

SUNSHINE ORDINANCE TASK FORCE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

AGENDA

Hearing Room 408 City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

MINUTES - DRAFT

April 3, 2024 – 4:00 PM

Seat 1	Dean Schmidt	Seat 7	Matthew Yankee - Chair
Seat 2	Lila LaHood	Seat 8	Chris Hyland
Seat 3	Saul Sugarman	Seat 9	David Pilpel
Seat 4	Ankita Kumar	Seat 10	Vacant
Seat 5	Maxine Anderson	Seat 11	Bruce Wolfe
Seat 6	Laura Stein – Vice-Chair		

Clerk: Cheryl Leger

Ex-officio(non-voting) Clerk of the Board of Supervisors or his or her designeeEx-officio(non-voting) Mayor or his or her designee

1. CALL TO ORDER, ROLL CALL, AND AGENDA CHANGES.

Chair Yankee called the meeting to order at 4:04 p.m. On the call of the roll, Chair Yankee, Vice-Chair Stein, and Members Schmidt, Anderson, Sugarman, Pilpel and Hyland were noted present. Member Wolfe appeared remotely. Members LaHood and Kumar were noted absent. Deputy City Attorney Valerie Lopez was present. A quorum was present.

The Task Force discussed changing the order of the cases as requested by Respondent Margot Shaub and Complainant Wynship Hillier.

Public Comment:

Peter Warfield, Library Users Association, libraryusers2004@yahoo.com, P.O. Box 170544, San Francisco, California, 94117-0544 stated that the number of materials posted on the agenda were larger than he expected and that he did not have adequate time to prepare his case.

No action taken.

2. Approval of the minutes from the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Meeting of March 6, 2024.

Action: Moved by Member Hyland, seconded by Member Pilpel, to approve the March 6, 2024 Minutes as amended.

Member Pilpel withdrew his second.

Action: Moved by Member Hyland, seconded by Member Anderson, to continue the matter to the call of the chair.

Public Comment:

Peter Warfield agreed with continuing approval of the March 6, 2024 Task Force minutes until the May 1, 2024 hearing.

Mark Sullivan provided the following written public comment:

"There are 6 provisions between the Sunshine Ordinance and The Brown Act that deal with the requirements of documents in an agenda item. Sec. 67.7. Agenda Requirements; Regular Meetings. (b)... 'It shall refer to any explanatory documents that have been provided to the policy body in connection with an agenda item, such as correspondence or reports, and such documents shall be posted adjacent to the agenda or, if such documents are of more than one page in length, made available for public inspection and copying at a location indicated on the agenda during normal office hours.' This means that revision documents submitted should be included in approval of the minutes agenda item."

The motion PASSED by the following vote:

Ayes: 8 - Hyland, Anderson, Pilpel, Sugarman, Wolfe, Schmidt, Yankee, Stein Noes: 0 - None Absent: 2 - Kumar, LaHood

Chair Yankee announced the resignation of Thao Hill from the Task Force.

3. Approval of the Orders of Determination:

From the February 7, 2024 Sunshine Task Force meeting:

File No. 23016 - Complaint filed by Rosa L. against the Department of Emergency Management
File No. 23098 - Complaint filed by Mark Sullivan against the Ethics Commission
File No. 22134 - Complaint filed by Michael Petrelis against Mayor London Breed
File No. 22135 - Complaint filed by Michael Petrelis against Mayor London Breed
File No. 23013(C) - Complaint filed by Chris Ward Kline against the Mayor's Office

File No. 23013(D) - Complaint filed by Chris Ward Kline against the Department of Emergency Management

From the March 6, 2024 Sunshine Task Force meeting:

File No. 21125 - Complaint filed by Liza Murawski against the Department of Public Health

File No. 22129 - Complaint filed by HVSafe against the Police Department File No. 24003 - Complaint filed by Anonymous (acu) v. Fine Arts Museums File No. 23020 and 23036 - Complaint filed by Paul Kniha against the Municipal Transportation Agency

File No. 23024 - Complaint filed by Paul Kniha against the Municipal Transportation Agency

File No. 23033 - Complaint filed by Andrew J. White against the Department of Police Accountability

The Task Force discussed approval of the Orders of Determination.

Action: Moved by Member Hyland, seconded by Member Pilpel, to continue the approval of the Orders of Determination to the call of the Chair.

Public Comment:

Peter Warfield suggested that more clarity be provided on the agenda so that members of the public have an idea when explaining the circumstances.

