronic data (per Govt. Code 6253 (c) and Govt. Code 6253 (c)(4). Thus
> documents are due Dec 2, 2011. I will send you any responsive documents on
> a rolling basis, as soon as they have been received and reviewed.
> Thank you for your interest in SF Recreation and Parks,
> Olive Gong
> Olive Gong
> San Francisco Recreation and Park Department
> McLaren Lodge
> 501 Stanyan St., SF CA 94117
> 415.831-2708 direct
> 415.831-2096 fax
 olive.gong@sfgov.org email
 Reduce, Reuse, Recycle
```

> From: Arnita Bowman <arnitabowman@hotmail.com>

```
> Date: 11/07/2011 07:23 PM
> Subject: Sunshine Act Request: San Francisco Natural Areas Program
> Budget Information
> This is a Sunshine Act Request for the annual budgets with details for the
> Recreation and Parks Department for the past 15 years, if available. My
> objective is to understand the cost of the Natural Areas Program as it
> relates to past park budgets and the expected future costs.
> I am also requesting all project specific budgets for Natural Areas
> Programs for projects that have already been completed under the program,
> such as the Pine Lake wetland/natural area restoration and the native plant
> restoration at Glen Canyon.
> I am also requesting any drafted budgets for Natural Areas Program for
> executing any of the site specific plans in the proposed Natural Areas
> Program or capital project requests that have been drafted. This includes
> any cost information or projections for removing the 18,500 trees, clearing
> the underbrush, and/or re-planting.
> Please provide the information in an electronic format on a CD or emailed
> to me. I do not want hard copies. Please let me know the expected cost for
> providing the information.
> Please let me know if there is someone else I should contact for my request
> or if you need clarification on my request.
> Regards,
> Arnita Bowman
```