Mark Sullivan provided the following written public comment:

"Yankee and Stein went to Young concerns about a supposed grey area complaint. Schmidt asked if happened often; was new procedure. Young is different department has no right to insert himself into how the Sunshine Ordinance is interpreted unless he is speaking during the time frame of speaking on behalf of the respondent during a hearing. He was speaking on behalf of the city to narrow transparency not in the public's interest. Allow Clerks speak meeting protocol questions. If a clerk wishes to speak about complaint, it should be in the time frame of speaking for a party in a complaint or during the 3-minute comment, other department employees. Task force legal counsel is ethically walled off from the city and SOTF did not go to them with a supposed gray area of concern. Mr. Young is not legal counsel. not ethically walled off. Throw out determination on complaint 23098."

The motion PASSED by the following vote:

Ayes: 8 - Hyland, Pilpel, Anderson, Sugarman, Wolfe, Schmidt, Yankee, Stein Noes: 0 - None Absent: 2 - Kumar, LaHood

4. Administrator's Report, Complaints and Communications.

Task Force Administrator Leger presented the Report and responded to questions.

Public Comment:

None.

No action taken.

5. File No. 23097 – Proposed Revisions to the Complaint Procedures and By-Laws: Potentially adopt proposed revisions to the SOTF Complaint Procedures to expedite complaint processing per the Sunshine Ordinance requirements, and present and discuss related proposed changes to the SOTF By-Laws. *(Discussion and Action) (attachments)*

Members Schmidt and Pilpel presented the proposed edits in the Complaint Procedures to the Task Force.

Action: Moved by Member Schmidt, seconded by Member Pilpel, to approve the proposed new language to the Complaint Procedures as amended.

Public Comment:

Mark Sullivan provided the following written public comment:

"CA Constitution, Article 1, Section 3 (2) "statute, court rule, or other authority", which means the task force's bylaws and complaint procedures as you are written in the Ordinance and how you function are essential to the application of the Ordinance... 'shall be broadly construed if it furthers the people's right of access, narrowly construed if it limits the right of access.' Courts consistently stated records are presumed public until exemption is invoked by custodian/ agency. Look to see if the department has claimed an exemption, if not the records are public. Complaint procedure language should require respondents to make clear exemption and if none claimed, respondent is not granted a hearing. Records are de facto public. Record pivots on an exemption claimed. CPRA clearer language regarding exemptions /public records. Most complaints violations of time. More action by the task force assisting people's right of access to records in time."

The motion PASSED by the following vote:

Ayes: 8 - Schmidt, Pilpel, Anderson, Sugarman, Wolfe, Yankee, Stein, Hyland Noes: 0 - None Absent: 2 - Kumar, LaHood Action: Moved by Chair Yankee, seconded by Member Sugarman, to approve the edits to the Complaint Procedures and schedule priority review for 67.21(b) claims and include determinations where appropriate.

Public Comment:

Peter Warfield noted that he was not able to follow closely with the changes and it is good that the Task Force is looking to satisfy the 45-day statutory that has been in place for decades.

The motion PASSED by the following vote:

Ayes: 7 - Yankee, Sugarman, Schmidt, Anderson, Wolfe, Stein, Hyland Noes: 1 - Pilpel Absent: 2 - Kumar, LaHood

6. **Public Comment:** Members of the public may address the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force (SOTF) on matters that are within SOTF's jurisdiction, but not on today's agenda. *Public comment shall be taken at 5:00 p.m. or as soon thereafter as possible.*

Mark Sullivan provided the following written Public Comment:

"SOTF's ability to remedy violations has been seriously compromised as a result of the City Attorney's advice. Voting "rule" is manifestly unfair cannot be justified under any appropriate standard. Contrary to purposes of constitutional and state law protections for gaining access to public meetings and records. The current SOTF voting procedure whether a requested record is disclosable should be reversed because under California law all public records are presumptively disclosable; the City's departments, officials and policy bodies have the burden of establishing that a specific exemption from disclosure applies. For that reason, a motion should not be for a determination of a "violation." Rather, the motion put to the vote should be for a determination that the specific exemption relied on by the respondent applies; and the burden of proving that exemption should rest on the respondent, not on the complainant, to establish that it does not apply."

Chris Ward Kline commented on the discussion regarding timing and that the City Attorney's Office is aware that these meetings should not take that long and many boards meetings because everyone is doing their best and that technology is important.

Peter Warfield stated that he wanted to provide a presentation to the Task Force and requested to see the number of drafts presented by Ms. Leger to the Library's response.

NOTE: Hearings on complaints and other agenda items listed below will begin no earlier than 5:00 P.M.

7. File No. 23013(C): Reconsideration of Complaint: Complaint filed by Chris Ward Kline against the Mayor's Office for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, 67.24(b), and 67.25, by failing to respond to a request for public records in a timely and/or complete manner.

Chris Ward Kline (Petitioner) provided a summary of the complaints and requested the Task Force to find violations. Mr. Kline suggested that the Mayor's Office consider emails as audio files which are used to collect data. Mr. Kline stated that the Mayor's Office and Mr. Heckel have told him that there are no files to turn over. Mr. Kline believes that the Mayor's Office has collected his health information and used it against Mr. Kline.

Henk Heckel (Mayor's Office, Respondent) provided a summary of the department's position. Mr. Heckel stated that the Mayor's Office has received many requests for surveillance technology records from Mr. Kline. Mr. Heckel stated that the Mayor's Office does not use surveillance technology.

Action: Moved by Member Pilpel, seconded by Member Hyland, to deny the request for reconsideration.

Public Comment:

None.

The motion PASSED by the following vote:

Ayes: 8 - Pilpel, Hyland, Anderson, Sugarman, Wolfe, Yankee, Stein, Schmidt Noes: 0 - None Absent: 2 - Kumar, LaHood

The Task Force recessed from 6:35 p.m. until 6:49 p.m.

8. **A File No. 22027: Hearing on the status of the Order of Determination:** Complaint filed by John Templeton against the Planning Department for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.7; 67.21, and 67.29-7, by failing to post an agenda containing a meaningful description of each item of business to be transacted or discussed at the meeting; failing to responded to a request for public records in a timely and/or complete manner; and failing to maintain and preserve all documents is a professional manner.

B. File No. 22028: Hearing on the status of the Order of Determination: Complaint filed by John Templeton against the Historic Preservation Commission for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.7, 67.21, and 67.29-7, by failing to post an agenda containing a meaningful description of each item of business to be transacted or discussed at the meeting; failing to respond to a request for public records in a timely and/or complete manner; and failing to maintain and preserve all documents is a professional manner.

The Task Force heard File Nos. 22027 and 22028 together.

Mr. Templeton (Petitioner) provided a summary of the complaints and requested that the Task Force find violations. Mr. Templeton stated he requested the signed May 1 grant agreement from the Planning Department. Mr. Templeton stated that after receiving the Order of Determination from the Task Force he filed a claim with the California Civil Rights Department against the Planning Department due to racial prejudice within that department. Mr. Templeton requested those same records from the Mayor's Office and received them within one day.

Jonas Ionin (Respondent, Planning Department) provided a summary of the department's position. Mr. Ionin stated that his understanding is Mr. Templeton requested the May 1 grant agreement signed by Richard Hillis in 2008 and Edgar Boyd or information on the schedules of Director Hillis and former Director Rand. Mr. Ionin also noted that his office has completed their due diligence.

Action: Moved by Chair Yankee, seconded by Member Anderson, to close File Nos. 22027 and 22028 without prejudice.

Public Comment:

Peter Warfield stated he understood that this case was about civil rights and that people have rights regardless of what their purposes are.

The motion PASSED by the following vote:

Ayes: 8 - Yankee, Anderson, Pilpel, Hyland, Sugarman, Wolfe, Stein, Schmidt Noes: 0 - None Absent: 2 - Kumar, LaHood

9. File No. 23034 Complaint filed by Library Users Assn. against Margot Shaub, Michael Lambert, and the San Francisco Public Library for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21(a), by failing to respond to a public records request in a timely and/or complete manner, and 67.21(l), by failing to allow inspection and copying of documentary public information stored in electronic form which shall be made available to the person requesting the information in any form requested which is available to or easily generated by the department, its officers or employees, including disk, tape, printout or monitor at a charge no greater than the cost of the media on which it is duplicated.

Peter Warfield (Petitioner) provided a summary of the complaints and requested the Task Force to find violations. Mr. Warfield stated this his case is about access to information requested in an Immediate Disclosure Request to the Public Library. Mr. Warfield stated he requested access to the Library Offices to look at public information.

Margot Shaub (Public Library, Respondent) provided a summary of the department's position. Ms. Shaub stated that Mr. Warfield arrived at the Library Offices after 5:00 p.m.

and that he knows that the offices close at that time. Ms. Shaub stated that she asked Mr. Warfield to return in 15 minutes for his records and he said he could not wait 15 minutes. Ms. Shaub stated that she would have provided the information to Mr. Warfield if provided the time to comply.

Action: Moved by Vice-Chair Stein, seconded by Member Anderson, to find no violation.

Public Comment:

None.

The motion PASSED by the following vote:

Ayes: 8 - Stein, Anderson, Pilpel, Hyland, Sugarman, Wolfe, Yankee, Schmidt Noes: 0 - None Absent: 2 - Kumar, LaHood

10. File No. 22060 Complaint filed by Wynship Hillier against Executive Director Geoffrey Grier and the Behavioral Health Commission for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21(b) and California Government Code, Section(s) 6253(c), by failing to respond to or acknowledge receipt of a records request in a timely and/or complete manner.

Member Hyland provided a synopsis of the case.

Action: Moved by Chair Yankee, seconded by Member Hyland, to close the file for lack of attendance by the Petitioner under Complaint Procedure 7B.

Public Comment:

None.

The motion PASSED by the following vote:

Ayes: 8 - Yankee, Hyland, Stein, Anderson, Pilpel, Sugarman, Wolfe, Schmidt Noes: 0 - None Absent: 2 - Kumar, LaHood

11. **File No. 22099** Complaint filed by Wynship Hillier against Geoffrey Grier and the Behavioral Health Commission for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21(b) and California Government Code, Section(s) 6253(c), by failing to respond to or acknowledge receipt of a records request in a timely and/or complete manner.

Action: Moved by Member Sugarman, seconded by Member Anderson, to close the file for lack of attendance by the Petitioner under Complaint Procedure 7B.

Public Comment:

None.

The motion PASSED by the following vote:

Ayes: 8 - Sugarman, Anderson, Yankee, Hyland, Stein, Pilpel, Wolfe, Schmidt Noes: 0 - None Absent: 2 - Kumar, LaHood

12. **File No. 23023** Complaint filed by Jorge Rivero against the Police Department for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.25, by failing to respond to an Immediate Disclosure Request in a timely and/or complete manner.

Action: Moved by Member Pilpel, seconded by Member Anderson, to close the file for lack of attendance by the Petitioner under Complaint Procedure 7B.

Public Comment:

None.

The motion PASSED by the following vote:

Ayes: 8 - Pilpel, Anderson, Sugarman, Yankee, Hyland, Stein, Wolfe, Schmidt Noes: 0 - None Absent: 2 - Kumar, LaHood

File No. 23013(E) Complaint filed by Chris Ward Kline against the Police Department for allegedly violating Administrative Code (Sunshine Ordinance), Section(s) 67.21, 67.24(b), and 67.25 by failing to respond to a request for public records in a timely and/or complete manner.

Chris Ward Kline (Petitioner) provided a summary of the complaints and requested the Task Force to find violations. Mr. Kline filed a request with the Police Department for electronic surveillance records. Mr. Kline stated that the Police Department did not provide the requested records.

Lt. Christopher Beauchamp (Police Department, Respondent) provided a summary of the department's position. Lt. Beauchamp stated that the police department's response was not timely. Lt. Beauchamp stated that on February 27, 2024, Lt. William Toomey provided a letter stating they have no responsive records and no surveillance records on Mr. Kline.

Action: Moved by Chair Yankee, seconded by Member Anderson, to continue the matter to the call of the chair.

Public Comment:

None.

The motion PASSED by the following vote:

Ayes: 8 - Yankee, Anderson, Pilpel, Sugarman, Hyland, Stein, Wolfe, Schmidt Noes: 0 - None Absent: 2 - Kumar, LaHood

14. Hearing - Update on 2023 Annual Report.

Vice-Chair Stein presented the 2023 Annual Report to the Task Force and responded to questions from the Members.

Action: Moved by Member Hyland, seconded by Member Wolfe, to approve the 2023 Annual Report of the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force.

Public Comment:

None.

The motion PASSED by the following vote:

Ayes: 8 - Hyland, Wolfe, Yankee, Anderson, Pilpel, Sugarman, Stein, Schmidt Noes: 0 - None Absent: 2 - Kumar, LaHood

15. Announcements, Comments, Questions, and Future Agenda Items by Members of the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force.

Member Wolfe noted that the city is migrating software from Drupal to Wagtail Digital Services. Second, Member Wolfe suggested that a meeting for administrative issues take place regarding parts of the Ordinance. Third, Wynship Hillier suggested that petitioners are not required to attend Task Force hearings to defend their cases per the Ordinance. Last, if a committee is unable to hear a complaint within 45 days waiting until it takes place is not a good idea.

16. ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 9:29 p.m.

APPROVED: DRAFT Sunshine Ordinance Task Force

N.B. The Minutes of this meeting set forth all actions taken by the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force on the matters stated, but not necessarily in the chronological sequence in which the matters were taken up.